IFRS[®] Foundation



Emerging Economies Group Meeting May 2020 Agenda paper 2

IFRS 17 Insurance Contracts

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter, not necessarily those of the International Accounting Standards Board or the IFRS Foundation.



 IASB will provide EEG members with an update on the amendments to IFRS 17, which are expected to be issued around the end of June 2020

 IASB and EEG members will discuss the status of IFRS 17 implementation activities around the world and IASB support to implementation



Update on the amendments to IFRS 17



IFRS 17 timeline



IASB support for IFRS 17 implementation



Why is IASB amending IFRS 17?

To aid companies implementing the new requirements

The targeted amendments

address many of the concerns and challenges raised by companies implementing IFRS 17

reduce IFRS 17 application costs for companies and make it easier for companies to explain the results of applying IFRS 17



Overall feedback on the Exposure Draft

6

Overall support for considering concerns and challenges raised since IFRS 17 was issued and proposing targeted amendments to IFRS 17



70 meetings in 14 jurisdictions



123 comment letters

Some respondents think the Board should

- extend the scope of some of the proposed amendments
- reconsider some of the suggested amendments the Board considered when developing the Exposure Draft and decided not to propose
- consider new implementation challenges specific to transactions / jurisdictions



November 2019—Categories of topics

1	Proposed amendments to confirm	 Support for Board's proposal Concerns and suggestions already considered when developing the Exposure Draft The staff did not identify points the Board has not considered previously
2	Topics with feedback from respondents to consider further	 Concerns and suggestions about the Board's proposal The staff identified points for the Board to consider
3	Topics with feedback from respondents not to consider further	 Support for Board's decision not to propose changes Concerns and suggestions already considered when developing the Exposure Draft The staff did not identify points the Board has not considered previously



November 2019—Redeliberation plan



Scope exclusion for loans	Coverage units for variable fee approach contracts	Balance sheet presentation: portfolio instead of group level	Risk mitigation option: reinsurance contracts held	Transition reliefs for contracts acquired
Transition reliefs for the risk mitigation option	Insurance acquisition cash flows 7	Reinsurance contracts held: recovery of losses	Scope exclusion for credit cards	Coverage units for general model contracts, disclosures, terminology 10
Proposed minor amendments	Effective date of IFRS 17	IFRS 9 temporary exemption	Interim financial statements	Risk mitigation option: use of non-derivatives 15
Additional specific transition modifications and reliefs 16	Other topics raised by respondents 17	Risk mitigation option: no retrospective application at transition 18	Contracts acquired in their settlement period 19	Annual cohorts for some specific insurance contracts 20

Topics 1-6: proposed amendments to confirm

Other topics: topics with feedback from respondents to consider further



Outcome of discussions December 2019 – March 2020





Amendments finalised as proposed (1/2)

Scope exclusion 1 for some loans	Coverage units for VFA contracts 2	
 Permitted to apply either IFRS 17 or IFRS 9 to insurance contracts that provide insurance coverage only for the settlement of the policyholder's obligation created by the contract 	 For insurance contracts with direct participation features, recognise the contractual service margin in profit or loss considering both insurance coverage and investment-related service 	
Simplified balance sheet 3	Extension of	
presentation	risk mitigation option	
 Insurance contract assets and	 For insurance contracts with direct	
insurance contract liabilities	participation features, permitted to	
presented in the balance sheet using	use the risk mitigation option also	
portfolios of insurance contracts	when the company uses reinsurance	
rather than groups of insurance	contracts held to mitigate financial	
contracts	risks	

Amendments finalised as proposed (2/2)

5

6



Transition reliefs for contracts acquired

 At transition account for liabilities for claims settlement acquired in a business combination or portfolio transfer as a 'liability for incurred claims' if the company does not have reasonable and supportable information to apply a retrospective approach

Transition reliefs for the risk mitigation option

- A company would be permitted to
 - apply the risk mitigation option from the date of transition to IFRS 17 if the company designates the risk mitigation relationships to which it will apply the option no later than that date
 - use the fair value approach to transition, if it chooses to apply the risk mitigation option prospectively from the transition date, has mitigated financial risk before the date of transition and can apply IFRS 17 retrospectively







