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Introduction

This volume is part of Appendix B of [draft] IFRS S2 Climate-related Disclosures and is an integral part of that [draft] Standard. It has the same authority as the other parts of that [draft] Standard.

This volume sets out the requirements for identifying, measuring and disclosing information related to an entity’s significant climate-related risks and opportunities that are associated with specific business models, economic activities and other common features that characterise participation in this industry.

The industry-based disclosure requirements are derived from SASB Standards (see paragraphs B10–B12 of [Draft] IFRS S2 Climate-related Disclosures). Amendments to the SASB Standards, described in paragraph B11, are marked up for ease of reference. New text is underlined and deleted text is struck through. The metric codes used in SASB Standards have also been included, where applicable, for ease of reference. For additional context regarding the industry-based disclosure requirements contained in this volume, including structure and terminology, application and illustrative examples, refer to Appendix B paragraphs B3–B17.
# Processed Foods

## Industry Description
The Processed Foods industry includes companies that process and package foods such as bread, frozen foods, snack foods, pet foods, and condiments for retail consumer consumption. Typically, these products are made ready to consume, are marketed for retail consumers, and can be found on food retailers’ shelves. The industry is characterized by large and complex ingredient supply chains, as many companies source ingredients from around the world. Large companies operate globally, and international opportunities are driving growth.

## Sustainability Disclosure Topics & Metrics

### Table 1. Sustainability Disclosure Topics & Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>METRIC</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>UNIT OF MEASURE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>(1) Total energy consumed, (2) percentage grid electricity, (3) percentage renewable</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Gigajoules (GJ), Percentage (%)</td>
<td>FB-PF-130a.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Management</td>
<td>(1) Total water withdrawn, (2) total water consumed, percentage of each in regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Thousand cubic meters (m³), Percentage (%)</td>
<td>FB-PF-140a.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of incidents of non-compliance associated with water quantity and/or quality permits, standards, and regulations</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>FB-PF-140a.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of water management risks and discussion of strategies and practices to mitigate those risks</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>FB-PF-140a.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental &amp; Social Impacts of Ingredient Supply Chain</td>
<td>Percentage of food ingredients sourced that are certified to third-party environmental and/or social standards, and percentages by standard</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Percentage (%) by cost</td>
<td>FB-PF-430a.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suppliers’ social and environmental responsibility audit (1) non-conformance rate and (2) associated corrective action rate for (a) major and (b) minor non-conformances</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>FB-PF-430a.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingredient Sourcing</td>
<td>Percentage of food ingredients sourced from regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Percentage (%) by cost</td>
<td>FB-PF-440a.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List of priority food ingredients and discussion of sourcing risks due to environmental and social considerations</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>FB-PF-440a.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Activity Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY METRIC</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>UNIT OF MEASURE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of products sold</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Metric tons (t)</td>
<td>FB-PF-000.A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of production facilities</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>FB-PF-000.B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Energy Management

Topic Summary

The Processed Foods industry is highly reliant on energy and fuel as primary inputs for value creation in manufacturing food products. Energy is needed to operate large manufacturing facilities for cooking, refrigeration, and packaging. Energy production and consumption contributes to significant environmental impacts, including climate change and pollution, which have the potential to indirectly, yet materially, impact the results of operations of processed foods companies. Energy efficiency in production and distribution can mitigate exposure to volatile energy costs and limit a company’s contribution to direct and indirect greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Producers may be able to further reduce the risk posed by volatile fossil fuel energy costs—particularly natural gas, which is used heavily in the industry—by diversifying their energy portfolio across a range of sources. Decisions regarding the use of alternative fuels, renewable energy, and on-site generation of electricity versus purchasing from the grid, can play an important role in influencing both the costs and reliability of the energy supply.

Metrics

FB-PF-130a.1. (1) Total energy consumed, (2) percentage grid electricity, (3) percentage renewable

1 The entity shall disclose (1) the total amount of energy it consumed as an aggregate figure, in gigajoules (GJ).

1.1 The scope of energy consumption includes energy from all sources, including energy purchased from sources external to the entity and energy produced by the entity itself (self-generated). For example, direct fuel usage, purchased electricity, and heating, cooling, and steam energy are all included within the scope of energy consumption.

1.2 The scope of energy consumption includes only energy directly consumed by the entity during the reporting period.

1.3 In calculating energy consumption from fuels and biofuels, the entity shall use higher heating values (HHV), also known as gross calorific values (GCV), which are directly measured or taken from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), or the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA).

