
IFRS[®] Interpretations Committee meeting

Date **November 2025**

Project **Updates to Agenda Decisions for IFRS 18**

Topic **Comment letters—changes to nine agenda decisions**

Contact Dennis Deysel (ddeysel@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee). This paper does not represent the views of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), the Committee or any individual member of the IASB or the Committee. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS[®] Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB[®] *Update*. The Committee's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in IFRIC[®] *Update*.

Objective

1. As Agenda Paper 9 explains, in this agenda paper we analyse feedback on the proposed updates to nine of the 10 agenda decisions. We analyse comments about the agenda decision [*Supply Chain Financing Arrangements—Reverse Factoring \(December 2020\)*](#) (reverse factoring agenda decision) in Agenda Paper 9B of this meeting.

Structure

2. We structure this paper by agenda decision. This paper comprises:
 - (a) summary of staff view (paragraph 3);
 - (b) I—Disclosure of Revenues and Expenses for Reportable Segments (paragraphs 4–10);
 - (c) II—Demand Deposits with Restrictions on Use arising from a Contract with a Third Party (paragraphs 11–17);
 - (d) III—Subsequent Expenditure on Biological Assets (paragraphs 18–25);

-
- (e) IV—Disclosure of Changes in Liabilities Arising from Financing Activities (paragraphs 26–28);
 - (f) V—Physical settlement of contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item (paragraphs 29–34);
 - (g) VI—Presentation of income and expenses arising on financial instruments with a negative yield (paragraphs 35–40);
 - (h) VII—Presentation of payments on non-income taxes (paragraphs 41–43);
 - (i) VIII—Classification of tonnage taxes (paragraphs 45–48);
 - (j) IX—Current or non-current asset classification—normal operating cycle (paragraphs 49–54);
 - (k) staff recommendation (paragraph 55); and
 - (l) questions to the Committee.

Summary of staff view

3. Based on our analysis, we recommend finalising the updates to the nine agenda decisions discussed in this paper with some changes to the wording that was exposed for comment. Agenda Paper 9C of this meeting includes our suggested wording for the updates to the agenda decisions.

I—Disclosure of Revenues and Expenses for Reportable Segments

Background

4. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Disclosure of Revenues and Expenses for Reportable Segments](#) included:¹
- (a) replacing the reference to paragraphs 30–31 of IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* with a reference to the principles of aggregation and disaggregation in paragraphs 41–43 of IFRS 18 *Presentation and Disclosures in Financial Statements*; and
 - (b) reflecting the consequential amendment to IFRS 8 *Operating Segments* from IFRS 18—this consequential amendment changes the reference to paragraph 97 of IAS 1 included in paragraph 23(f) of IFRS 8 to paragraph 42 of IFRS 18.

Feedback

5. Six respondents comment on the updates to this agenda decision. Four of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates.
6. Two respondents that also disagree with the Committee’s overall approach (see Agenda Paper 9)—say the proposed updates to this agenda decision replace references to IAS 1 relating to materiality and aggregation with equivalent references to IFRS 18 assuming that the requirements remain largely unchanged. Although the differences in the wording of the paragraphs in IAS 1 and IFRS 18 are subtle, they are important and could affect an entity’s analysis and conclusion. Both cite changing the reference to paragraph 97 of IAS 1 to paragraph 42 of IFRS 18 as an example. They suggest

¹ When we list the proposed updates, we include those changes that relate to requirements in IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* that have not been brought forward unchanged and any changes that respondents referred to in their comments.

reconsidering the agenda decision for the requirements in IFRS 18 as opposed to only updating the references.

7. Additionally:
 - (a) one respondent that agreed (or did not disagree) with the proposed updates questions whether including the principles of disaggregation alongside the principles of aggregation changes the original emphasis or meaning. The original agenda decision says an entity applies the requirements in paragraphs 30–31 of IAS 1 in considering how to aggregate information in its financial statements.
 - (b) one respondent suggests amending the proposed update to refer to paragraph B79 of IFRS 18 by also including a reference to paragraph B78.

