
IASB® meeting

Date	May 2026
Project	Amendments to the Fair Value Option (IAS 28)
Topic	Due process (fair value option in IAS 28)
Contacts	Karen Robson (karen.robson@ifrs.org) Riana Wiesner (rwiesner@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). This paper does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual IASB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS® Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB® *Update*.

Introduction and purpose

1. In February 2026, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) published the [Exposure Draft](#) *Amendments to the Fair Value Option for Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures* which proposed amendments to paragraphs 18–19 of IAS 28 *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures*. The comment period ended on 20 April 2026.
2. Agenda paper 12E sets out the structure of the agenda papers for this meeting, the background of the proposed amendments, a summary of the proposals in the Exposure Draft and an overview of the feedback on those proposals.
3. This paper:
 - (a) sets out the steps in the [IFRS Foundation Due Process Handbook](#) (Due Process Handbook) that the IASB has taken in developing the amendments to IAS 28;
 - (b) asks the IASB to confirm it is satisfied that it has complied with the due process requirements; and
 - (c) asks whether any IASB member intends to dissent from the amendments.

Structure of this paper

4. This paper is structured as follows:
 - (a) [re-exposure](#) (paragraphs 6–9);
 - (b) [intention to dissent](#) (paragraph 10);
 - (c) [confirmation of due process steps](#) (paragraphs 11–12);
 - (d) [proposed timetable for balloting and publication](#) (paragraph 13); and
 - (e) [questions for the IASB](#).
5. There are two appendices to this paper:
 - (a) [Appendix A](#)—Extracts from the Due Process Handbook; and
 - (b) [Appendix B](#)—Actions taken to meet the due process requirements.

Due process steps and permission for balloting

Re-exposure

6. If the IASB agrees with our recommendations in Agenda Paper 12F, it will have tentatively decided to finalise the proposals in the Exposure Draft *Amendments to the Fair Value Option for Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures* subject to some minor drafting improvements (see Appendix A to Agenda Paper 12F).
7. In light of these minor changes, we considered the requirements in paragraphs 6.29–6.32 of the *Due Process Handbook* (reproduced in Appendix A to this paper) to assess whether the IASB should re-expose the amendments.
8. In our view, the recommended changes respond to stakeholder feedback without fundamentally changing the amendments proposed in the Exposure Draft and it is therefore unlikely that re-exposure would reveal new information or feedback not already considered by the IASB.

9. Accordingly, we recommend that the IASB finalise the amendments as re-exposure of the amendments is not required.

Intention to dissent

10. In accordance with paragraph 6.27 of *Due Process Handbook*, we are asking, in this paper, whether any IASB member intends to dissent from issuing the amendments.

Confirmation of due process steps

11. In our view, the IASB has undertaken all the due process activities identified as being required in the *Due Process Handbook* and, thus, is able to finalise the amendments. Appendix B summarises the due process steps taken in developing the amendments. The applicable due process steps to date for issuing the amendments have been completed.
12. We request permission to start the balloting process if the IASB is satisfied that:
- (a) it has been provided with sufficient analysis, and
 - (b) it has undertaken appropriate consultation and due process to support issuing the amendments.

Proposed timetable for balloting and publication

13. The balloting process for the amendments to IAS 28 will commence in the near term, with the amendments planned for issue in mid-2026.

Questions for the IASB

Questions for the IASB

1. **Re-exposure**—does the IASB agree with our recommendation in paragraph 9 to not re-expose the amendments?

Questions for the IASB

2. **Dissent**—does any IASB member intend to dissent from issuing the amendments?
3. **Permission to ballot**—is the IASB satisfied it has complied with the applicable due process requirements and that it has undertaken sufficient consultation and analysis to begin the balloting process for the amendments?

Appendix A—Extracts from the *Due Process Handbook*

A1. We reproduced below the paragraphs from the *Due Process Handbook* (revised 30 April 2026) that include the requirements the IASB applies in considering re-exposure:

6.29 When considering whether there is a need for re-exposure, a board:

- (a) identifies substantial issues that emerged during the comment period on the exposure draft that it had not previously considered;
- (b) assesses the evidence that it has considered;
- (c) assesses whether it has sufficiently understood the issues, implications and likely effects of the new requirements and actively sought the views of interested parties; and
- (d) considers whether the various viewpoints were appropriately represented in the exposure draft and adequately discussed in the basis for conclusions on the exposure draft.

