

Agenda reference: 21C

IASB® Meeting

Date	July 2023
Project	Primary Financial Statements
Торіс	Due process requirements
Contacts	Methma Rupasinghe (methma.rupasinghe@ifrs.org) Roanne Hasegawa (rhasegawa@ifrs.org) Aida Vatrenjak (avatrenjak@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). This paper does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual IASB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS[®] Accounting Standards. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB[®] Update.

Introduction and purpose

- 1. This paper:
 - (a) sets out the steps in the <u>IFRS Foundation Due Process Handbook</u> (Due Process Handbook) that the IASB has taken in developing IFRS X General Presentation and Disclosures (IFRS X) and asks the IASB to confirm that it is satisfied that all the mandatory due process steps have been taken;
 - (b) requests permission for the staff to begin the balloting process for IFRS X; and
 - (c) asks whether any IASB member intends to dissent from the publication of IFRS X.
- 2. This paper should be read in conjunction with:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 21A Consideration of the re-exposure criteria; and
 - (b) Agenda Paper 21B *Transition and effective date*.



Structure of this paper

- 3. This paper is structured as follows:
 - (a) summary of the due process steps (paragraphs 4–22):
 - (i) discussions in public meetings (mandatory) (paragraph 7);
 - (ii) due process documents published and comment letters considered in a timely manner (mandatory and optional) (paragraphs 8–9);
 - (iii) consideration of whether the proposals should be re-exposed (mandatory) (paragraph 10);
 - (iv) consultations with IFRS Foundation bodies and consultative groups (mandatory and optional) (paragraphs 11–13);
 - (v) fieldwork and outreach activities (optional) (paragraphs 14–21);
 - (vi) reporting to the Due Process Oversight Committee (DPOC) (paragraph 22);
 - (b) permission to begin the balloting process (paragraphs 23–24);
 - (c) Appendix A—Due process steps; and
 - (d) Appendix B—Summary of meetings with IFRS Foundation bodies and consultative groups

Summary of the due process steps

4. In this section of the paper, we discuss the due process steps undertaken during the Primary Financial Statements project. The *Due Process Handbook* highlights the following minimum safeguards and comply and explain steps to issue an IFRS Accounting Standard. Minimum safeguards will be referred to as 'mandatory' steps and comply and explain steps will be referred to as 'optional' steps in this Agenda Paper.



Minimum safeguards

3.43 The Board and the Interpretations Committee are required to follow some steps before they can issue an IFRS Standard or an IFRIC Interpretation. These steps are designed to be the minimum safeguards to ensure the integrity of the standard-setting.

3.44 The due process steps that are mandatory include:
(a) debating any proposals in one or more public meetings;
(b) exposing for public comment a draft of any proposed new
IFRS Standard, proposed amendment to a Standard or
proposed IFRIC Interpretation—with minimum comment periods;
(c) considering in a timely manner comment letters received on the proposals;

(d) considering whether the proposals should be exposed again;
(e) consulting the Accounting Standards Advisory Forum (ASAF) and the IFRS Advisory Council (Advisory Council) on the work plan, major projects, project proposals and work priorities; and
(f) deciding in a public Board meeting whether to ratify an interpretation.

'Comply or explain' steps

3.45 Other steps specified in the Constitution are not mandatory. They include:

(a) publishing a discussion document for major projects (for example, a discussion paper) before an exposure draft is developed;

(b) establishing consultative groups or other types of specialist advisory groups for major projects;

- (c) holding public hearings; and
- (d) undertaking fieldwork.



3.46 If the Board decides not to undertake those non-mandatory steps, it informs the DPOC of its decision and reasons for not undertaking the steps.

- 5. Paragraph 2.9 of the *Due Process Handbook* states that the DPOC operates throughout the development of an IFRS Accounting Standard and that this is achieved through periodic reporting by, and dialogue with, representatives of the IASB and IFRS Foundation staff.
- 6. The following paragraphs indicate how the IASB has met these due process requirements for the Primary Financial Statements project. This section should be considered in conjunction with Appendix A of this paper, which provides a detailed account of the due process steps undertaken since the inception of the project¹.

Discussions in public meetings (mandatory)

7. Throughout the life of the project, all of the proposals have been discussed by the IASB in public meetings (see paragraph 3.44(a) of the *Due Process Handbook*). All staff papers have been posted, meetings recorded and the decisions documented in the relevant section of the project's <u>website</u>.

