

## STAFF PAPER

December 2020

## IASB® meeting

<b>Project</b>	<b>Supply Chain Financing Arrangements—Reverse Factoring</b>		
<b>Paper topic</b>	Finalisation of agenda decision		
<b>CONTACT(S)</b>	Craig Smith	<a href="mailto:csmith@ifrs.org">csmith@ifrs.org</a>	+44 (0)20 7246 6410

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (Board) and does not represent the views of the Board or any individual member of the Board. Comments on the application of IFRS® Standards do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS Standards. Technical decisions are made in public and reported in IASB® *Update*.

## Introduction

1. At its meeting in December 2020, the IFRS Interpretations Committee (Committee) decided not to add a standard-setting project to the work plan in response to a submission about the existing presentation and disclosure requirements that apply to reverse factoring arrangements. The Committee instead decided to publish an agenda decision that would include material explaining how the applicable principles and requirements in IFRS Standards apply to reverse factoring arrangements.
2. In a reverse factoring arrangement, a financial institution agrees to pay amounts an entity owes to the entity's suppliers and the entity agrees to pay the financial institution typically at a date later than suppliers are paid.
3. The submission asked:
  - (a) how an entity presents liabilities to pay for goods or services received when the related invoices are part of a reverse factoring arrangement; and
  - (b) what information about reverse factoring arrangements an entity is required to disclose in its financial statements.
4. The purpose of this meeting is to ask Board members whether they object to the agenda decision, as required by paragraph 8.7 of the IFRS Foundation *Due Process Handbook*.

## Overview of the feedback on the tentative agenda decision

5. In June 2020, the Committee published a [tentative agenda decision](#) and received 22 comment letters by the comment deadline of 30 September 2020.
6. 18 respondents agreed with the Committee’s decision to publish an agenda decision. Most agreed with the Committee’s analysis of the requirements in IFRS Standards, but some had comments on specific details of that analysis. Some respondents also suggested clarifying particular aspects of the tentative agenda decision.
7. One respondent suggested that the Committee not publish an agenda decision and, instead, that narrow-scope standard-setting be undertaken to address presentation and disclosure of reverse factoring arrangements in financial statements.
8. The Committee considered the feedback at its December 2020 meeting<sup>1</sup>, and made some amendments to the tentative agenda decision to address respondents’ comments. Those amendments did not change the overall structure or content of the tentative agenda decision, but rather were editorial or clarifying in nature.
9. All 13 Committee members present voted to publish the agenda decision.
10. Appendix A to this paper includes the wording of the agenda decision, approved by Committee members.

### Questions for the Board

- Do Board members object to the Committee’s:
- (a) decision that a standard-setting project should not be added to the work plan; and
  - (b) conclusion that the agenda decision does not add or change requirements in IFRS Standards?

---

<sup>1</sup> [Agenda Paper 4](#) to the Committee’s December 2020 meeting analyses the comments received.

### **Possible standard-setting**

11. In addition to publishing an agenda decision, in June 2020 Committee members also discussed, and provided their views on, possible narrow-scope standard-setting in relation to supply chain financing arrangements. In particular, the Committee discussed whether additional, more specific, disclosure requirements should be considered to meet investor information needs in relation to those arrangements.
12. Respondents to the tentative agenda decision provided comments on possible standard-setting in relation to supply chain financing arrangements, and we have also received input from outreach meetings held with investors and others earlier in 2020.
13. We plan to bring all of this input and feedback to the Board for its consideration at a future Board meeting.

## Appendix A—The Agenda Decision

A1. The Agenda Decision below was approved by the Committee at its meeting in December 2020.

### **Supply Chain Financing Arrangements—Reverse Factoring**

The Committee received a request about reverse factoring arrangements. Specifically, the request asked:

- a) how an entity presents liabilities to pay for goods or services received when the related invoices are part of a reverse factoring arrangement; and
- b) what information about reverse factoring arrangements an entity is required to disclose in its financial statements.

In a reverse factoring arrangement, a financial institution agrees to pay amounts an entity owes to the entity’s suppliers and the entity agrees to pay the financial institution at the same date as, or a date later than, suppliers are paid.

#### **Presentation in the statement of financial position**

IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* specifies how an entity is required to present its liabilities in the statement of financial position.