Proposed amendment

- Allocation of part of the insurance acquisition cash flows to expected contract renewals
- Recognition of those cash flows as an asset until the company recognises renewals
- Assessment of the recoverability of the asset at each reporting date
- Disclosures
 - reconciliation of asset changes
 - expected timing of recognition of renewals

Supporting arguments

 General agreement that the proposed amendment would help entities explain their results

Findings and suggestions

- Concerns about complexity and increase in ongoing costs
- × Request for more guidance
- × Request for transition reliefs

IASB decision

- Finalise amendment as proposed in the Exposure Draft
- Requirements for transition and for contracts acquired

8 Reinsurance contracts held: recovery of losses

Proposed amendment

+ -

×÷

- Recognition of a gain on reinsurance contracts held to the extent:
 - a company recognises losses on onerous insurance contracts at initial recognition
 - the reinsurance contracts
 - cover the losses of the underlying contracts on a proportionate basis (ie a fixed percentage of claims is recovered)
 - are entered into before or at the same time the onerous underlying contracts are issued

Supporting arguments

 Support for the direction of the amendment to reduce accounting mismatches

Findings and suggestions

- Request to extend the population of reinsurance contracts to which the amendment would apply
- Concerns that the amendment might be open to abuse

IASB decision

 Extend amendment to all reinsurance contracts held



Proposed amendment

- Requirement to apply IFRS 9 to credit cards that meet the definition of an insurance contract if the company does not reflect an assessment of the insurance risk associated with an individual customer in setting the price of the contract with that customer
 - for example, credit cards that provide insurance coverage for free

Supporting arguments

 General agreement that the scope exclusion would reduce implementation costs

Findings and suggestions

- × Request to extend to other banking products
- Concerns that when the insurance is contractual credit cards may not meet SPPI

IASB decision

- Extend exclusion to similar contracts
- Separate contractual insurance and apply IFRS 17 to it



10 Coverage units for general model contracts, disclosures, terminology

15



Proposed amendment

 Recognition of the contractual service margin **both** when insurance **coverage** and any **services** relating to investment activities are provided

Disclosures

- timing of expected recognition in profit or loss of remaining contractual service margin
- judgement used to determine the profit generated by any service relating to investment activities, in addition to the insurance coverage

Supporting arguments

 General agreement that services related to investment activities need to be considered

Findings and suggestions

- Criteria for investment-return service too narrow
- Complexity of multiple service contracts

IASB decision

- Confirm proposals, but refer to 'investment return'
- Include investment activity costs in fulfilment cash flows even if no investment-return service







Proposed amendments

- Minor amendments to the requirements in IFRS 17 to address a number of cases in which the drafting did not achieve the intended outcome
- Editorial corrections to IFRS 17 that had been identified after IFRS 17 was issued

Supporting arguments

 Overall support for the proposed minor amendments

Findings and suggestions

 Some concerns and requests for clarifications for some minor amendments

IASB decision

 Confirm proposed minor amendments with some improvements in drafting



12 Effective date of IFRS 17 and IFRS 9 13 temporary exemption



Proposed amendment

- Defer the effective date by one year to 1 January 2022
- Extend the temporary exemption from IFRS 9 for some insurers by one year to 1 January 2022

Supporting arguments

 Overall support for the deferral of the effective date and for the alignment of the first application of IFRS 17 and IFRS 9

Findings and suggestions

× Globally consistent effective date

IASB decision

- Defer the effective date by two years to 1 January 2023
- Extend the temporary exemption to 1 January 2023



Exposure Draft	 Consideration of concerns and challenges about the prohibition to change the treatment of accounting estimates made in previous interim financial statements when applying IFRS 17 subsequently However, no change proposed in the Exposure Draft
Feedback	 Significant implementation/application costs from: maintaining two sets of accounting estimates changing existing accounting practices from a year- to-date basis to a period-to-period basis
IASB decision	• A company should make an accounting policy choice whether to change the treatment of accounting estimates made in previous interim financial statements when applying IFRS 17 subsequently



15 Risk mitigation option: use of nonderivatives

19

\$3

 Consideration of a suggestion that the risk mitigation option should also apply when a company uses financial instruments other than derivatives, for example, bonds, to mitigate financial risk However, no change proposed in the Exposure Draft
 Not clear why a company is precluded from using the risk mitigation option when using non-derivatives IFRS 9 permits non-derivatives measured at fair value through profit or loss as hedging instruments
• Extend risk mitigation option when the company mitigates the effect of financial risk on the fulfilment cash flows that do not vary based on underlying items using non-derivatives at fair value through profit or loss