2 The entity shall disclose (2) the percentage of energy it consumed that was supplied from grid electricity.

2.1 The percentage shall be calculated as purchased grid electricity consumption divided by total energy consumption.

3 The entity shall disclose (3) the percentage of energy it consumed that is renewable energy.

3.1 Renewable energy is defined as energy from sources that are replenished at a rate greater than or equal to their rate of depletion, such as geothermal, wind, solar, hydro, and biomass.
3.2 The percentage shall be calculated as renewable energy consumption divided by total energy consumption.

3.3 The scope of renewable energy includes renewable fuel the entity consumed, renewable energy the entity directly produced, and renewable energy the entity purchased, if purchased through a renewable power purchase agreement (PPA) that explicitly includes renewable energy certificates (RECs) or Guarantees of Origin (GOs), a Green-e Energy Certified utility or supplier program, or other green power products that explicitly include RECs or GOs, or for which Green-e Energy Certified RECs are paired with grid electricity.

3.3.1 For any renewable electricity generated on-site, any RECs and GOs must be retained (i.e., not sold) and retired or cancelled on behalf of the entity in order for the entity to claim them as renewable energy.

3.3.2 For renewable PPAs and green power products, the agreement must explicitly include and convey that RECs and GOs be retained or replaced and retired or cancelled on behalf of the entity in order for the entity to claim them as renewable energy.

3.3.3 The renewable portion of the electricity grid mix that is outside of the control or influence of the entity is excluded from the scope of renewable energy.

3.4 For the purposes of this disclosure, the scope of renewable energy from hydro and biomass sources is limited to the following:

3.4.1 Energy from hydro sources is limited to those that are certified by the Low Impact Hydropower Institute or that are eligible for a state Renewable Portfolio Standard.

3.4.2 Energy from biomass sources is limited to materials certified to a third-party standard (e.g., Forest Stewardship Council, Sustainable Forest Initiative, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification, or American Tree Farm System), materials considered eligible sources of supply according to the Green-e Framework for Renewable Energy Certification, Version 1.0 (2017) or Green-e regional standards, and/or materials that are eligible for an applicable state renewable portfolio standard.

4 The entity shall apply conversion factors consistently for all data reported under this disclosure, such as the use of HHVs for fuel usage (including biofuels) and conversion of kilowatt hours (kWh) to GJ (for energy data including electricity from solar or wind energy).
Water Management

Topic Summary
Processed Foods companies rely on a large water supply for cooking, processing, and cleaning finished goods. Additionally, companies in the industry generate and must manage its wastewater discharge from processing activities. As water scarcity becomes an issue of increasing importance, processed foods companies—especially those operating in water-stressed regions—may face increasing operational risks. Companies in the industry may face higher operational costs as well as water shortages due to physical availability and/or regulations. Companies can manage water-related risks and opportunities through capital investments and assessment of facility locations relative to water scarcity risks, improvements to operational efficiency, and partnerships with regulators and communities on issues related to water access and effluent.

Metrics

FB-PF-140a.1. (1) Total water withdrawn, (2) total water consumed, percentage of each in regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress

1 The entity shall disclose the amount of water, in thousands of cubic meters, that was withdrawn from all sources.

1.1 Water sources include surface water (including water from wetlands, rivers, lakes, and oceans), groundwater, rainwater collected directly and stored by the entity, and water and wastewater obtained from municipal water supplies, water utilities, or other entities.

2 The entity may disclose portions of its supply by source if, for example, significant portions of withdrawals are from non-freshwater sources.

2.1 Fresh water may be defined according to the local laws and regulations where the entity operates. Where there is no legal definition, fresh water shall be considered to be water that has less than 1,000 parts per million of dissolved solids per the U.S. Geological Survey.

2.2 Water obtained from a water utility in compliance with U.S. National Primary Drinking Water Regulations can be assumed to meet the definition of fresh water.

3 The entity shall disclose the amount of water, in thousands of cubic meters, that was consumed in its operations.

3.1 Water consumption is defined as:

3.1.1 Water that evaporates during withdrawal, usage, and discharge;

3.1.2 Water that is directly or indirectly incorporated into the entity’s product or service;

3.1.3 Water that does not otherwise return to the same catchment area from which it was withdrawn, such as water returned to another catchment area or the sea.
4 The entity shall analyze all of its operations for water risks and identify activities that withdraw and consume water in locations with High (40–80 percent) or Extremely High (>80 percent) Baseline Water Stress as classified by the World Resources Institute’s (WRI) Water Risk Atlas tool, Aqueduct.

5 The entity shall disclose its water withdrawn in locations with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress as a percentage of the total water withdrawn.

6 The entity shall disclose its water consumed in locations with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress as a percentage of the total water consumed.