Staff analysis

8. We note that only two respondents raise concerns about the proposed updates to this agenda decision. As we note in paragraph 26(c) of Agenda Paper 9, we acknowledge the requirements in IFRS 18 referred to in the updates to the agenda decisions are different from the requirements in IAS 1 that they replace. Updating the references to IAS 1 to the appropriate new references in IFRS 18 does not imply that the Committee is of the view that IFRS 18 will not affect how an entity presents or discloses information in its financial statements in respect of the matters considered in this agenda decision. Applying the aggregation and disaggregation requirements to an entity's specific facts and circumstances requires an entity to apply its judgement when determining the appropriate accounting. We think the need to consider those principles and apply judgement—and not necessarily the outcome of that consideration—will remain the same when entities apply the equivalent (but different) requirements in IFRS 18.

-
9. With respect to the other comments on the proposed updates to this agenda decision:
- (a) as paragraph 4(b) notes, the change in the reference to paragraph 97 of IAS 1 to paragraph 42 of IFRS 18 simply reflects the consequential amendment to IFRS 8 resulting from IFRS 18. If the change from the consequential amendment was the only change to this agenda decision, the IASB would have—consistent with the IASB’s typical approach to updating agenda decisions when new requirements become effective²—simply updated the reference.
 - (b) paragraph 42 of IFRS 18 refers to only paragraph B79 of that Standard (and not to paragraph B78). Consequently, we continue to think it is best to also only refer to paragraph B79 in the agenda decision.
 - (c) paragraphs 29–31 of IAS 1 include requirements about materiality and aggregation—with paragraph 29 setting out the principle that states, ‘[a]n entity shall present separately each material class of similar items. An entity shall present separately items of a dissimilar nature or function unless they are immaterial.’ According to IAS 1, an entity considered the materiality and characteristics of nature or function of items to determine how to aggregate them. IFRS 18 introduces another requirement for presenting line items in the primary financial statements than materiality—it requires an entity to consider the roles of the primary financial statements to present useful structured summaries. Therefore, we continue to agree with the Committee that the equivalent (but different) requirements in IFRS 18 to replace paragraph 30–31 of IAS 1 is paragraphs 41–43 of IFRS 18.
10. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our

² See paragraph 6 of Agenda Paper 5 to the Committee’s June 2025 meeting.

suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

II—Demand Deposits with Restrictions on Use arising from a Contract with a Third Party

Background

11. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Demand Deposits with Restrictions on Use arising from a Contract with a Third Party](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 55 of IAS 1 with a reference to the requirements for information to be presented in the primary financial statements in paragraphs 23–24 of IFRS 18—these requirements have not been brought forward unchanged to IFRS 18 because that Standard introduces the roles of the primary financial statements to provide useful structured summaries.

Feedback

12. Seven respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision. Five of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates. One of the 5 respondents specifically says references to paragraphs 23–24 of IFRS 18—which require an entity to disaggregate cash and cash equivalents when necessary to provide a useful structured summary—appropriately replace the previous reference to paragraph 55 of IAS 1.
13. Two other respondents that also disagree with the overall approach (see Agenda Paper 9) say this agenda decision illustrates that applying IFRS 18 could lead to different outcomes compared to IAS 1. They suggest reassessing the question in the light of the aggregation and disaggregation principles in IFRS 18. One of these respondents says the proposed updates do not include all the relevant paragraphs in

IFRS 18 that an entity would need to consider. They cite paragraphs 41 and B109–B111 of IFRS 18.³ For example, paragraph B110 of IFRS 18 elaborates on the judgement involved in determining whether items have similar or dissimilar characteristics and requires an entity to consider ‘restrictions on the use of an asset’. The respondent acknowledges that the original agenda decision also does not refer to paragraphs 58–59 of IAS 1 (which list the factors an entity considers when determining whether present additional line items).

