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Introduction

Compilation of Agenda Decisions—Volume 9 compiles all agenda decisions published by the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee) in the period May 2023 to October 2023. The Committee publishes an agenda decision to explain why a standard-setting project has not been added to the work plan to address a question submitted. For ease of reference, the agenda decisions are sorted by IFRS Accounting Standard.

How the Committee supports consistent application of IFRS Accounting Standards

The Committee works with the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in supporting the consistent application of IFRS® Accounting Standards.

The Committee’s process

Committee projects typically begin as an application question submitted for consideration. The process is designed to:

- allow any stakeholder to submit a question for consideration; and
- be transparent—all eligible application questions are considered at a public meeting.

The Committee then decides whether a standard-setting project should be added to the work plan to address the question submitted. The Committee may decide not to do so if it concludes that standard-setting would be:

- unnecessary—typically because, in the Committee’s view, IFRS Accounting Standards provide an adequate basis for an entity to determine the required accounting or because there is no evidence that a widespread financial reporting problem exists; or
- not sufficiently narrow in scope—the question could be resolved only as part of a larger IASB project (not a narrow-scope project).

To explain why a standard-setting project is not added, the Committee publishes an agenda decision. Agenda decisions report the Committee’s decision and, in many cases, also include explanatory material.
The following diagram summarises the criteria the Committee considers when deciding whether a standard-setting project should be added to the work plan:

Explanatory material in an agenda decision

Agenda decisions often include explanatory material. The objective of including such explanatory material is to improve the consistency of application of IFRS Accounting Standards.

Agenda decisions (including any explanatory material contained within them) cannot add or change requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards. Instead, explanatory material explains how the applicable principles and requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards apply to the transaction or fact pattern described in the agenda decision.

Explanatory material derives its authority from the Standards themselves. Accordingly, an entity is required to apply the applicable IFRS Accounting Standard(s), reflecting the explanatory material in an agenda decision (subject to it having sufficient time to implement that accounting).

Explanatory material included as part of a tentative agenda decision is subject to comment. The comment period is normally 60 days. After considering comments received, the Committee decides whether to confirm its decision and publish an agenda decision (subject to the IASB not objecting). An agenda decision is published if no more than three IASB members object to its publication. Please visit the project pages on our website if you would like more information about the agenda decisions included in this compilation.

Agenda decisions published by the Committee are available on the 'how the IFRS Interpretations Committee helps support consistent application of IFRS Accounting Standards’ page.
Narrow-scope standard-setting

Some questions result in narrow-scope standard-setting that follows the applicable due process. The Committee may decide to:

• develop an IFRIC Interpretation, which adds requirements to IFRS Accounting Standards but does not remove or replace any requirements in the Accounting Standards; or

• recommend that the IASB develop a narrow-scope amendment to an Accounting Standard.

Narrow-scope standard-setting projects recommended by the Committee and approved by the IASB are added to the work plan as maintenance projects.
IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts

Premiums Receivable from an Intermediary (IFRS 17 and IFRS 9)

October 2023

The Committee received requests about how an entity that issues insurance contracts (insurer) applies the requirements in IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 to premiums receivable from an intermediary.

In the fact pattern described in the requests, an intermediary acts as a link between an insurer and a policyholder to arrange an insurance contract between them. The policyholder has paid in cash the premiums to the intermediary, but the insurer has not yet received in cash the premiums from the intermediary. The agreement between the insurer and the intermediary allows the intermediary to pay the premiums to the insurer at a later date.

When the policyholder paid the premiums to the intermediary, the policyholder discharged its obligation under the insurance contract and the insurer is obliged to provide insurance contract services to the policyholder. If the intermediary fails to pay the premiums to the insurer, the insurer does not have the right to recover the premiums from the policyholder, or to cancel the insurance contract.

The requests asked whether, in the submitted fact pattern, the premiums receivable from the intermediary are future cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract and included in the measurement of the group of insurance contracts applying IFRS 17 or are a separate financial asset applying IFRS 9. The requests set out two views.

Under the first view (View 1), the insurer determines that the premiums receivable from the intermediary are future cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract. Applying View 1, when the policyholder pays the premiums to the intermediary:

a. for a group of contracts to which the premium allocation approach does not apply, the insurer continues to treat the premiums receivable from the intermediary as future cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract and, applying IFRS 17, includes them in the measurement of the group of insurance contracts until recovered in cash; and

b. for a group of contracts to which the premium allocation approach applies, the insurer does not increase the liability for remaining coverage—it does so only when it recovers the premiums in cash from the intermediary.

Under the second view (View 2), because the payment by the policyholder discharges its obligation under the insurance contract, the insurer considers the right to receive premiums from the policyholder to be settled by the right to receive premiums from the intermediary. The insurer therefore determines that the premiums receivable from the intermediary are not future cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract but, instead, a separate financial asset. Applying View 2, when the policyholder pays the premiums to the intermediary:

a. for a group of contracts to which the premium allocation approach does not apply, the insurer removes the premiums from the measurement of the group of insurance contracts and, applying IFRS 9, recognises a separate financial asset; and
b. for a group of contracts to which the premium allocation approach applies, the insurer increases the liability for remaining coverage and, applying IFRS 9, recognises a separate financial asset.

