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Introduction
Why digital reporting is important

ISSB Standards will help entities provide sustainability information that meet investors’ needs

Investors need a more efficient way to consume an increasing amount of information

By digitalising financial reports, information in those reports becomes machine-readable
  - Facilitating the use of digital means to extract, compare and analyse information

Digital reporting can improve capital market efficiency and transparency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits for investors</th>
<th>Benefits for companies</th>
<th>Benefits for others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduces search costs (eg reduced language barriers)</td>
<td>Increases access to capital (eg increases analyst’s coverage)</td>
<td>Assists regulators in oversight activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases information processing efficiency</td>
<td>Can reduce firm’s cost of capital</td>
<td>Facilitates the real-time use of information fostering innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases availability and accessibility of data</td>
<td>Reduces need to submit disclosures to multiple organisations</td>
<td>Assists others (eg NGOs, academics) in accessing and extracting information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Digital reporting of sustainability-related financial disclosures

The Proposed ISSB Taxonomy reflects the disclosure requirements in IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 in the form of taxonomy elements with properties including references to related sections of the ISSB Standards or documentation labels that describe the meaning of each element.

The Proposed ISSB Taxonomy is designed to facilitate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>investors</th>
<th>to consume sustainability-related financial information digitally</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>regulators</td>
<td>to require the digital reporting of sustainability-related financial information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preparers</td>
<td>to implement digital reporting of sustainability-related financial information, enabling tagging without undue cost.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An introduction to ISSB Taxonomy

ISSB Taxonomy provide the common elements (or ‘tags’) needed to make sustainability disclosures machine-readable

- By using a common elements to tag disclosures, computers have the context to determine whether disclosures with different descriptions are comparable

Co. A: Gross scope 1 emissions  xxx
Co. B: Direct greenhouse emissions  xxx
Co. C: Own emissions  xxx
Co. D: 직접적인 온실가스 배출  xxx
Co. E: 総範囲 1 溫室氣體排放量  xxx

ISSB Taxonomy ‘Tag’

- Name: ifrs-sds:GrossScope1GreenhouseGasEmissions
- Value: xxx,000
- Units: MtCO2e
- Period: 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31
- Decimals: -3

ISSB Taxonomy

- Reflect the requirements of ISSB Standards and do not introduce new requirements
- Are different to green taxonomies used to classify environmentally sustainable economic activities
- Enable the identification of sustainability disclosures representing the global baseline
- Can be used with eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL®) and other digital formats
Proposals and questions
Granularity of narrative disclosures, including categorical elements
Proposals for narrative disclosures

The principle is to create taxonomy elements to tag narrative disclosures that are expected to be:
- separately understandable to investors; and
- easily identifiable by preparers for tagging

Applying the principle, ISSB proposes a simple taxonomy structure that minimises the need for tagging the same information using two or more elements which is complex for preparers.

ISSB proposes approximately:
- 100 elements to tag blocks of narrative disclosures;
- 30 Categorical elements

ISSB aims for a simple Taxonomy that can be enhanced when reporting practice develops.

The principle is generally met for requirements in the first-level sub-paragraphs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element Label and Reference</th>
<th>Element Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of processes and related policies entity uses to identify, assess, prioritise and monitor risks (IFRS S1.44(a), IFRS S2.25(a))</td>
<td>Text block (TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of whether and how entity uses scenario analysis to inform its identification of risks (IFRS S1.44(a)(ii), IFRS S2.25(a)(ii))</td>
<td>Text block (TB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity uses scenario analysis to inform its identification of risks (IFRS S1.44(a)(iii))</td>
<td>Boolean (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other disclosures about risk management (IFRS S1.43, IFRS S2.24)</td>
<td>Text block (TB)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The principle is met in limited circumstances for requirements in the lower-level subparagraphs for:
- Categorical elements which enable easy analysis of narrative disclosures and related narrative elements for more detail; and
- Information expected to be particularly important for investors.
Illustration of tagging and resulting digital data using proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Other disclosures about risk management (IFRS S1.42, IFRS S2.24) | Risk Management (...)
| Disclosure of processes and related policies entity uses to identify, assess, prioritise and monitor risks (IFRS S1.44(a), IFRS S2.25(a)) | Sustainability-related risks

We identify sustainability-related risks considering their strategic importance to the entity’s business model and value chain over the short, medium and long term. To understand these risks further, we evaluate the impact and likelihood of each risk. The impact and likelihood assessments determine our responses and also help us to prioritise our risks.

We use scenario analysis to support our risk assessments. We assess the resilience of our business model and value chain against a wide range of scenarios, including...

(...)

Entity uses scenario analysis to inform its identification of risks (IFRS S1.44(a)(iii))

- True
- False

Disclosure of whether and how entity uses scenario analysis to inform its identification of risks (IFRS S1.44(a)(ii), IFRS S2.25(a)(ii))

We use scenario analysis to support our risk assessments. We assess the resilience of our business model and value chain against a wide range of scenarios, including...

(...)
Reflecting the relationship between IFRS S1 and IFRS S2
Corresponding requirements in IFRS S1 and IFRS S2

IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 include corresponding requirements related to the core content of governance, strategy, risk management and metrics and targets.

