

Agenda reference: 18

IASB® meeting

Date October 2025

Project Business Combinations—Disclosures, Goodwill and Impairment

Topic Cover Paper

Contacts Richard Brown (rbrown@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). This paper does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual IASB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS® Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB® *Update*.

Introduction and purpose

- 1. In recent meetings, we have presented our initial analysis of feedback on whether to require an entity to disclose performance and expected synergy information, which focussed on topics that pertain to both the proposed performance and expected synergy information (common topics). Paragraph A1(b) in Appendix A summarises these discussions.
- 2. In this meeting, we present our initial analysis of feedback specific to each of performance and expected synergy information, including:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 18A which discusses whether to retain a management approach for disclosing performance information and which level of management to use;
 - (b) Agenda Paper 18B which discusses the time period for disclosing performance information and disclosures required within that time period;
 - (c) Agenda Paper 18C which discusses remaining feedback specific to performance information including integration of acquiree, clarification requests and suggestions for additional disclosures; and
 - (d) Agenda Paper 18D which discusses expected synergy information.





Agenda reference: 18

- 3. The agenda papers for these meetings only consider particular aspects of performance and expected synergy information. For example, they do not consider:
 - (a) the subset of business combinations for which an entity would be required to disclose performance information; or
 - (b) the proposed exemption from some disclosure requirements.
- 4. The agenda papers for this meeting do not ask the IASB to make decisions. However, we ask IASB members for comments and questions on our analysis of the topics and initial views.

Update and next steps

- 5. This meeting continues our initial analysis of feedback on the proposals to require an entity to disclose performance and expected synergy information.
- 6. In line with the redeliberation plan outlined in <u>Agenda Paper 18G</u> for the IASB's January 2025 meeting and the next steps discussed in recent IASB meetings, we expect to continue consulting¹:
 - (a) on aspects of the <u>Exposure Draft's</u> proposals to exempt an entity from disclosing some performance and expected synergy information in specific situations (following the IASB's June 2025 meeting);
 - (b) to confirm our understanding of concerns regarding the auditability of, and any possible audit expectations gap relating to the proposed requirements to disclose performance and expected synergy information and assess if respondents' suggestions would mitigate those concerns raised (following the IASB's July 2025 meeting)

¹ We have already started consulting. For example, we discussed aspects of the exemption and aspects of the proposal to remove the requirement to exclude restructuring and enhancement cash flows at the IFRS Interpretations Committee's September 2025 meeting and the Advisory Forum's October 2025 meeting.





- (c) on aspects of the Exposure Draft's proposal to remove the requirement to exclude restructuring and enhancement cash flows when calculating value in use (VIU) of an asset or a cash-generating unit (CGU) (following the IASB's July 2025 meeting); and
- (d) on aspects of our analysis of feedback specific to each of performance and expected synergy information as discussed at, and as directed by IASB members in, this meeting.
- 7. We will report feedback from consultations to the IASB and present our updated analysis and views in future meetings. As paragraph 8 of the redeliberation plan outlined in Agenda Paper 18G for the IASB's January 2025 meeting explains, we recognise the strong interdependencies between various aspects of the proposed requirements relating to performance expected synergy information. We will therefore ask the IASB to consider those proposed requirements collectively when deciding whether to proceed with, and whether and how to amend details of, the proposals.
- 8. We will ask the IASB to redeliberate other proposals as and when time permits.





Appendix A—Summary of discussions and tentative decisions

A1. This table summarises the Exposure Draft proposals, respondents' feedback and the IASB's discussions and tentative decisions during redeliberations.

Topic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
(a) Objective	The project's objective is to explore whether an entity can, at a reasonable cost, provide users with more useful information about business combinations.	Although not specifically asked, some respondents provided feedback on the objective, of which most agreed, and some expressed concerns about whether the proposals go far enough.	February 2025: the IASB tentatively decided to retain the project objective but to adjust its wording to reflect the stage of the project.
(b) Whether to require performance and expected synergies information	Disclose performance and expected synergies information in financial statements. The specific information proposed is shown in rows below.	There were divergent views. In particular, almost all users confirmed the need for the information and most preparers continued to disagree with requiring the information in financial statements. Respondents who disagreed	September 2025: the IASB discussed usefulness of performance and expected synergy information. The IASB also discussed: (a) conceptual reasons—the IASB discussed conceptual reasons in March 2025. The IASB tentatively decided to continue to redeliberate the proposed



Topic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
		generally gave the following common reasons: (a) conceptual reasons; (b) auditability and expectations gap; (c) commercial sensitivity and litigation risks arising from disclosure of forward-looking information; and (d) monetary costs and other concerns.	requirements for an entity to disclose performance and expected synergy information. (b) commercial sensitivity and litigation risks—the IASB had an initial discussion in June 2025 but did not make any tentative decisions. The staff will consult on specific aspects before asking the IASB for tentative decisions. (c) auditability and expectations gap—the IASB had an initial discussion in July 2025. The staff will consult on specific aspects before asking the IASB for tentative decisions. (d) monetary costs and other concerns—the IASB had an initial discussion in September 2025 but did not make any tentative decisions. The staff will



Тор	ic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
				consider costs as part of the cost-benefit trade-off.
(c)	Performance information— subset	Disclose performance information for only a subset of material business combinations—referred to as strategic business combinations—identified by quantitative and qualitative thresholds.	Respondents generally supported a subset approach. However: (a) many agreed with a threshold approach but many others suggested a principles-based approach; (b) most disagreed with at least one of the proposed thresholds; and (c) some expressed concerns about the term 'strategic'.	April 2025: the IASB had an initial discussion but did not make any tentative decisions. The staff will consult on specific aspects before asking the IASB for tentative decisions.
(d)	Performance information— management approach and other feedback	Disclose performance information based on the information reviewed by the entity's key management personnel (KMP), including:	(a) Most respondents agreed with disclosing KOTs based on the information reviewed by KMP and some respondents disagreed; and	To be discussed at this meeting.