FB-PF-140a.2. Number of incidents of non-compliance associated with water quantity and/or quality permits, standards, and regulations

1 The entity shall disclose the total number of instances of non-compliance, including violations of a technology-based standard and exceedances of quantity and/or quality-based standards.

2 The scope of disclosure includes incidents governed by national, state, and local statutory permits and regulations, including, but not limited to, the discharge of a hazardous substance, violation of pretreatment requirements, or total maximum daily load (TMDL) exceedances.

3 The scope of disclosure shall only include incidents of non-compliance that resulted in a formal enforcement action(s).

3.1 Formal enforcement actions are defined as governmental actions that address a violation or threatened violation of water quantity and/or quality laws, regulations, policies, or orders, and can result in administrative penalty orders, administrative orders, and judicial actions, among others. For example, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provides guidance on the scope of formal enforcement actions in, Informal and Formal Actions, Summary Guidance and Portrayal on EPA Websites.

4 Violations shall be disclosed, regardless of their measurement methodology or frequency. These include violations for:

4.1 Continuous discharges, limitations, standards, and prohibitions that are generally expressed as maximum daily, weekly average, and monthly averages

4.2 Non-continuous discharges and limitations that are generally expressed in terms of frequency, total mass, maximum rate of discharge, and mass or concentration of specified pollutants

FB-PF-140a.3. Description of water management risks and discussion of strategies and practices to mitigate those risks

1 The entity shall describe its water management risks associated with water withdrawals, water consumption, and discharge of water and/or wastewater.

1.1 Risks associated with water withdrawals and water consumption include risks to the availability of adequate, clean water resources, including, but not limited to:
1.1.1 Environmental constraints—such as operating in water-stressed regions, drought, concerns of aquatic impingement or entrainment, interannual or seasonal variability, and risks due to the impact of climate change

1.1.2 Regulatory and financial constraints—such as volatility in water costs, stakeholder perceptions and concerns related to water withdrawals (e.g., those from local communities, non-governmental organizations, and regulatory agencies), direct competition with and impact from the actions of other users (e.g., commercial and municipal users), restrictions to withdrawals due to regulations, and constraints on the entity’s ability to obtain and retain water rights or permits

1.2 Risks associated with the discharge of water and/or wastewater, include, but are not limited to, the ability to obtain rights or permits related to discharges, compliance with regulations related to discharges, restrictions to discharges, the ability to maintain control over the temperature of water discharges, liabilities and/or reputational risks, and increased operating costs due to regulation, stakeholder perceptions and concerns related to water discharges (e.g., those from local communities, non-governmental organizations, and regulatory agencies).

2 The entity may describe water management risks in the context of:

2.1 How risks may vary by withdrawal source, including surface water (including water from wetlands, rivers, lakes, and oceans), groundwater, rainwater collected directly and stored by the entity, and water and wastewater obtained from municipal water supplies, water utilities, or other entities; and

2.2 How risks may vary by discharge destinations, including surface water, groundwater, or wastewater utilities.

3 The entity may discuss the potential impacts that water management risks may have on its operations and the timeline over which such risks are expected to manifest.

3.1 Impacts may include, but are not limited to, those associated with costs, revenues, liabilities, continuity of operations, and reputation.

4 The entity shall discuss its short-term and long-term strategies or plan to mitigate water management risks, including, but not limited to:

4.1 The scope of its strategy, plans, goals and/or targets, such as how they relate to different business units, geographies, or water-consuming operational processes.

4.2 Any water management goals and/or targets it has prioritized, and an analysis of performance against those goals and/or targets.
4.2.1 Goals and targets may include, but are not limited to, those associated with reducing water withdrawals, reducing water consumption, reducing water discharges, reducing aquatic impingements, improving the quality of water discharges, and regulatory compliance.

4.3 The activities and investments required to achieve the plans, goals and/or targets, and any risks or limiting factors that might affect achievement of the plans and/or targets.

4.4 Disclosure of strategies, plans, goals, and/or targets shall be limited to activities that were ongoing (active) or reached completion during the reporting period.

5 For water management targets, the entity shall additionally disclose:

5.1 Whether the target is absolute or intensity-based, and the metric denominator if it is an intensity-based target.

5.2 The timelines for the water management plans, including the start year, the target year, and the base year.

5.3 The mechanism(s) for achieving the target, including:

5.3.1 Efficiency efforts, such as the use of water recycling and/or closed-loop systems;

5.3.2 Product innovations such as redesigning products or services to require less water;

5.3.3 Process and equipment innovations, such as those that enable the reduction of aquatic impingements or entrainments;

5.3.4 Use of tools and technologies (e.g., the World Wildlife Fund Water Risk Filter, The Global Water Tool, and Water Footprint Network Footprint Assessment Tool) to analyze water use, risk, and opportunities;

5.3.5 Collaborations or programs in place with the community or other organizations.

5.4 The percentage reduction or improvement from the base year, where the base year is the first year against which water management targets are evaluated toward the achievement of the target.