16 Additional specific transition modifications and reliefs

Exposure Draft	 Three proposed specific modifications and reliefs in response to some challenges raised relating to applying specific aspects of the transition requirements Expectation that estimates at transition will often be needed
Feedback	 Suggestions to permit the use of more optionality and flexibility generally when applying the modified retrospective approach Suggestions to add other specific modifications
IASB decision	 Add three new specific transition modifications investment contracts with discretionary participation features reinsurance contracts held (recovery of losses) interim reports



20



Exposure Draft	 No change proposed in the Exposure Draft A company excludes income tax payments and receipts not paid or received in a fiduciary capacity from the fulfilment cash flows
Feedback	 Some income tax payments and receipts, although not paid or received in a fiduciary capacity, are costs specifically chargeable to the policyholder under the terms of the contract. Those costs should be included in the fulfilment cash flows
IASB decision	 Resolve contradiction and amend IFRS 17 to require income tax payments and receipts that are specifically chargeable to the policyholder under the terms of the contract to be included in the fulfilment cash flows



18 Retrospective application of risk mitigation option

-	-
\mathbf{O}	9
_	∠

BIFRS

Exposure Draft	 Consideration of a suggestion to permit retrospective application
	 However, no change proposed in the Exposure Draft
	 Risk of use of hindsight could result in cherry picking and reduced credibility of information
Feedback	 Some disagreement with cost-benefit analysis
	 Suggestion to reconsider 'all or nothing' approach and conditions for applying that possible approach
IASB decision	• Retain, unchanged, the prohibition from applying the
	option retrospectively
	 previous cost-benefit analysis holds
	 – 'all or nothing' unachievable in most cases
	 concern addressed by other amendments

19 Contracts acquired in their settlement period

23

Exposure Draft	 Consideration of concerns that applying IFRS 17 would be a significant change from existing practice However, no change proposed in the Exposure Draft Consistent with treatment of other activities in a business combination
Feedback	 Challenging determination of insured event Alternative suggestions for measurement and presentation Costs for companies applying only PAA
IASB decision	 Retain, unchanged, requirements in IFRS 17 exception to definition of insured event would be a fundamental change IFRS 17 gives useful information



20 Annual cohorts for some specific insurance contracts

2	Δ
<u> </u>	

Exposure Draft	 Consideration of concerns and challenges for contracts, including those with risk sharing However, no change proposed in the Exposure Draft Information about profitability from different generations is useful and justifies the costs
Feedback	 Some think costs do not outweigh benefits for contracts with intergenerational sharing of risks Some think that for those contracts arbitrary allocations are needed, resulting in information that is not useful
IASB decision	 Retain, unchanged, the annual cohort requirement very limited population for which cost-benefit balance is open to question scope of any exemption complex and impossible to define robustly too great a risk of loss of critical information (eg effect of financial guarantees)



Topics not considered further





Implementation activities



Implementation progress to date

Implementation stage	 Many larger companies started implementation when IFRS 17 was issued Smaller companies started implementation later Larger companies typically have developed internal system solutions Smaller companies typically rely on external system solutions
Implementation challenges	IASB provided implementation support since 2017 and is in the process of finalising some targeted amendments to ease implementation, including deferral of the effective date
Effective date	 IASB has decided on new effective date for IFRS 17 of 1 January 2023
Worldwide adoption	 Many jurisdictions have already endorsed IFRS 17 Endorsement is in progress in other jurisdictions



IASB support for IFRS 17 implementation 28

A comprehensive programme of stakeholder engagement and implementation support



What's next

June 2020



Issuance of the final amendments to IFRS 17

Going forward



Implementation support

- No further TRG meetings scheduled
- TRG has not been disbanded and is available for consultation by IASB if needed
- Stakeholders can make IASB aware of implementation questions via email to <u>insurancecontracts@ifrs.org</u>
- Educational material has been developed in the context of IFRS 17 as originally issued
- Stakeholders should consider information in educational material in the context of the forthcoming amendments



Get involved



