

STAFF PAPER

November 2021

IASB® meeting

Project	Goodwill and Impairment		
Paper topic	Cover paper		
CONTACT(S)	Craig Smith	csmith@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6410

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (Board) and does not represent the views of the Board or any individual member of the Board. Comments on the application of IFRS® Standards do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS Standards. Technical decisions are made in public and reported in IASB® *Update*.

Introduction and purpose of this meeting

- 1. At its meeting in <u>September 2021</u> the International Accounting Standards Board (Board), as part of its Goodwill and Impairment project, decided to prioritise performing further work to:
 - (a) make tentative decisions on the package of disclosures about business combinations; and
 - (b) analyse specific aspects of the feedback on the subsequent accounting for goodwill.
- 2. The purpose of this meeting is for the Board to continue making tentative decisions about the package of disclosures about business combinations.

Papers for this meeting

- 3. We will discuss the following papers in this meeting:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 18A: Expected synergies arising from a business combination—our analysis and recommendation of aspects of feedback on the Board's preliminary view to require entities to disclose quantitative information about synergies expected from a business combination.
 - (b) Agenda Paper 18B: Contribution of the acquired business—our analysis and recommendation of feedback on the Board's preliminary views to amend the requirement in IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* related to the disclosure of information about the contribution of an acquired business.

(c) Agenda Paper 18C: Liabilities arising from financing activities and defined benefit pension liabilities—our analysis and recommendation of feedback on the Board's preliminary view to develop proposals to specify that liabilities arising from financing activities and defined benefit pension liabilities are major classes of liabilities.

Appendix—Summary of proposals, feedback and tentative decisions

Topic	Summary of the Board's preliminary view	Summary of feedback	Tentative decisions
Objective and scope	The project's objective is to explore whether an entity can, at a reasonable cost, provide users of financial statements (users) with more useful information about the business combinations those entities make.	See Agenda Paper 18A to the Board's March 2021 meeting Most respondents who commented on the project's objective agreed. However, some respondents, notably in Germany and Japan, disagreed. Many respondents commenting on the scope agreed with it. However, many respondents commenting on the project's scope said that they did not view the Board's preliminary views as a package of views with a unifying objective. Many of those respondents suggested considering disclosures separately from the subsequent accounting for goodwill.	June 2021 The Board tentatively decided to leave the objective of the project unchanged from that described in the Discussion Paper and to make no changes to the project's scope at this stage. September 2021 The Board decided to prioritise performing further work to: a. make tentative decisions on the package of disclosures about business combinations; and b. analyse specific aspects of the feedback on the subsequent accounting for goodwill.
Disclosure on the subsequent performance of business combinations	The Board's preliminary view is that it should develop proposals to: (a) amend IFRS 3 Business Combinations to replace the requirement to disclose the primary reasons for a business combination with a requirement for an entity to disclose the strategic rationale for undertaking a business combination and management's objectives for the business combination. (b) add a requirement for companies to disclose in the	See Agenda Paper 18C to the Board's April 2021 meeting Many respondents, including almost all users, agreed that an entity should be required to provide additional information about the subsequent performance of business combinations and with basing that information on what an entity's management review. However, many respondents, including many preparers, had concerns about the cost of providing this information. In addition, many respondents said information about the performance of business combinations should be provided in an entity's management commentary rather than financial statements.	October 2021 The Board tentatively decided that, based on the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting, information can be required in financial statements about the benefits an entity's management expects from a business combination and the extent to which management's objectives are being met—such as information about the subsequent performance of a business combination, and quantitative information about expected synergies.