6 The entity shall discuss whether its water management practices result in any additional lifecycle impacts or tradeoffs in its organization, including tradeoffs in land use, energy production, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and why the entity chose these practices despite lifecycle tradeoffs.
Environmental & Social Impacts of Ingredient Supply Chain

Topic Summary
Companies in the Processed Foods industry manage global supply chains to source a wide range of ingredient inputs. How companies screen, monitor, and engage with suppliers on environmental and social topics affects the ability of companies to maintain a steady supply and manage price fluctuations. Supply chain management issues related to labor and environmental practices, ethics, or corruption may also result in regulatory fines and/or increased long-term operational costs for companies. The consumer-facing nature of the industry increases the reputational risks associated with supplier performance. Companies can engage with key suppliers to manage environmental and social risks to improve supply chain resiliency, mitigate reputational risks, and potentially increase consumer demand or capture new market opportunities.

Metrics

FB-PF-430a.1. Percentage of food ingredients sourced that are certified to third-party environmental and/or social standards, and percentages by standard

1 The entity shall disclose the percentage of food ingredients sourced that are certified to a third-party environmental and/or social standard.

1.1 Environmental standards are defined as standards that address environmental impacts related to the production of food ingredients such as, protection of primary forests, maintenance of surface water and groundwater quality, and implementation of integrated pest management solutions or an Organic System Plan.

1.2 Social standards are defined as standards that address social impacts related to the production of food ingredients such as, compensation of workforce, training and continual monitoring of health and safety risks associated with the application of agrochemicals and child-labor practices.

1.3 The percentage shall be calculated as the cost of food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers that have been certified to a third-party environmental and/or social standard divided by the total cost of food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers.

1.4 Examples of certifications to third-party environmental and social standards include, but are not limited to:

1.4.1 Bonsucro
1.4.2 Fairtrade International
1.4.3 Fair Trade USA
1.4.4 Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)
1.4.5 Roundtable on Responsible Soy (RTRS)
1.4.6 Rainforest Alliance
1.4.7 SA8000
1.4.8 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Organic
1.4.9 UTZ Certified

2 The entity shall disclose the percentage of food ingredients it sourced that are certified to a third-party environmental or social standard, by standard.

2.1 The entity shall calculate the percentage as the cost of food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers that have been certified to each respective third-party environmental or social standard divided by the total cost of agricultural products purchased from Tier 1 suppliers.

2.1.1 For Bonsucro certification, the entity shall disclose whether the food ingredients are certified to the Bonsucro Production Standard or the Bonsucro Chain of Custody Standard.

2.1.2 For Fairtrade International and Fair Trade USA, the entity shall disclose whether the food ingredients are certified to the standards for small producer organizations, hired labor, contract production, traders, independent small holders, or capture fisheries.

2.1.3 For RSPO certification, the entity shall disclose which of the RSPO supply chain models the food ingredients are certified to: Identity Preserved (IP); Segregated (SG); Mass Balance (MB); or Book & Claim (B&C).

2.1.4 For RTRS certification, the entity shall disclose whether the food ingredients are certified to the RTRS Production standard or the RTRS Chain of Custody Standard and whether traceability in the chain of custody standard is kept through segregation or mass balance.

2.1.5 For other third-party certifications, the entity may specify the type of certification if there are multiple types.

2.2 The entity may aggregate the percentages of multiple third-party certifications into one aggregate percentage, if the certifications are for the same food ingredient and deliver similar environmental and/or social criteria.

3 The scope of disclosure includes food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers.

3.1 Tier 1 suppliers are defined as suppliers that transact directly with the entity for food ingredients.

FB-PF-430a.2. Suppliers’ social and environmental responsibility audit (1) non-conformance rate and (2) associated corrective action rate for (a) major and (b) minor non-conformances

1 The entity shall disclose its supplier facilities’ (1) non-conformance rate with external social and environmental audit standard(s) or internally developed supplier code(s) of conduct for (a) major non-conformances, and separately, (b) minor non-conformances.
1.1 A major non-conformance is defined as the highest severity of non-conformance and require escalation by auditors. Major non-conformances confirm the presence of underage child workers (below the legal age for work or apprenticeship), forced labor, health and safety issues that can cause immediate danger to life or serious injury, and/or environmental practices that can cause serious and immediate harm to the community. Major non-conformance includes material breach or systemic breaking of code requirement or law. Major non-conformances may also be referred to as critical or priority non-conformances.