Staff analysis

14. We agree with the observation that the original agenda decision did not include the equivalent paragraph in IAS 1 to, for example, paragraphs B109–B110 of IFRS 18. In [Agenda Paper 5A](#) of the Committee’s June 2025 meeting, we suggested including additional references as part of the proposed updates (see paragraph A2 of that paper). However, the Committee decided to not include these references as part of the proposed updates but rather only replace the original references with equivalent references. This is because adding these additional references would broaden the considerations that the Committee included in the original agenda decision. We continue to agree with the Committee’s decision and think including additional references would go beyond the scope of the updates the IASB asked the Committee to consider.
15. We accept that other paragraphs in IFRS 18 (like paragraphs B109–B110) are relevant to an entity’s considerations in determining the line items to present in its statement of financial position. We considered whether the agenda decision, and in particular, the section ‘Presentation in the statement of financial position’ of the agenda decision

³ Paragraph 41 of IFRS 18 *Presentation and Disclosures in Financial Statements* includes the principles of aggregation and disaggregation. Paragraph 105 of IFRS 18 states that paragraphs B109–B111 set out requirements on how an entity uses its judgement to determine whether to present additional line items (including whether it is necessary to disaggregate the line items listed in paragraph 103) in the statement of financial position or disclose items in the notes.

would be incomplete or misleading without those additional references. On balance however, we continue to agree with the Committee that the proposed update to include a reference to only the requirements in paragraphs 23–24 of IFRS 18 does not make the section incomplete or misleading. We note:

- (a) the submitter did not ask specifically about the presentation of the demand deposits in the statement of financial position. The submitter asked about whether an entity includes a demand deposit as a component of cash and cash equivalents in its statements of cash flows and financial position. In the section ‘Presentation in the statement of financial position’ the Committee concluded that the entity presents the demand deposit in the fact pattern as cash and cash equivalents in its statement of financial position and, if relevant to an understanding of its financial position, the entity presents a separate line item for these demand deposits. The proposed updates follow the same reasoning but use the requirements in IFRS 18.
- (b) paragraphs 23–24 explain that an entity considers the role of the statement of financial position to determine whether to present the line items listed in paragraph 103 of IFRS 18 (including cash and cash equivalents) or whether to present line items in addition to those listed. To make this judgement, we think entities would need to consider other relevant requirements and we think the need to consider those other requirements is clear from the context and wording of paragraph 23–24 of IFRS 18. For example, paragraph 24 refers to paragraph B9 which in turn refers to paragraphs B109–B111.

16. If the Committee were to disagree with our analysis in paragraph 15 and think the section on ‘Presentation in the statement of financial position’—and consequently, the agenda decision—would be misleading in the absence of the suggested additional references, the Committee should recommend that the IASB withdraw this agenda decision. Stakeholders can submit a new question to the Committee if diversity on this matter were to be identified.

-
17. However, based on our analysis, on balance, we think the Committee can finalise the proposed updates. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

III—Subsequent Expenditure on Biological Assets

Background

18. The proposed updates to this agenda decision [Subsequent Expenditure on Biological Assets](#) include:
- (a) replacing the reference to paragraph 85 of IAS 1 with a reference to the requirements for information to be presented in the primary financial statements in paragraphs 23–24 of IFRS 18; and
 - (b) replacing the reference to paragraph 99 of IAS 1 with a reference to paragraph 78 of IFRS 18 that require expenses classified in the operating category of the statement of profit or loss to be classified and presented in line items in a way that provides the most useful structured summary of those expenses, using one or both of the nature of expenses or the function of the expenses within the entity.

Feedback

19. Five respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision. Four of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates.

-
20. One respondent says the proposed updates suggest that there are situations in which the subsequent expenditure might not be classified in the operating category. They question the clarity and consistency of the classification requirements in IFRS 18 in relation to subsequent expenditure items.
21. The Committee proposed replacing a reference to paragraphs 81–105 of IAS 1—which includes all requirements in IAS 1 under the heading ‘Statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income’—with a general reference to IFRS 18. One of the 4 respondents that agree (or do not disagree) with the proposed updates suggests replacing the reference to paragraphs 81–105 of IAS 1 with a reference to paragraphs 46–85 of IFRS 18—which includes all requirements in IFRS 18 under the heading ‘Statement of profit or loss’.

