

January 2020

IFRS® Interpretations Committee meeting

Project	Definition of a Lease—Shipping Contract (IFRS 16)		
Paper topic	Updated proposed wording of the agenda decision		
CONTACT	Nicolette Lange	nlange@ifrs.org	+44 (0) 20 7246 6924
	Kathryn Donkersley	kdonkersley@ifrs.org	+44 (0) 20 7246 6970

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee) and does not represent the views of the International Accounting Standards Board (Board), the Committee or any individual member of the Board or the Committee. Comments on the application of IFRS Standards do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS Standards. Decisions by the Board are made in public and reported in IASB® *Update*. Decisions by the Committee are made in public and reported in IFRIC® *Update*.

Introduction

- This addendum to Agenda Paper 2 sets out updated proposed wording of the agenda decision to reflect suggestions from Committee members in advance of the January 2020 meeting.
- 2. The addendum sets out the suggested drafting changes as a mark-up compared to the proposed wording in Appendix A to Agenda Paper 2. New text is underlined, and deleted text is struck through.

Mark-up of proposed wording of the agenda decision (updated)

Definition of a Lease—Predetermined dDecision-making rights (IFRS 16)

The Committee received a request about whether the customer has the right to direct the use of a ship throughout the five-year term of a particular contract. In the fact pattern described in the request:

- a. there is an identified asset (the ship) applying paragraphs B13–B20 of IFRS 16.
- the customer has the right to obtain substantially all the economic benefits from use of the ship throughout the five-year period of use applying paragraphs B21–B23 of IFRS 16.
- c. many, but not all, of the decisions about how and for what purpose the ship is used are predetermined in the contract. The customer has the right to make the remaining decisions about how and for what purpose the ship is used throughout the period of use. The customer has determined that those remaining decision-making rights are relevant because they affect the economic benefits to be derived from use of the ship.
- d. the supplier operates and maintains the ship throughout the period of use.

The right to direct the use of an identified asset

Paragraph B24 of IFRS 16 specifies when a customer has the right to direct the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use. Paragraph B24(b) applies only when the relevant decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used are predetermined. The Board noted in paragraph BC121 of IFRS 16 that 'it would expect decisions about how and for what purpose an asset is used to be predetermined in relatively few cases'.

The Committee observed that, in the fact pattern described in the request, because not all relevant decisions about how and for what purpose the ship is used are predetermined, the customer considers paragraph B24(a) of IFRS 16 in assessing whether it has the right to direct the use of the ship.

The right to direct how and for what purpose an asset is used

Paragraph B24(a) specifies that a customer has the right to direct the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use if it has 'the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use (as described in paragraphs B25–B30)'.

For the customer To have the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used, within the scope of its right of use defined in the contract, the customer must be able to change how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use (paragraph B25). In assessing whether that is the case, 'an entity considers the decision making rights to make decisions during the period of use that are most relevant to changing how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the that period of use. An entity does not consider decisions that are predetermined before the period of use unless the conditions in paragraph B24(b)(ii) exist (paragraph B29). Decision-making rights are relevant when they affect the economic benefits to be derived from use' (paragraph B25).

Paragraph B26 includes examples of decision-making rights that, depending on the circumstances, grant the right to change how and for what purpose the asset is used. Rights limited to operating or maintaining the asset do not grant the right to change how and for what purpose an asset it is used (paragraph B27).

The Committee observed that, in the fact pattern described in the request, the customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the ship is used throughout the five year period of use. The customer has the right to make decisions about the use of the ship during the period of use that affect the economic benefits to be derived from that use. Therefore, This is because, within the scope of its right of use defined in the contract, the customer can change how and for what purpose the ship is used. The customer has the right to make decisions about the use of the ship that affect the economic benefits to be derived from that use. The predetermination in the contract of many of the relevant decisions about how and for what purpose the ship is used defines the scope of the customer's right of use—within that scope, the customer has the right to make all the relevant decisions about that are most relevant to changing how and for what purpose the ship is used that can be made.

The Committee also observed that, although the operation and maintenance of the ship are essential to its efficient use, the supplier's decisions in this regard do not give it the right to direct how and for what purpose the ship is used.

The Committee concluded that, in the fact pattern described in the request, the customer has the right to direct the use of the ship throughout the five year period of use. and, Consequently, the contract contains a lease.

Agenda ref 2A

The Committee concluded that the principles and requirements in IFRS 16 provide an adequate basis for an entity to determine whether the contract described in the request contains a lease. Consequently, The Committee therefore decided not to add the matter to its standard-setting agenda.