Due process documents published and comment letters considered in a timely manner (mandatory and optional)

8. Paragraph 3.45 of the *Due Process Handbook* requires the IASB to consider whether to publish a discussion document before an Exposure Draft is developed for major projects. In May 2019 (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u>), the IASB decided not to publish a

¹ The due process steps taken in the development of the Exposure Draft for this project is summarised in the <u>Agenda Paper</u> <u>21E</u> in July 2019.



discussion document because it had sufficient information and understood the problem and potential solution well enough to proceed to an Exposure Draft.

9. The following table summarises the document published for public comment, the comment period and the date on which the IASB considered the comment letters received.

Date issued	Name of the document	End of comment period	Comment letters	IASB votes and dissents	Comment letter summary considered
December	Exposure	30	216 ³	Approved:	December
2019	Draft	September		14 of 14	2020
	General	2020		Dissents: 0	(Agenda
	Presentation	Comment			Papers
	and	period:			<u>21A–21H</u>)
	Disclosures	288 days ²			and January
					2021
					(Agenda
					Papers
					<u>21B–21E)</u>

Consideration of whether the proposals should be re-exposed (mandatory)

10. The re-exposure criteria set out in paragraphs 6.25–6.29 in the *Due Process Handbook* have been considered in Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting. In Agenda Paper 21A, we recommend that the IASB finalise IFRS X without re-exposure for another round of public comment because there are no substantive changes on which the respondents

² The original comment period of 196 days was revised due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

³ Of the 216 comment letters one comment letter was received in February 2021 after the designated comment period ended and therefore was not included in the Agenda Papers discussed by the IASB in December 2020 and January 2021.



have not had the opportunity to comment and, thus, it is unlikely that re-exposure will reveal any new information or concerns.

Consultations with IFRS Foundation bodies and consultative groups (mandatory and optional)

- 11. As required by paragraph 4.6 of the *Due Process Handbook*, we consulted with ASAF in July 2018 and the IFRS Advisory Council in September 2018 on moving the project from a research to the standard-setting phase (see <u>Agenda Paper 21E</u> of the September 2018 IASB meeting).
- 12. In September 2018, the IASB considered whether to establish a dedicated consultative group for the Primary Financial Statements project as required by paragraph 3.60 of the *Due Process Handbook* (see paragraphs 30–33 of <u>Agenda Paper 21E</u> of September 2018). The IASB decided not to establish a dedicated consultative group because:
 - (a) the project does not require detailed specialist knowledge; and
 - (b) the IASB's existing consultative groups have the necessary practical experience and expertise on financial statement presentation to advise on this project.
- 13. In total, IASB members and the staff held 56 meetings with the Accounting Standards Advisory Forum (ASAF), the IFRS Advisory Council and IFRS Foundation consultative groups, up to July 2023 (see Appendix B of this paper and Appendix B of Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting).

Fieldwork and outreach activities (optional)

Fieldwork (optional)

 In 2020, fieldwork was conducted to understand the impact of the requirements of the Exposure Draft. The methodology for the fieldwork is discussed in <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u>



of the December 2020 IASB meeting. 50 participants from Europe, Asia, North America and Latin America participated.

- 15. The staff conducted fieldwork to provide the IASB with evidence about:
 - (a) how entities would interpret the requirements proposed in the Exposure Draft;
 - (b) aspects of the Exposure Draft which may be unclear; and
 - (c) the extent of process or system changes that may be required to apply the requirements proposed in the Exposure Draft.
- 16. In addition to participation in our fieldwork, participants from the European Union were asked to complete a questionnaire and participate in workshops conducted by European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) to discuss the results of our fieldwork.
- 17. Fieldwork results were discussed by the IASB in December 2020 (see <u>Agenda Paper</u> 21B, <u>Agenda Paper 21C</u>, <u>Agenda Paper 21D</u>, <u>Agenda Paper 21E</u>, <u>Agenda Paper 21F</u>, <u>Agenda Paper 21G</u>, and <u>Agenda Paper 21H</u>) and January 2021 (see <u>Agenda Paper</u> 21B and <u>Agenda Paper 21C</u>).