Staff analysis

22. The proposed update that says, ‘if the expenses are classified in the operating category of the statement of profit or loss...’ reflects IFRS 18’s requirements—that is, that only expenses classified in the operating category are classified and presented using one or both of the nature of the expenses or the function of the expenses within the entity. We think it does not clarify or introduce any lack of clarity about the classification of subsequent expenditures in the statement of profit or loss—an entity would apply the requirements in IFRS 18 to determine the appropriate classification of those expenditures.
23. It would be inappropriate—and go beyond the scope of the proposed updates the IASB asked the Committee to make—to comment on the classification of those expenditures in the statement of profit or loss. If stakeholders observe diversity in practice in how entities understand the requirements of IFRS 18 to classify such expenses, those stakeholders can submit a question to the Committee. Such a question would be a different question than the one this agenda decision responds to—that is,

whether an entity capitalises subsequent expenditure or, instead, recognises subsequent expenditure as an expense when incurred.

24. We also disagree with the suggestion to replace the reference to paragraphs 81–105 of IAS 1 with a reference to paragraphs 46–85 of IFRS 18. We agree with the Committee that it is better to include instead a general reference to the requirements in IFRS 18. The equivalent references in IFRS 18 for some of the references to requirements in IAS 1 included in the sub-bullets below the sentence that included the reference to paragraphs 81–105 of IAS 1 are included outside paragraphs 46–85 of IFRS 18. For example, the equivalent reference for paragraph 85 of IAS 1 (included in sub-bullet a in the agenda decision) is paragraphs 23–24 of IFRS 18.
25. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might some editorial changes.

IV—Disclosure of Changes in Liabilities Arising from Financing Activities

Background

26. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Disclosure of Changes in Liabilities Arising from Financing Activities](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 30A of IAS 1 with a reference to the new principles of aggregation and disaggregation in paragraphs 41–43 of IFRS 18.

Feedback

27. One respondent suggests limiting the reference change to paragraphs 41–42 of IFRS 18 (as opposed to paragraphs 41–43 of IFRS 18). They say paragraph 43 of IFRS 18 introduces specific requirements regarding labels, which are new and were not reflected in paragraph 30A of IAS 1.

Staff analysis

28. We agree with the respondent and recommend limiting the reference change in the agenda decision to paragraphs 41–42 of IFRS 18. We include this change (together with some editorial changes) in the suggested wording for the agenda decisions in Agenda Paper 9C of this meeting.

V—Physical settlement of contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item**Background**

29. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Physical settlement of contracts to buy or sell a non-financial item](#) include replacing the negative statement that ‘IAS 1 does not specify requirements for the presentation of amounts related to the remeasurement of derivatives’ with a general reference to IFRS 18 and the new requirements in paragraphs B70–B76 of IFRS 18 that set out requirements for classification of gains and losses on derivatives and designated hedging instruments in categories in the statement of profit or loss.

Feedback

30. Six respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision. Five of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates. One respondent says this agenda decision would no longer be of use once IFRS 18 becomes effective and suggests withdrawing the agenda decision.
31. Of the respondents that agree (or do not disagree) with the proposed updates:
- (a) one respondent suggests being more specific in the references to IFRS 18 that the Committee includes to replace the negative statement. They suggest including, for example:
 - (i) references to the roles of the primary financial statements and the notes (paragraphs 15–22);
 - (ii) the presentation of additional line items and subtotals to provide a useful structured summary (paragraphs 23–24);
 - (iii) the principles of aggregation and disaggregation (paragraphs 41–43); and
 - (iv) the disclosure of other information in the notes that is necessary to understand information in the primary financial statements (paragraph 113(c)).
 - (b) one respondent says it is unclear whether the contracts described in the fact pattern are contracts referencing nature-dependent electricity. In December 2024 the IASB issued amendments that might affect how entities account for such contracts. They suggest updating the agenda decision to clarify whether the fact pattern in the agenda decision is in the scope of those amendments.