Тор	ic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
		(a) acquisition-date key objectives and related targets (KOTs); and(b) the extent to which those KOTs are being met in subsequent periods, for as long as KMP review that information.	(b) many respondents agreed with disclosing information for as long as KMP review, some respondents disagreed and some did not comment.	
(e)	Expected synergy information	Information about expected synergies for each business combination including: (a) a description of expected synergies by category; and (b) for each category: (i) the estimated amounts; (ii) the estimated costs; and (iii) the expected timing.	Some respondents (including most users and user groups) agreed but most respondents (including almost all preparers and preparer groups and accounting firms) disagreed.	To be discussed at this meeting.
(f)	Exemption from some disclosure requirements	An entity would be exempt from disclosing expected synergy information and some aspects of	Almost all respondents agreed with having an exemption but many said the exemption should	June 2025: the IASB had an initial discussion but did not make any tentative decisions.



Topic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
	performance information if doing so can be expected to prejudice seriously the achievement of any of the entity's acquisition-date key objectives for the business combination. The Exposure Draft also proposed application guidance to accompany the exemption.	be expanded to cover other situations in which information would be so commercially sensitive that it should not be disclosed in financial statements.	The staff will consult on specific aspects before asking the IASB for tentative decisions.
(g) Other IFRS 3 disclosures	Amendments including: (a) to add two new disclosure objectives; (b) to require disclosure of the 'strategic rationale' instead of 'primary reasons' for a business combination; and (c) to specify that for the information about the contribution of an acquired business:	 (a) Almost all respondents agreed; (b) almost all respondents agreed; and (c) for the contribution of an acquired business: (i) most respondents agreed; (ii) most respondents agreed; 	May 2025: the IASB tentatively decided: (a) to retain the proposed disclosure objectives to guide its redeliberations; (b) to retain the proposal; and (c) for the contribution of an acquired business: (i)—(ii) to retain the proposals; and (i) to instead require an entity to disclose the basis on which it



Topic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
	(i) the amount of profit or loss is the amount of 'operating' profit or loss; (ii) application guidance would not be provided; and (iii) the basis for preparing the information is an accounting policy.	(iii) many agreed but many disagreed.	prepared combined entity information.
(h) Restructuring and asset enhancement cash flows	Remove the requirement to exclude restructuring and asset enhancement cash flows when calculating value in use (VIU) of an asset or a cashgenerating unit (CGU).	Many respondents agreed but many others disagreed.	July 2025: the IASB had an initial discussion but did not make any tentative decisions. The staff will consult on specific aspects before asking the IASB for tentative decisions.
(i) Allocating goodwill to CGUs	Targeted changes to IAS 36 to improve how entities allocate goodwill to CGUs and therefore reduce shielding.	Many respondents agreed but many others disagreed (including almost all respondents who suggest reintroducing amortisation of goodwill).	To be discussed at a future meeting.



Тор	ic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
(j)	Segment disclosure	Disclose in which reportable segment a CGU (or group of CGUs) containing goodwill is included.	Most respondents agreed and some disagreed.	July 2025: the IASB tentatively decided to require an entity to disclose the reportable segment in which a cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units containing goodwill is included.
(k)	Post-tax cash flows and discount rates	Remove the requirement to use pre- tax cash flows and discount rate when calculating VIU.	Almost all respondents agreed and a few disagreed.	July 2025: The IASB tentatively decided: (a) to remove the requirement for an entity to use pre-tax cash flows and a pre-tax discount rate for calculating value in use; and (b) to require an entity to disclose whether the discount rate used in calculating value in use is pre-tax or post-tax.
(1)	Subsidiaries without public accountability	To require an eligible subsidiary to disclose: (a) expected synergy information; (b) the strategic rationale for a business combination;	(a) Many respondents agreed but many others disagreed. Most of the respondents who disagreed also disagreed with disclosing expected synergy	To be discussed at a future meeting.



Topic	Exposure Draft proposals	Feedback	Discussions and tentative decisions
	(c) information about the contribution of the acquired business; and(d) whether the discount rate used in an impairment test is pre-tax or post-tax.	information more generally for all entities. (b–d) most respondents agreed.	
(m) Transition	(a) To require entities already applying IFRS Accounting Standards to apply the amendments prospectively with earlier application permitted; and (b) no transition relief for first-time adopters.	 (a) Most respondents agreed and some disagreed, particularly with the proposed transition requirements for IAS 36; and (b) most respondents agreed and some disagreed. 	To be discussed at a future meeting.