6.30 A Standard or an amendment to a Standard will inevitably include changes to the proposals in the exposure draft. However, changes to the proposals by a board before the Standard or amendment is issued do not necessarily compel the board to expose revised proposals. The board assesses whether the changes it has made after publishing the exposure draft include any fundamental changes on which respondents have not had the opportunity to comment because they were not contemplated or discussed in the exposure draft or the basis for conclusions on the exposure draft. The board also considers whether it will learn anything new by exposing revised proposals. If the board is satisfied that the changes that it has made respond to the feedback and that it is unlikely that re-exposure would reveal any new concerns, it proceeds to finalise the proposed requirements.

6.31 The more extensive and fundamental the changes from the exposure draft, especially if the changes from the exposure draft would require a significant change from current practice—the more likely the need for re-exposure. However, the board weighs the cost of delaying improvements to financial reporting against the relative urgency to introduce the changes and any additional steps it has taken to consult stakeholders since the exposure draft was published. Consultative groups or targeted consultation can give the board information to inform a decision to finalise the proposed requirements without re-exposure.

6.32 A board decides at a public meeting whether to publish revised proposals for comment. If the board decides that re-exposure is necessary, the due process followed is the same as for the first exposure draft. However, after the first exposure of a proposed Standard, a shortened comment period might be appropriate, particularly if the board is seeking comments on only aspects of the revised proposals, while recognising that respondents might not limit their comments to these aspects. The public comment period for such documents will normally be at least 90 days. However, the board can set a comment of period of less than 90 days in accordance with paragraphs 6.8–6.9.

Appendix B— Actions taken to meet the due process requirements

B1. The following table summarises the actions taken to meet the due process requirements:

Step	Required / Optional	Actions
Consideration of information gathered during consultation		
The IASB posts all of the comment letters that are received in relation to the Exposure Draft on the project pages.	Required	All comment letters received by the IASB (81) have been posted on the project website here . ¹
The IASB and the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee) meetings are held in public, with papers being available for observers. All decisions are made in public sessions.	Required	The IASB discussed the feedback on the Exposure Draft, and our analysis and recommendations on the matters identified in that feedback at this meeting (see Agenda Paper 12F for this meeting). All staff papers for the meeting are publicly available. The project webpage has up-to-date information about all technical papers related to the project.
Analysis of likely effects of the forthcoming Standard or major amendment, for example, costs or ongoing associated costs.	Required	The IASB considered the likely effects of the amendment at each stage of their development. The IASB concluded that the benefits of the proposed amendments would outweigh the costs because they would: (i) reduce diversity in how stakeholders interpret which entities are eligible to use the fair value option in IAS 28; (ii) affect only those eligible entities that elect to use the fair value option in IAS 28; and (iii) enable eligible entities to classify income and expenses from investments in associates and joint ventures in the statement of profit or loss in a way that provides useful information to users of financial statements.

¹ Including two comment letters received after the comment letter deadline.

Round-table and outreach meetings to promote debate and hear views on proposals that are published for public comment.	Optional	After the Exposure Draft was published, the proposed amendments were discussed with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the Accounting Standards Advisory Forum at its March 2026 meeting; and (ii) the Financial Instruments Consultation Group at its March 2026 meeting.
Finalisation		
IASB reviews due process steps.	Required	This step will be met by this Agenda Paper.
IASB considers whether there is a need for re-exposure.	Required	Paragraphs 6–9 of this paper discuss re-exposure. We recommend not re-exposing the amendments.
The IASB sets an effective date for the Standard, considering the need for effective implementation.	Required	Agenda Paper 12F discusses the effective date.
Drafting		
Drafting quality assurance steps are adequate.	Required	To be completed as part of the drafting and balloting process for issuing the final amendments. The Editorial team will review the amendments at different stages of the balloting process. We intend to send a draft of the amendments to external parties for review before finalisation. This process provides us with feedback on the clarity and understandability of the amendments.
Publication		
News release to announce the final Standard.	Required	To be completed in due course. A news release will be published with the amendments.
A Feedback Statement is provided which provides high level executive summaries of the Standard and explains how the IASB has responded to the comments received.	Required	Not considered necessary because this project is narrow in scope. According to paragraph 6.41 of the <i>Due Process Handbook</i> , a Feedback Statement is required for all new IFRS Accounting Standards and major amendments.
Standard is published.	Required	The amendments will be made available on our website when published.