

Modernising XBRL

Project Tavi and the Regulatory Playbook

ITCG Meeting October 2026

John Turner

Modernisation

Project Tavi

In summary -- where we left off

I spoke to [the ITCG in March](#) about “OIM Taxonomy”

- **Attractive:** OIM taxonomies should appeal to most stakeholders. Easier to maintain, simpler nomenclature, new capabilities, and far simpler to support.
- **Up for grabs:** the approach was slowly reaching consensus, but with a long road through consultation, the XBRL Standard Board and (eventually) Board gates still ahead.
- **Transition:** never too early to think about it. HTML5, ISO 20022 and IFRS 18 each offer lessons for change management. We think it will take time.
- **Market-driven:** no deprecation of 2.1. Adoption decided by individual markets and regulators.



What has happened since

A First Public Working Draft is now available


- The draft of the next generation specification has been published, with the approval of the XBRL Standards Board.
- “Project Tavi” is a working name only. Stay tuned.
- This is an early draft. The scope will narrow and requirements can and will be solidified.
- The first comments are due next week, but there will be another PWD before long.



Comments welcome at oim-feedback@xbrl.org


Project Tavi – High Level Goals

Modernising and simplifying XBRL



Project Tavi

A JSON first* representation of a taxonomy



What it could mean for the IFRS Taxonomy

Early observations, offered for discussion

1 **Authoring and maintenance:** simpler, and clearer versioning between annual releases.

2 **Validation rules:** much closer in style to today's XULE. This may eventually matter more to regulators than the IFRS Foundation itself, although such rules are unlikely to convert back to 2.1.


3 **Coexistence:** an initial OIM release would need to carry or generate a 2.1 profile, with provable conversion, so that jurisdictions on 2.1 are not left behind.

? **Questions for the ITCG & Foundation:** which features of the IFRS Taxonomy must the draft handle well (dimensional models, references, multilingual labels, entity-specific extensions?)... what else?

What has not changed

XBRL 2.1 is not being deprecated

- Existing taxonomies and filings remain valid. There is no migration deadline.
- Vendors are strongly advised to wait for Candidate Recommendation before investing.




- *We are at Public Working Draft Number 1. Quite a lot will likely change.*

Transition

Standards setter pattern from March, probably unchanged?

Eventually the standards setter will be able to stop producing the 2.1 artefacts although profile/conversion mechanism will mean market/jurisdictions can continue to generate 2.1 from OIM.



The Regulatory Playbook

<https://playbook.xbrl.org>

The XBRL Regulatory Playbook

Building regulators' capacity to run digital reporting programmes



30+ articles



5 modules



nearly **350** pages

Guidance for regulators from first readiness questions, through design decisions, to running a programme day to day.



01

Introduction

What XBRL is, and why it matters now



02

Business Decisions

Format, extensions, support for small preparers



03

Ecosystem Development

Engaging vendors, auditors and reporting entities



04

Technical Aspects

Building or reusing a taxonomy, and how long it takes



05

Data, Storage & Analysis

Collection, storage, republishing and use



For teams starting a programme, and for onboarding people joining existing projects, including for sustainability reporting.

In context: Why Playbook?

A common reference for jurisdictions adopting XBRL – and the IFRS Taxonomies

playbook.xbrl.org
playbook.xbrl.org

- **Consistent question:** “is there something that walks us through an XBRL project from scratch?” Many adopting jurisdictions ask it. The Playbook gives them, and us, a common answer.
- **Local decisions:** it covers the choices that shape how the IFRS Taxonomy is used locally: format, whether to allow extensions, taxonomy reuse, and support for smaller preparers.
- **A living document:** it will be revised as Tavi progresses, so that new implementers are prepared for the transition hopefully rather than surprised by it.
- **Next steps:** a taught curriculum, initially for emerging markets.

DISCUSSION & QUESTIONS

- **Review the draft against the IFRS Taxonomy's needs:** oim-feedback@xbrl.org
- **Point regulators in your networks towards the Playbook**, and provide feedback.
- **Partnering:** training and certification pilots in your own jurisdiction.



Our **purpose** is to improve the accountability and transparency of business performance globally, by providing the open data exchange standard for business reporting.

Our standards are freely licensed. We operate in the public interest as a not-for-profit standards development organisation.



Join Us!

<https://www.xbrl.org>

Legal Notices

The information contained in this presentation represents the opinions of the speaker and may not represent the views of XBRL International, the Board of XBRL International or the consensus opinions of the XBRL Standards Board or Best Practices Board. Nothing in this presentation should be taken to be investment advice and all data representations are merely indicative. The reader should note that only XBRL Specifications that have reached Recommendation status are considered final and suitable for use in software and mission critical systems and must then be used in line with the XBRL International License Agreement. XBRL®, Inline XBRL™, Table Linkbase™ and the XBRL mark are Registered Trademarks or Trademarks of XBRL International Inc in the European Union, United States, China, Japan, India and internationally and may not be used without the permission of XBRL International, Inc including through its Trademark policies and agreements.

Copyright © 2001-2026 XBRL International Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this presentation file may be reproduced in any form or by any means without written permission from XBRL International Inc.