Outreach (optional)

- 18. IASB members and staff participated in 117 outreach meetings between February 2016 and June 2019 (see paragraph 14 of <u>Agenda Paper 21E</u> of the July 2019 IASB meeting) to establish the goals of the project and gather early feedback for the proposals to be published in the Exposure Draft.
- IASB members and staff conducted extensive outreach during the consultation period on the Exposure Draft. Overall, in the period between January 2020 and October 2020, IASB members and staff participated in 139 events with stakeholders from over 20 jurisdictions (see paragraphs 18–19 of <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the December 2020 IASB meeting). This feedback was discussed by the IASB in December 2020 (see



Agenda Paper 21B, Agenda Paper 21C, Agenda Paper 21D, Agenda Paper 21E, Agenda Paper 21F, Agenda Paper 21G, and Agenda Paper 21H) and January 2021 (see Agenda Paper 21B, Agenda Paper 21C, Agenda Paper 21D and Agenda Paper 21E).

- 20. During the redeliberations from March 2021 to July 2023, IASB members and staff conducted limited outreach on specific topics. The topics discussed in these limited outreach activities were⁴:
 - (a) disclosure of operating expenses by nature (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the April 2022 IASB meeting);
 - (b) classification of income and expenses from associates and joint ventures accounted for using the equity method (see <u>Agenda Paper 21D</u> of the May 2022 IASB meeting, <u>Agenda Paper 21B</u> of the September 2022 IASB meeting and Agenda Paper 21A of the May 2023 IASB meeting);
 - (c) disclosure of the tax effect and the effect on non-controlling interests for each reconciling item in the management performance measure reconciliation (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the May 2022 IASB meeting);
 - (d) definition of unusual income and expenses (see <u>Agenda Paper 21B</u> of the May 2022 IASB meeting);
 - (e) classification of interest expense on lease liabilities for entities that sublease assets as an intermediate lessor (see <u>Agenda Paper 21F</u> of the March 2023 IASB meeting); and
 - (f) the transition period and effective date (see Agenda Paper 21B of this meeting).
- 21. Between October 2022 and December 2022, IASB members and staff conducted outreach on a targeted selection of the IASB's tentative decisions to assess whether

⁴ The Agenda Papers mentioned contains the limited outreach feedback on these topics and are not all the Agenda Papers discussed related to these topics.



those decisions will function as intended and achieve the intended balance of costs and benefits. 36 targeted outreach meetings were held including one jurisdiction that provided written feedback only. Feedback from these targeted outreach meetings was discussed by the IASB in January 2023 (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u>).

Reporting to the Due Process Oversight Committee (DPOC)

22. In accordance with paragraph 2.9 of the *Due Process Handbook*, the DPOC has been regularly informed of the progress of the project since October 2014⁵. The DPOC will also conduct a due process lifecycle review of the development of IFRS X in H2 2023.

Permission to begin the balloting process

- 23. At this meeting, the IASB will complete the redeliberations needed to finalise IFRS X, including discussion of transition and effective date (see Agenda Paper 21B of this meeting). As discussed in paragraphs 7–21, we think that:
 - (a) all of the required steps in the *Due Process Handbook* have been complied with. Also, the IASB has complied with applicable optional due process steps set out in the *Due Process Handbook* or explained if not; and
 - (b) the proposed requirements in IFRS X General Presentation and Disclosures are sufficiently developed in response to the feedback and therefore, the staff can proceed to begin the balloting process.
- 24. Accordingly, we are asking for permission to begin the balloting process. As usual, we will consider the need for future IASB discussion of sweep issues that may arise during the balloting process.

⁵ The project was added to the IASB's research agenda in July 2014 (it was originally called the Performance Reporting project) (see <u>Agenda Paper 3B</u> of the October 2014 DPOC meeting). DPOC agendas, staff papers and recordings are documented on the <u>website</u>.



Agenda reference: 21C

Questions for the IASB

- 1. Is the IASB satisfied that all the mandatory due process steps have been met in this project?
- 2. Does the IASB grant the staff permission to begin the balloting process for IFRS X?
- 3. Do any IASB members intend, at this time, to dissent from IFRS X? If so, on what grounds?



Appendix A—Due process steps

This table shows how the IASB has complied to date with the due process steps required to finalise IFRS X in accordance with the Due Process Handbook. A1.

Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
Considerati	on of information g	gathered during	y consultation
3.68	The IASB posts all comment letters received in relation to the Exposure Draft on the project page	Required if request issued	The Exposure Draft attracted 216 comment letters. All comment letters received and relevant statistics were the staff's overall comment letter summary (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the December 2020 of the IASB summaries by topic are available in the relevant section of the project's <u>website</u> .
3.2–3.13	IASB meetings are held in public, with papers being available for observers. All decisions are made in public sessions	Required	 IASB meetings The IASB discussed 147⁶ agenda papers at 50 IASB meetings between June 2015 and June 2023. All s were recorded and the decisions documented in the relevant section of the project pages of the IFRS For In addition, there is also an <u>IASB podcast</u> that summarises the key points of IASB meetings where there discussions on IFRS X, and places those discussions in context. The DPOC has been regularly updated regarding the IASB's progress with IFRS X. This has included up as applicable in the monthly report on due process matters submitted by the staff to the DPOC. Project website The project website contains up-to-date information on the project. In addition to the posting of agenda papers, the '<u>Current stage</u>' section of the website includes regularly

⁶ 72 agenda papers were discussed by the IASB from June 2015 to November 2019 which was prior to issuing the Exposure Draft. 75 agenda papers were discussed by the IASB from December 2020 to June 2023 which was after the Exposure Draft was issued (The agenda papers are available on the website).

Staff paper

Agenda reference: 21C

were posted on the website. B meeting) and comment letter

I staff papers are posted, meetings Foundation website.

re were substantial or important

updates at public DPOC meetings and

ly updated material as follows:



Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
			 Project update: A high level summary of progress of the project, describing the main IASB propose Project news about updated materials and upcoming meetings. Throughout the life of the project, there were no agenda papers which were distributed less than five work scheduled for discussion in the IASB meetings.
3.44(e)	Consultation with the IFRS Advisory Council and ASAF	Required	IASB members discussed the project with the IFRS Advisory Council in six meetings, including as part of activities (see Appendix B of this paper). IASB members and staff provided project updates to and discussed project proposals with ASAF members this paper and Appendix B of Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting).
3.59– 3.66	Consultative groups used, if formed	Optional	The IASB decided not to establish a consultative group for the primary financial statements project (see 2018). The DPOC was informed of this decision in September 2018. IASB members and staff provided project updates and discussed project proposals with the Capital Marl Emerging Economies Group (EEG) and Global Preparers Forum (GPF) in 27 meetings (see Appendix B Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting)
3.76– 3.81	Analysis of likely effects of forthcoming Standard or major amendment, for example, costs or on-going	Required	Information relating to the potential costs and benefits of IFRS X was included in the Exposure Draft, inc provide comments (see question 14 of the Exposure Draft). The IASB and the staff have also met with stakeholders, including ASAF, GPF, CMAC and EEG member proposals, including the cost of implementing the proposals, as well as the benefits from improved finance The IASB will publish the Effects Analysis when IFRS X is issued. The IASB will review this Effects Analysis

Agenda reference: 21C

osals.

working days before they were

of the regular update to them on IASB

nbers in 16 meetings (see Appendix B of

ee Agenda Paper 21E of September

arket Advisory Committee (CMAC), B of this paper and Appendix B of

ncluding questions for stakeholders to

bers, to understand the effect of the ancial reporting.

nalysis as part of the balloting process.

Page 12 of 17



Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
	associated costs		
3.69, 3.75	Outreach meetings to promote debate and hear views on proposals that are published for public comments	Optional	 IASB members and staff participated in: 117 outreach meetings between February 2016 and June 2019 prior to issuing the Exposure Dra 2019 IASB meeting); 139 outreach events between January 2020 and October 2020 to understand the issues raised i Draft (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the December 2020 IASB meeting); limited outreach on specific topics (see paragraph 20); and 36 targeted outreach meetings between October 2022 and December 2022 to assess whether s as intended and achieve the intended balance of costs and benefits (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the Participants in these outreach meetings included individuals and groups of preparers, users of financial across all regions and a variety of industries.
N/A	Email alerts are issued to registered recipients	Optional	An email alert is sent out before every IASB meeting or representative group meeting. As of June 2023, approximately 57,000 users are following "all" categories on the website and 18,000 for development".
3.70– 3.74	Fieldwork	Optional	In 2020, fieldwork was conducted to understand the impact of the requirements of the Exposure Draft. So North America and Latin America participated (see <u>Agenda Paper 21A</u> of the December 2020 IASB me

Agenda reference: 21C

Draft (see <u>Agenda Paper 21E</u> of the July
d in comment letters on the Exposure
r selected tentative decisions will function of the January 2023 IASB meeting). ial statements, auditors and regulators
) follow "accounting standards
t. 50 participants from Europe, Asia, neeting).



Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
Finalisatior	1		
2.12	Due process steps are reviewed by the IASB	Required	This table provides an overview of the due process steps followed and will be reviewed by the IASB at the This paper will also be presented to the DPOC in H2 2023 as part of its lifecycle review of the due proce
6.25– 6.29	Need for re- exposure of a Standard is considered	Required	The IASB will consider if there is a need to re-expose any of its proposals at its July 2023 meeting (see A In Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting we are recommending that the IASB does not re-expose the IFRS comment because there are no substantive changes on which the respondents have not had the opporte unlikely that re-exposure will reveal any new information or concerns. The DPOC will have the opportunity to discuss the IASB's decision on re-exposure with the IASB leader
6.35– 6.36	The IASB sets an effective date for the Standard, considering the need for effective implementation, generally providing at least 18 months	Required	The IASB will consider transition requirements and the effective date of IFRS X and whether amendmen International Financial Reporting Standards are required at this month's IASB meeting (see Agenda Pap recommend an effective date of 1 January 2026/2027 for IFRS X which will be at least 18 months after t

Agenda reference: 21C

this meeting.

cess for the project.

e Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting). RS X for another round of public ortunity to comment and, thus it is

lership as part of its lifecycle review.

ents to IFRS 1 *First-time Adoption of* aper 21B of this meeting). We or the issue of IFRS X.



Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
Drafting			
3.28, 3.31– 3.33	Drafting quality assurance steps are adequate	Required	This step will be completed toward the end of the project. The Translation team, Editorial team and the Taxonomy team have been involved in the review process to external parties for review before finalisation. This process allows external parties to review and report understandability of the draft, mainly with editorial comments. The development of the IFRS Accounting Taxonomy for IFRS X is in progress and will be available soo Taxonomy team have consulted with the IFRS Taxonomy Consultative Group on the progress of the de Taxonomy for IFRS X on seven occasions from 2016 to 2023 (see Appendix B of this paper).
Publication			
6.37	Press release to announce final Standard	Required	This step will be completed toward the end of the project.
6.38	A Feedback Statement is provided which provides high level executive summaries of the Standard and explains how the IASB has responded	Required	This step will be completed toward the end of the project.

Agenda reference: 21C

ess. We intend to send a draft of IFRS X port back to the staff on the clarity and
oon after the publication of IFRS X. The development of the IFRS Accounting



Due Process Handbook	Step	Required/ Optional	Actions
	to the		
	comments		
	received		
6.38	Podcast to	Optional	Podcasts on educating the stakeholders about IFRS X will be issued toward the end of the project.
	provide		
	interested		
	parties with		
	high level		
	updates or		
	other useful		
	information		
	about the		
	Standard		
[N/A	Standard is	Required	This step will be completed toward the end of the project.
	published		

Agenda reference: 21C

Page 16 of 17



Appendix B—Summary of meetings with IFRS Foundation bodies and consultative groups

This table shows the number of meetings IASB members, and the staff participated in with the Accounting Standards Advisory Forum (ASAF), the IFRS Advisory Council and consultative groups up to July B1. 2023. The list of topics discussed in these meetings is included in Appendix B of Agenda Paper 21A of this meeting.

Description	Number of meetings held prior to publication of the Exposure Draft	Number of meetings held post publication of the Exposure Draft	Total
Accounting Standards Advisory Forum (ASAF)	9	7	16
IFRS Advisory Council ⁷	2	4	6
Capital Market Advisory Committee (CMAC) ⁸	6	4	10
Emerging Economies Group	1	2	3
Global Preparers Forum (GPF)9	3	4	7
IFRS Taxonomy Consultative Group	3	4	7
Joint GPF and CMAC meetings	4	3	7
Total	28	28	56

Staff paper

Agenda reference: 21C

⁷ IASB members and staff met with the IFRS Advisory Council in October 2016, September 2018, March 2019, May 2021, April 2022 and April 2023 to discuss the progress of the project.

⁸ Excluding joint CMAC and GPF meetings.

⁹ Excluding joint CMAC and GPF meetings.