Corresponding requirements are IFRS S1 disclosure requirement that are also in IFRS S2 that are relevant to climate-related risks and opportunities. For example:

- paragraph 30(a) of IFRS S1 requires an entity to describe sustainability-related risks and opportunities that could reasonably be expected to affect the entity’s prospects; and
- paragraph 10(a) of IFRS S2 requires an entity to describe climate-related risks and opportunities that could reasonably be expected to affect the entity’s prospects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element label</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Documentation label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of risk or opportunity</td>
<td>IFRS S2.10(a)</td>
<td>The disclosure of a sustainability-related risk and or opportunity (including climate-related risk or opportunity) that could reasonably be expected to affect the entity’s prospects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of climate-related risk</td>
<td>IFRS S2.10(b)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A single set of elements is proposed to reflect corresponding requirements in IFRS S1 and IFRS S2.
Information about risks and opportunities

**Dimensional model** is proposed to:
- allow investors extract information separately for each risk and opportunity; and
- help investors understand entity-specific elements (for example, sustainability-related risks and opportunities or metrics and targets).

Dimensional model does not prescribe how the information should be disclosed, and it need not be presented in a table.

For integrated disclosures that do not provide separate information for each risk and opportunity, an entity is not expected to use the dimension and keep tagging simpler.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element label and reference</th>
<th>ET²</th>
<th>‘Risk and opportunity 1’</th>
<th>‘Risk and opportunity C’</th>
<th>…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of risk or opportunity IFRS S1.30(a), IFRS S2.10(a)</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of climate-related risk IFRS S2.10(b)</td>
<td>EN</td>
<td>□Physical risk □Transition risk</td>
<td>□Physical risk □Transition risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of time horizon(s) over which effects of risk or opportunity could reasonably be expected to occur IFRS S1.30(b), IFRS S2.10(c)</td>
<td>TB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²‘ET’ refers to element type, ‘TB’ refers to text blocks, and ‘EN’ refers to extensible enumeration which is categorical element type with list of standardised options to choose from.

A defined Axis in the Taxonomy helps investors understand entity-specific elements.

Entity-specific elements are created by an entity thus not standardised.

For integrated disclosures that do not provide separate information for each risk and opportunity, an entity is not expected to use the dimension and keep tagging simpler.
Other features of the Proposed Taxonomy
Other general features of the Proposed Taxonomy

**Taxonomy architecture**

Following XBRL best practice enable entities to use the Proposed Taxonomy together with, for example:

- IFRS Accounting Taxonomy or other GAAP taxonomies – to reflect that IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 could be applied with IFRS Accounting Standards or other GAAP; and
- other taxonomies – to reflect that companies may use other sustainability-related standards as further Guidance to report sustainability-related financial information

**Industry-based metrics in IFRS S2**

- Approximately 530 elements proposed to allow tagging industry-based metrics disclosed applying Guidance on IFRS S2
- Taxonomy entry points allow accessing elements reflecting IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 with or without elements reflecting industry-based metrics
- Elements were created based on equivalent elements in the SASB Taxonomy (allows easier use with the SASB Taxonomy to tag industry-based metrics not covered by IFRS S2)

**Connections between disclosures**

- For example, ‘please refer to Notes 3–6 of the financial statement’
- Information is expected to be tagged with other narrative information
- Information provided in a separate report by cross-reference should be tagged

**Element grouping**

Elements are organised (grouped) in a way that facilitates navigation, understanding and use of the Taxonomy
Facilitating digital reporting of sustainability-related financial disclosures globally
Facilitating digital reporting of sustainability-related financial disclosures globally

ISSB aims to deliver sustainability-related financial disclosures to meet needs of global capital markets

**Interoperability with other jurisdictions**

- The ISSB Taxonomy may support interoperability of ISSB Standards with jurisdictional requirements and other sustainability-related standards, for example by helping investors identify sustainability-related financial information that meets the requirements of the ISSB Standards within reports that also address other sustainability-related standards.

- Interoperability between standards is a pre-requisite for interoperability between digital taxonomies.

**Implementation support**

- Some elements in the Proposed Taxonomy include guidance labels to help preparers use those elements correctly.

- Further technical guidance being considered includes:
  - additional guidance labels
  - guidance to support preparers in the appropriate use of the ISSB Taxonomy (including topical guidance, such as examples on how to use specific elements)
  - guidance to assist regulators in adopting the ISSB Taxonomy to enable digital reporting in their jurisdictions
Main areas for feedback
Main areas for feedback

- **Question 1.** The appropriate reflection of the disclosure requirements in IFRS S1 and IFRS S2 in the Taxonomy;
- **Question 2.** Overall usability of the Taxonomy for stakeholders: preparers, regulators and investors;
- **Question 3:** Other comments on proposals;
- **Question 4:** Proposals related to reflecting relationship between IFRS S2 and IFRS S2;
- **Question 5:** Proposals related to the granularity of tagging and extracting the narrative information, including **Question 3(i):** suggestions related to the specific categorical elements proposed;
- **Question 6:** Suggestions on how to support Taxonomy that facilitates digital reporting of sustainability-related information globally by:
  - improving Taxonomy; or
  - providing additional implementation support;
- **Question 7:** Other comments.
Next steps and how to comment
Timeline

- **IFRS S1 & IFRS S2 published**
  - 26 June 2023

- **Proposed Taxonomy published**
  - 27 July 2023

- **Public consultation**
  - 60 days comment period

- **Provide feedback summary to ISSB**
  - H2 2023

- **Final Taxonomy published**
  - H1 2024

- **Provide feedback summary to ISSB**
  - H2 2023

- **Review by the IFRS Taxonomy Consultative Group**

- **Developed in tandem with IFRS S1 & IFRS S2 – enables digital consumption when the Standards are first applied**

- **IFRS S1 & IFRS S2 effective date**
  - 1 Jan 2024

- **Sustainability disclosures applying IFRS S1 & IFRS S2 become available**
  - 1 Jan 2025
How to comment on the ISSB Taxonomy

Complete a survey, or submit a comment letter

- Online at: ifrs.org/projects/open-for-comment
- By email to: commentletters@ifrs.org

Open for comment until 26 September 2023
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