Staff analysis

32. We disagree with the respondent that says this agenda decision would no longer be of use once IFRS 18 becomes effective. The agenda decision responds to a question about IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* and not IFRS 18 and the explanatory material would, in our view, continue to be useful.
33. We also disagree with the suggestions in paragraph 31 because:
- (a) it is unnecessary to add a list of specific requirements in IFRS 18 to the agenda decision. There are also other requirements in IFRS 18 an entity might be required to consider in addition to those suggested by the respondent. The Committee proposed to refer to paragraphs B70–B76 of IFRS 18 because of the direct applicability of those requirements. Adding a more generic list as suggested by the respondent would also make the agenda decision disproportionately focussed on the requirements in IFRS 18 (when it is addressing a question on IFRS 9).
 - (b) updating the agenda decision to clarify whether the fact pattern in the agenda decision is in the scope of the amendments issued by the IASB in December 2024 would go beyond the scope of the updates the IASB asked the Committee to consider.
34. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

VI—Presentation of income and expenses arising on financial instruments with a negative yield

Background

35. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Presentation of income and expenses arising on financial instruments with a negative yield](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 85 of IAS 1 with a reference to the requirements of when an entity presents additional line items and subtotals in its primary financial statements in paragraph 24 of IFRS 18—these requirements have not been brought forward unchanged because paragraph 24 refers to the role of the primary statements to provide useful structured summaries.

Feedback

36. Six respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision. Five of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates.
37. One respondent suggest that the Committee analyse and add to the updates to this agenda decision an explanation of how the principles of aggregation and disaggregation in paragraph 41 of IFRS 18 and the offsetting requirements in paragraphs 44 and B27 of IFRS 18 affect the entities presentation of the expense arising on a financial asset because of a negative effective interest. The respondent says not doing so might result in a conclusion that is inconsistent with the requirements of IFRS 18. They say, that in the absence of further explanation, an entity might, for example, determine that it is unnecessary to present interest revenue as a separate line item to provide a useful structured summary of its income and expenses. The entity might then aggregate interest revenue with interest expense in a single line item because—in accordance with paragraph B21 of IFRS 18—interest income and interest expense share at least one characteristic (for example,

‘measurement, persistence, or timing of when the asset gives rise to the income or expense’).⁴ The respondent says it is important to clarify this point, because an alternative reading of the proposed updates is that offsetting interest income with the expense arising because of a negative effective interest rate is prohibited. Therefore, paragraphs 44 and B27 of IFRS 18 would prevent further aggregation of these two items when preparing a useful structured summary.

Staff analysis

38. We think including and explaining how other requirements in IFRS 18 apply to the fact pattern goes beyond the updates the IASB asked the Committee to make to the agenda decisions. The original agenda decision did not include references to general requirements in IAS 1, for example, paragraphs 29–31 (materiality and aggregation) and paragraphs 32–35 (offsetting).
39. We think the agenda decision continues to be helpful and would not be misleading even without these additions. The agenda decision explains that interest resulting from a negative effective interest rate on a financial asset is not an income but an expense and, consequently, subject to an appropriate expense classification in the statement of profit or loss. The agenda decision then notes specific presentation requirements in IAS 1 about when an entity presents additional line items in its statement of profit or loss but does not limit the need for an entity to also consider other relevant requirements like materiality and offsetting.
40. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the

⁴ Paragraph B21 of IFRS 18 states, ‘The items aggregated and presented as line items in the primary financial statements shall have at least one similar characteristic other than meeting the definition of assets, liabilities, equity, income, expenses or cash flows. However, because the role of the primary financial statements is to provide useful structured summaries, the line items in the primary financial statements are also likely to aggregate items that have sufficiently dissimilar characteristics that information about the disaggregated items is material.’

agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

VII—Presentation of payments on non-income taxes

Background

41. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Presentation of payments on non-income taxes](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 82(d) of IAS 1 with a reference to paragraph 75(a)(iv) of IFRS 18. Paragraph 82 of IAS 1 requires an entity to present a line item for ‘tax expense’ in the statement of profit or loss. Paragraph 75(a)(iv) of IFRS 18 requires the line item ‘income tax expense or income’. The IASB carried over the line items in paragraph 75 of IFRS 18 from the equivalent list in paragraph 82 of IAS 1 with some wording changes.

Feedback

42. Five respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision and agree (or do not disagree) with the updates. One respondent suggests updating the agenda decision to clarify that taxes not in the scope of IAS 12 *Income Taxes* cannot be presented in the income tax category of the statement of profit or loss.

