
IASB[®] meeting

Date	June 2026
Project	Post-implementation Review of IFRS 16 Leases
Topic	Cover paper
Contacts	Raf Markowski (rmarkowski@ifrs.org) Tim Craig (tcraig@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). This paper does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual IASB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS[®] Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB[®] *Update*.

Papers for this meeting

1. At its meeting in [February 2026](#), the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) discussed the summary of feedback to Question 6 in the [Request for Information Post-implementation Review of IFRS 16 Leases \(RFI\)](#). This question sought other information relevant to the Post-implementation Review of IFRS 16 not covered elsewhere in the RFI, including information about the effects of applying IFRS 16 with other IFRS Accounting Standards.
2. Agenda papers for this meeting include:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 7A *Effects of applying IFRS 16 with other IFRS Accounting Standards*; and
 - (b) Agenda Paper 7B *Other matters relevant to the assessment of the effects of IFRS 16*.
3. Appendix A to this paper summarises Questions 2–5 in the RFI, stakeholders' feedback and the IASB's discussions and tentative decisions to date.
4. At a future IASB meeting, we will present our analysis of stakeholders' overall assessment of IFRS 16 (feedback to Question 1 in the RFI), which will conclude the IASB's deliberations of the feedback.

Appendix A—Summary of discussions and tentative decisions

A1. This table summarises the questions in the RFI, stakeholders’ feedback, and the IASB’s discussions and tentative decisions to date.

Question in the RFI	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
<p>Question 2— Usefulness of information resulting from lessees’ application of judgement</p>	<p>Many stakeholders who commented generally agreed that the usefulness of financial information resulting from lessees’ application of judgement is largely as the IASB expected. However, most stakeholders acknowledged that significant judgements might lead to inconsistent application and reduce comparability between entities.</p> <p>Although many stakeholders agreed that the requirements in IFRS 16 generally provide a clear and sufficient basis for entities to make appropriate judgements, they still suggested the IASB provide additional guidance, illustrative examples and specific disclosure requirements to support consistent application of the requirements and improve comparability.</p>	<p>March 2026:</p> <p>The IASB tentatively decided not to add a research project to its project pipeline to explore making targeted improvements to requirements affecting the usefulness of information resulting from lessees applying judgement—for example, by developing specific requirements for lessees to disclose significant judgements made in determining the lease term and the discount rate.</p> <p>The IASB tentatively decided not to take action in response to other matters related to lessees’ judgement that stakeholders raised in response to Question 2 in the RFI.</p>
<p>Question 3— Usefulness of information about lessees’ lease-related cash flows</p>	<p>Many stakeholders commented on the usefulness of information about lessees’ lease-related cash flows (Question 3 in the RFI). Of those stakeholders:</p>	<p>April 2026:</p> <p>The IASB tentatively decided to explore (in its project on the Statement of Cash Flows and Related Matters) requiring lessees to disclose the components of the total cash outflow</p>

Question in the RFI	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
	<p>(a) many agreed that, overall, the improvements to the quality and comparability of financial information about lease-related cash flows that lessees present and disclose are largely as the IASB expected; and</p> <p>(b) many either explicitly said that the improvements are lower (or significantly lower) than expected or provided mixed feedback.</p>	<p>for leases together with the line item in the statement of cash flows in which each component is presented.</p> <p>The IASB tentatively decided to take no action in response to other matters raised by stakeholders related to the usefulness of information about lessees' lease-related cash flows, and it directed the staff to share the relevant feedback with the staff working on the Statement of Cash Flows and Related Matters project.</p>
<p>Question 4—Ongoing costs for lessees of applying the measurement requirements</p>	<p>Some stakeholders who commented said the ongoing costs are reasonable. However, most stakeholders who commented on the ongoing costs for lessees of applying the measurement requirements in IFRS 16, expressed concerns about high ongoing costs, with many of them saying that they are significantly higher than the IASB expected.</p>	<p>March 2026:</p> <p>The IASB tentatively decided to add a research project to its project pipeline. The project will explore whether it would be feasible to mitigate the higher-than-expected ongoing costs for lessees of applying the measurement requirements in IFRS 16 without a significant negative effect on the usefulness of lease-related financial information. The IASB tentatively decided to explore the feasibility of reducing costs relating to discount rates and remeasurements of the lease liability.</p>

Question in the RFI	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
		<p>The IASB tentatively decided not to take action in response to other matters raised by stakeholders related to the ongoing costs of applying the measurement requirements.</p>
<p>Question 5—Potential improvements to future transition requirements</p>	<p>Of the many stakeholders who provided feedback to Question 5 in the RFI:</p> <p>(a) most agreed that IFRS 16’s transition requirements achieved an appropriate balance between reducing costs for preparers and providing useful information to users of financial statements; and</p> <p>(b) some said allowing multiple transition options created comparability issues.</p> <p>Many stakeholders provided recommendations for future standard-setting projects, particularly about having multiple transition options. Most of the stakeholders who commented specifically on having multiple transition options recommended that the IASB should provide flexibility in transition options, while some others expressed concerns about allowing multiple transition options.</p>	<p>February 2026:</p> <p>The IASB discussed the feedback to Question 5 in the RFI. The IASB was not asked for any decisions. In the staff’s view, no further analysis of the feedback is required and the IASB does not need to discuss this topic any further during phase 2 of the Post-implementation Review of IFRS 16. The staff will consider which messages and suggestions for future standard-setting projects to include in the Project Summary and Feedback Statement on this Post-implementation Review.</p>