Applying the requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards

The Committee observed that IFRS 17 is the starting point for an insurer to consider how to account for its right to receive premiums under an insurance contract.

Paragraph 33 of IFRS 17 requires an insurer to include in the measurement of a group of insurance contracts an estimate of all the future cash flows within the boundary of each contract in the group. Paragraph B65 explains that cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract are those that relate directly to the fulfilment of the contract, including premiums from a policyholder.

The Committee observed that paragraph B65 of IFRS 17 does not distinguish between premiums to be collected directly from a policyholder and premiums to be collected through an intermediary. In applying IFRS 17, premiums from a policyholder collected through an intermediary are therefore included in the measurement of a group of insurance contracts.

Paragraph 34 of IFRS 17 specifies that cash flows are within the boundary of an insurance contract if they arise from substantive rights and obligations that exist during the reporting period in which the entity can compel the policyholder to pay the premiums or in which the entity has a substantive obligation to provide the policyholder with insurance contract services.

In the fact pattern described in the requests, the insurer has not recovered the premiums in cash, but the policyholder has discharged its obligation under the insurance contract. The Committee observed that IFRS 17 is silent on whether future cash flows within the boundary of an insurance contract are removed from the measurement of a group of insurance contracts only when these cash flows are recovered or settled in cash.

Therefore, the Committee observed that, in accounting for premiums receivable from an intermediary when payment by the policyholder discharges the policyholder’s obligation under the insurance contract, an insurer develops and applies an accounting policy in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors to determine when cash flows are removed from the measurement of a group of insurance contracts. The insurer could determine that cash flows are removed when the cash flows are recovered or settled in cash (View 1), or when the policyholder’s obligation under the insurance contract is discharged (View 2).

IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 deal differently with the measurement, presentation and disclosure of expected credit losses from premiums receivable from an intermediary. The Committee considered that, depending on which view (View 1 or View 2) an insurer applies, it is required to apply all the measurement and disclosure requirements in the applicable IFRS Accounting Standards. Therefore, an insurer applies either IFRS 17 (including paragraph 131, which requires disclosure of information about the credit risk that arises from contracts within the scope of IFRS 17) or IFRS 9 (and the requirements in IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures) to premiums receivable from an intermediary.
Conclusion

In the light of its analysis, the Committee considered whether to add a standard-setting project on when cash flows are removed from the measurement of a group of insurance contracts to the work plan. The Committee noted that any such project would involve assessing whether changes to the Accounting Standards would have unintended consequences. This assessment may take considerable time and effort to complete because it would involve, among other steps, analysing a broad range of contracts (not only those set out in the fact pattern described in the requests). The Committee observed that the application of either View 1 or View 2 when accounting for premiums paid by a policyholder and receivable from an intermediary would provide users of financial statements with useful information based on the requirements in IFRS 17 or IFRS 9.

Consequently, the Committee concluded that a project would not be sufficiently narrow in scope that the IASB or the Committee could address it in an efficient manner. The Committee therefore decided not to add a standard-setting project to the work plan.
The Committee received a request about how an entity accounts for employee home ownership plans and employee home loans of the types described in two fact patterns.

Fact pattern 1: employee home ownership plans
An entity provides its employee with a house that the entity constructed and owns. In return, the employee has a proportion of his or her base salary deducted every month until the agreed price of the house has been fully repaid.

If the employee leaves employment within the first five years of the arrangement, the employee forfeits his or her rights to the house and recovers the salary deductions to date. If the employee leaves employment after that five-year period, the employee may choose either:

a. to forfeit his or her rights to the house and recover the salary deductions to date; or
b. to keep the house and immediately repay the outstanding balance.

Legal title to the house transfers to the employee only when he or she has paid in full the agreed price for the house.

Fact pattern 2: employee home loans
An entity provides its employee with a loan to buy a house, which the employee chooses and purchases and the entity does not own. The entity provides the loan at a below-market rate of interest; the loan is typically interest-free. The employee repays the loan through salary deductions. If the employee leaves employment for any reason at any point, the outstanding balance of the loan becomes repayable.

Conclusion
Evidence gathered by the Committee indicated that the matters described in the request are not widespread. On the basis of that evidence, the Committee concluded that the matters described in the request do not have widespread effect. Consequently, the Committee decided not to add a standard-setting project to the work plan.
Guarantee over a Derivative Contract (IFRS 9)

October 2023

The Committee received a request about whether, in applying IFRS 9, an entity accounts for a guarantee written over a derivative contract as a financial guarantee contract or as a derivative.

The request described a guarantee written over a derivative contract between two third parties. Such a guarantee would reimburse the holder of the guarantee for the actual loss incurred—up to the close-out amount—in the event of default by the other party. The close-out amount is determined based on a valuation of the remaining contractual cash flows of the derivative immediately prior to default.

Conclusion

Evidence gathered by the Committee indicated that the matter described in the request is not widespread, and that when the matter does arise, the amounts involved are not material. On the basis of that evidence, the Committee concluded that the matter described in the request does not have widespread effect and it does not have (nor is it expected to have) a material effect on those affected. Consequently, the Committee decided not to add a standard-setting project to the work plan.
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