



Purpose of this session

- Discuss planning for redeliberations of the project proposals in the light of feedback received.
- Detailed papers discussing feedback on the proposals and redeliberations plan can be found on the <u>December 2020</u> and <u>January 2021</u> IASB meeting pages.

Questions for ASAF members

Considering the stakeholder feedback received and the redeliberations plan reflecting that feedback, what advice do you have for the Board as it starts redeliberations? In particular:

- which proposals do you think are the most important for the success of this project and why? (see slide 5 for a list of the main proposals)
- which proposals do you see as the greatest risks for project timeliness and how do you suggest we manage those risks?
- in the light of your responses to the above questions, do you have any suggestions for the Board's redeliberations planning?





Feedback summary—overall

Many/most agreed with some practical issues

- ✓ Subtotals and categories
- Management performance measures (but demand for broader scope)
- ✓ Roles of primary financial statements and notes
- Disaggregation principles
- ✓ Amendments to IAS 7
 Statement of Cash Flows

Agreed in principle with many practical issues

- ? Unusual income and expenses
- ? Minimum line items

Many/most disagreed with many practical issues

- Analysis of expenses by nature and by function
- Integral and nonintegral associates and joint ventures

Key factors considered in redeliberations planning



Project objective and focus

Improve how information is communicated in the financial statements, with a focus on the statement of profit or loss



Linkages between topics

Decisions on one topic may affect approach on another topic



Timeliness

Users want to see improvements as soon as possible



Efficiency

Use staff and Board time efficiently

General approach to redeliberations

Priority topics

Prioritise topics that:

- a. are in the project focus area
- b. were well-received and can be finalised efficiently
- ask the Board to confirm approach
- immediately move on to targeted discussions

Staged approach

Apply a staged approach to topics that:

- a. received mixed feedback
- b. have multiple possible ways forward
- c. may involve changes in project scope
- first ask the Board to decide on overall direction before developing detailed proposals
- helps to avoid spending time on proposals the Board may not want to pursue

General approach to redeliberations

Priority topics

- Profit or loss subtotals and categories (except associates and joint ventures)
- Management performance measures (except scope)
- Disaggregation principles and roles of primary financial statements and the notes
- Statement of cash flows

Staged approach

- Minimum line items
- Analysis of operating expenses
- Unusual income and expenses
- Integral and non-integral associates and joint ventures
- Scope of management performance measures





Feedback—subtotals

Many/most agreed with:

- Introducing defined subtotals and categories
- ✓ Requiring entities with particular main business activities to include additional income and expenses in the operating category

Many expressed concerns about:

- Lack of guidance on main business activities
- Labelling of categories
- × FX and derivatives classification
- Accounting policy choice for entities that provide financing to customers as a main business activity

Mixed views and practical concerns relating to integral and non-integral associates and joint ventures

Approach to redeliberations—subtotals

Initial paper—confirm:

Requirement for operating profit subtotal

Definition of operating as a default category

Income and expenses to be excluded from operating

Subsequent papers

Financing category & Profit before financing and tax subtotal

Investing category (including alignment with statement of cash flows)

Model for entities with particular main business activities

Foreign exchange differences and gains and losses from derivatives

Associates and joint ventures (staged approach)

Feedback—management performance measures

Many/most agreed with:

- ✓ Requiring management performance measures to be disclosed in the notes
- Most disclosure requirements for management performance measures
- ✓ Not defining EBITDA

Many expressed concerns about:

- Limiting definition to subtotals of income and expenses
- Breadth of public communications
- Constraints such as faithful representation, columns
- Clarification of timing and interactions (segments, other subtotals, unusual, group and statutory F/S)
- Disclosing tax and NCI effects

Approach to redeliberations—MPMs

Initial paper

Confirm requirement for MPMs in financial statements

Decide whether to explore expanding the scope of MPMs beyond income and expense subtotals (staged approach)

Subsequent papers

MPM definition including public communications and faithful representation

Disclosures including reconciliation, tax and non-controlling interests

EBITDA

Interaction with other requirements

Other proposals including columns

Feedback summary—disaggregation

Many/most agreed with some practical issues

Agreed in principle with many practical issues

Many/most disagreed with many practical issues

- ✓ Roles of primary financial statements and the notes
- ✓ Principles for disaggregation

- ? Unusual income and expenses
- ? Minimum line items

- Ban on mixing nature and function
- Disclosure of an analysis of operating expenses by nature for entities presenting the statement of profit or loss by function

Approach to redeliberations—disaggregation

Initial paper—address feedback on:

Roles of the primary financial statements and the notes

Disaggregation principles

Subsequent papers (staged approach)

Minimum line items—in the first stage ask the Board whether to conduct a comprehensive review

Unusual items—in the first stage ask the Board to clarify objective and identify approaches to explore

Nature/function—in the first stage ask the Board to clarify objective and identify approaches to explore

Specified and additional subtotals

Other topics

- Other topics that will be discussed in the redeliberations include:
 - proposals relating to items of other comprehensive income;
 - requirements carried over from IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements;
 - amendments to other IFRS Standards;
 - transition requirements; and
 - effective date.

Project timing

- Project timing is currently uncertain as:
 - the Board may decide to alter the project scope or conduct further outreach or research before proceeding to the next stage; and
 - additional work needed on one topic may mean work on other topics has to be paused.
- Plan to develop estimated timeline following initial discussion on these topics.

Find us online



www.ifrs.org



IFRS Foundation | International Accounting Standards Board



@IFRSFoundation



IFRS Foundation



IFRS Foundation

Join our team: go.ifrs.org/careers