Staff analysis

43. We think the request from the respondent goes beyond the updates the IASB asked the Committee to make to the agenda decisions. However, in Agenda Paper 8 *Presentation of Taxes or Other Charges that are Not Income Taxes within the Scope of IAS 12 (IFRS 18)* of this meeting we discuss a request from the IASB for the

Committee to consider the presentation of taxes or other charges that are not income taxes in the scope of IAS 12.

44. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

VIII—Classification of tonnage taxes

Background

45. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Classification of tonnage taxes](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 85 of IAS 1 with a reference to the requirements of when an entity presents additional line items and subtotals in its primary financial statements in paragraph 24 of IFRS 18.

Feedback

46. One respondent commented on this agenda decision, They say the agenda decision would be more useful if it specified how an entity should present tonnage taxes (as opposed to stating that tonnage taxes cannot be presented in the income tax category of the statement of profit or loss).

Staff analysis

47. We think the request from the respondent goes beyond the updates the IASB asked the Committee to make to the agenda decisions.

-
48. Apart from adding information to the title of the agenda decision (see paragraph 27 of Agenda Paper 9), we think no changes are required to the proposed updates to the agenda decision in respect of the comments raised. Agenda Paper 9C includes our suggested wording of the final updates to this agenda decision, which might include some editorial changes.

IX—Current or non-current asset classification—normal operating cycle

Background

49. The proposed updates to the agenda decision [Current or non-current asset classification—normal operating cycle](#) include replacing the reference to paragraph 71 of IAS 1 with a reference to the new roles of the primary financial statements and the notes in paragraphs 15–22 of IFRS 18.⁵

Feedback

50. Four respondents comment on the proposed updates to this agenda decision. Two of these respondents agree (or do not disagree) with the updates. Two respondents say the references to IFRS 18 are incomplete.
51. Paragraph 71 of IAS 1 (before IAS 1 was revised in 2007) stated:

This Standard does not prescribe the order or format in which items are to be presented. Paragraph 68 simply provides a list of items that are sufficiently different in nature or function to warrant

⁵ The agenda decision refers to paragraphs 57 and 71 of IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements*. In September 2007 the IASB issued a revised IAS 1. According to the table of concordance that accompany the [revised IAS 1](#), paragraphs 57 and 71 are now paragraphs 66 and 57 of IAS 1.

separate presentation on the face of the balance sheet. In addition:

- (a) line items are included when the size, nature or function of an item or aggregation of similar items is such that separate presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity's financial position; and
- (b) the descriptions used and the ordering of items or aggregation of similar items may be amended according to the nature of the entity and its transactions, to provide information that is relevant to an understanding of the entity's financial position. For example, a bank amends the above descriptions to apply the more specific requirements in IAS 30.

52. Respondents say paragraph 71(a) required the aggregation of items into a separate line item, '...when the size, nature or function of an item or aggregation of similar items is such that separate presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity's financial position.' The principles of aggregation and disaggregation in paragraphs 41–42 of IFRS 18 include some of these requirements.
53. Paragraph 71(b) of IAS 1 at that time also included requirements about the use of descriptions and the ordering and aggregation of items in the statement of financial position. This requirement was partially carried forward to paragraph 106 of IFRS 18. Both respondents suggest adding a reference to paragraph 106 of IFRS 18 and one of the respondents suggests also adding references to paragraphs 41–42 of IFRS 18.

Staff analysis

54. We agree with the suggestions and recommend including references to paragraphs 41–42 and 106 of IFRS 18 to the agenda decision. Appendix B includes our suggested

wording for the agenda decision, which includes these references and some editorial changes.

Staff recommendation

55. Based our analysis in paragraphs 4–54, we recommend finalising the updates to the nine agenda decisions discussed in this paper with some changes to the wording that was exposed for comment. Agenda Paper 9C of this meeting includes our suggested wording for the updates to the agenda . If the Committee agrees with our recommendation, we will ask the IASB whether it agrees with the updates to the agenda decisions at the first IASB meeting at which it is practicable to present the agenda decision.

Questions for the Committee

Questions for the Committee

1. Does the Committee agree with our recommendation to finalise the updates to the nine agenda decisions as explained in paragraph 55?
2. Do Committee members have any comments on the wording of the updates to the agenda decisions in Agenda Paper 9C of this meeting?