year in which a business combination occurs, the metrics that management	
will use to monitor whether its objectives are being met and in subsequent years the extent to which management's objectives are being met using those metrics.	
Improvements to existing IFRS 3 and additional disclosure objectives to IFRS 3; b) amend paragraph B64(e) of IFRS 3 to require a company to disclose the estimated amount or range of amounts of expected synergies arising from the business combination; c) amend paragraph B64(i) of IFRS 3 to specify that liabilities arising from financing activities and defined benefit pension liabilities; d) retain the requirement for an entity to disclose information about the contribution of the acquired business, with some amendments to the requirements.	amework formation as an as from a ne extent ectives ormation mance of
Effectiveness The Board's preliminary view is that it is of the not feasible to design a different See Agenda Paper 18B to the Board's May 2021 meeting N/A	

Topic	Summary of the Board's preliminary view	Summary of feedback	Tentative decisions
impairment test	impairment test for cash-generating units containing goodwill that is significantly more effective than the impairment test in IAS 36 at recognising impairment losses on goodwill on a timely basis and at a reasonable cost.	Most respondents agreed with the Board's preliminary view that it is not feasible to design a different impairment test that is significantly more effective than the impairment test of cash-generating units containing goodwill in IAS 36 at a reasonable cost. However, many of those respondents suggested how the Board could improve the application of the impairment test in IAS 36. In particular, many respondents suggested ideas for additional disclosure requirements to combat management over-optimism and suggested the Board develop additional guidance to improve the level at which goodwill is allocated to cash-generating units to reduce the 'shielding' effect described in the Discussion Paper.	
Subsequent accounting for goodwill	By a small majority (eight out of 14 Board members), the Board reached a preliminary view that the Board should retain the impairment-only model rather than reintroduce amortisation of goodwill.	See Agenda Paper 18C to the Board's May 2021 meeting Respondents remain divided on whether the Board should reintroduce amortisation of goodwill. Many respondents agreed with the Board's preliminary view to retain the impairment-only approach but many other respondents disagreed with the Board's preliminary view and instead advocated reintroducing amortisation of goodwill.	N/A
Simplifying the impairment test	The Board's preliminary view is that it should develop proposals to: • reduce the cost and complexity of performing the impairment test by providing entities with relief from having to perform an annual quantitative impairment test for cash-generating units containing goodwill if there is no indication that an impairment may have occurred; and • reduce cost and complexity, and to provide more useful and understandable information by	See Agenda Paper 18D to the Board's May 2021 meeting Most respondents, including some preparers, did not support the Board's preliminary view that it should implement an indicator-based impairment test for goodwill. However, many of those who disagreed also said that the cost-benefit could be re-evaluated if the Board decides to amortise goodwill. Respondents generally welcomed the Board's preliminary views on simplifying and improving how value in use should be estimated.	N/A

Topic	Summary of the Board's preliminary view	Summary of feedback	Tentative decisions
	simplifying the requirements for estimating value in use.		
Presenting total equity excluding goodwill	In the Board's preliminary view, it should develop a proposal to require an entity to present on its statement of financial position the amount of total equity excluding goodwill. This amount would likely be presented as a free-standing item, and not as a subtotal, or line item, within the structure of the statement of financial position.	See Agenda Paper 18E to the Board's May 2021 meeting Almost all respondents disagreed with the Board's preliminary view that it should require an entity to present in its statement of financial position an amount representing total equity excluding goodwill. In their view, users can easily calculate that amount and presenting that amount could cast doubt on whether goodwill is an asset.	N/A
Intangible assets acquired in a business combination	The Board's preliminary view is that it should not change the recognition criteria for identifiable intangible assets that are acquired in a business combination	See Agenda Paper 18E to the Board's May 2021 meeting Most respondents who commented on the question, including many users, agreed with the Board's preliminary view not to develop such a proposal. In their view, goodwill and other intangible assets acquired in a business combination are different in nature and recognising these assets separately provides users with better and more useful information.	N/A

A1. In addition, the staff provided the Board with a summary of feedback from users (<u>Agenda Paper 18B</u> to the Board's April 2021 meeting) and a summary of academic evidence (<u>Agenda Paper 18F</u> to the Board's May 2021 meeting).