1.2 A minor non-conformance is defined as a non-conformance that by itself is not indicative of a systemic problem with the management system. Minor non-conformances are typically isolated or random incidents and represent a low risk to workers and/or the environment.

1.3 The entity shall calculate the non-conformance rates as the total number of non-conformances (in each respective category) identified among its supplier facilities divided by the number of supplier facilities audited.

2 The entity shall disclose the (2) corrective action rates associated with its supplier facilities’ (a) major non-conformances, and separately, (b) minor non-conformances.

2.1 A corrective action is defined as the completion of an action (generally identified in a corrective action plan) within 90 days, that has been designed to eliminate the cause of a detected non-conformance, including the implementation of practices or systems to eliminate any non-conformance and ensure there will be no reoccurrence of the non-conformance, as well as verification that the action has taken place.

2.2 The entity shall calculate the corrective action rates as the number of corrective actions that address non-conformances (in each respective category) divided by the total number of non-conformances (in each respective category) that have been identified.

3 The entity shall disclose the standards and/or code(s) of conduct to which it has measured social and environmental responsibility audit compliance.

3.1 For internally developed supplier code(s) of conduct, the entity shall disclose the public location where such code(s) can be viewed.
Ingredient Sourcing

Topic Summary
Companies in the Processed Foods industry source a wide range of ingredients, largely agricultural inputs, from global suppliers. The industry's ability to source ingredients and at certain price points fluctuates with supply availability, which may be affected by climate change, water scarcity, land management, and other resource scarcity considerations. This exposure can lead to price volatility which may affect company profitability. Climate change, water scarcity, and land-use restrictions present risks to a company's long-term ability to source key materials and ingredients. Companies that source ingredients which are more productive and less resource-intensive, or work closely with suppliers to increase their adaptability to climate change and other resource scarcity risks will be better protected from price volatility and/or supply disruptions.

Metrics

FB-PF-440a.1. Percentage of food ingredients sourced from regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress

1 The entity shall disclose the percentage of food ingredients sourced from regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress.

2 The percentage shall be calculated as the cost of food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers that withdraw and consume water in regions with High or Extremely High Baseline Water Stress for the production of the agricultural products divided by the total cost of food ingredients purchased from Tier 1 suppliers.

2.1 Tier 1 suppliers are defined as suppliers that transact directly with the entity for food ingredients.

2.2 The entity shall identify Tier 1 suppliers that withdraw and consume water in locations with High (40–80%) or Extremely High (>80%) Baseline Water Stress as classified by the World Resources Institute’s (WRI) Water Risk Atlas tool, Aqueduct.

3 If the entity is unable to identify or collect data pertaining to all Tier 1 suppliers, the entity shall disclose the percentage of agricultural products for which the source region and water risks are unknown.

FB-PF-440a.2. List of priority food ingredients and discussion of sourcing risks due to environmental and social considerations

1 The entity shall identify the highest priority food ingredients to its business.

1.1 Priority food ingredients are defined as ingredients (excluding water) that constitute the largest food ingredient expense and/or those ingredients that have otherwise been identified by the entity as essential to its products or as having significant environmental or social risks.

1.2 The scope of disclosure includes priority food ingredients sourced by the entity, including, but not limited to, those sourced directly from contract growers and from producer supply agreements.
The entity shall discuss its strategic approach to managing the environmental and social risks that arise from its highest priority food ingredients.

2.1 Environmental risks include, but are not limited to, effects of drought and climate change on ingredient prices, reputational damage due to deforestation, and other risks resulting from the environmental impacts associated with the entity’s supply chain.

2.2 Social risks include, but are not limited to, effects of workers’ rights on productivity, reputational damage due to human rights issues, and other risks resulting from the social impacts associated with the entity’s supply chain.

The entity may identify which food ingredients present risks to its operations, the risks that are represented, and the strategies the entity uses to mitigate such risks.

3.1 For environmental risks, relevant strategies to discuss may include, but are not limited to, the diversification of suppliers, supplier training programs on environmental best management practices, expenditures on research and development for alternative and substitute crops, and audits or certifications of suppliers’ environmental practices.

3.2 For social risks, relevant strategies to discuss include, but are not limited to, supplier training programs on agrochemical application, engagement with suppliers on labor and human rights issues, and maintenance of a supply chain code of conduct.