Paragraph 54 of IAS 1 requires an entity to present ‘trade and other payables’ separately from other financial liabilities. ‘Trade and other payables’ are sufficiently different in nature or function from other financial liabilities to warrant separate presentation (paragraph 57 of IAS 1). Paragraph 55 of IAS 1 requires an entity to present additional line items (including by disaggregating the line items listed in paragraph 54) when such presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity’s financial position.

Consequently, an entity is required to determine whether to present liabilities that are part of a reverse factoring arrangement:

- a) within trade and other payables;
- b) within other financial liabilities; or
- c) as a line item separate from other items in its statement of financial position.

Paragraph 11(a) of IAS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets* states that ‘trade payables are liabilities to pay for goods or services that have been received or supplied and have been invoiced or formally agreed with the supplier’. Paragraph 70 of IAS 1 explains that ‘some current liabilities, such as trade payables... are part of the working capital used in the entity’s normal operating cycle’. The Committee therefore concluded that an entity presents a financial liability as a trade payable only when it:

- a) represents a liability to pay for goods or services;
- b) is invoiced or formally agreed with the supplier; and
- c) is part of the working capital used in the entity’s normal operating cycle.

Paragraph 29 of IAS 1 requires an entity to ‘present separately items of a dissimilar nature or function unless they are immaterial’. Paragraph 57 specifies that line items are included in the statement of financial position when the size, nature or function of an item (or aggregation of similar items) is such that separate presentation is relevant to an understanding of the entity’s financial position. Accordingly, the Committee concluded that, applying IAS 1, an entity presents liabilities that are part of a reverse factoring arrangement:

- a) as part of ‘trade and other payables’ only when those liabilities have a similar nature and function to trade payables—for example, when those liabilities are part of the working capital used in the entity’s normal operating cycle.
- b) separately when the size, nature or function of those liabilities makes separate presentation relevant to an understanding of the entity’s financial position. In assessing whether it is required to present such liabilities separately (including whether to disaggregate trade and other payables), an entity considers the amounts, nature and timing of those liabilities (paragraphs 55 and 58 of IAS 1).

The Committee observed that an entity assessing whether to present liabilities that are part of a reverse factoring arrangement separately might consider factors including, for example:

- a) whether additional security is provided as part of the arrangement that would not be provided without the arrangement.

- b) the extent to which the terms of liabilities that are part of the arrangement differ from the terms of the entity's trade payables that are not part of the arrangement.

### **Derecognition of a financial liability**

An entity assesses whether and when to derecognise a liability that is (or becomes) part of a reverse factoring arrangement applying the derecognition requirements in IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*.

An entity that derecognises a trade payable to a supplier and recognises a new financial liability to a financial institution applies IAS 1 in determining how to present that new liability in its statement of financial position (see 'Presentation in the statement of financial position').

### **Presentation in the statement of cash flows**

Paragraph 6 of IAS 7 *Statement of Cash Flows* defines:

- a) operating activities as 'the principal revenue-producing activities of the entity and other activities that are not investing or financing activities'; and
- b) financing activities as 'activities that result in changes in the size and composition of the contributed equity and borrowings of the entity'.

An entity that has entered into a reverse factoring arrangement determines how to classify cash flows under the arrangement, typically as cash flows from operating activities or cash flows from financing activities. The Committee observed that an entity's assessment of the nature of the liabilities that are part of the arrangement may help in determining whether the related cash flows arise from operating or financing activities. For example, if the entity considers the related liability to be a trade or other payable that is part of the working capital used in the entity's principal revenue-producing activities, the entity presents cash outflows to settle the liability as arising from operating activities in its statement of cash flows. In contrast, if the entity considers that the related liability is not a trade or other payable because the liability represents borrowings of the entity, the entity presents cash outflows to settle the liability as arising from financing activities in its statement of cash flows.

Investing and financing transactions that do not require the use of cash or cash equivalents are excluded from an entity's statement of cash flows (paragraph 43 of IAS 7).

Consequently, if a cash inflow and cash outflow occur for an entity when an invoice is factored as part of a reverse factoring arrangement, the entity presents those cash flows in its statement of cash flows. If no cash inflow or cash outflow occurs for an entity in a financing transaction, the entity discloses the transaction elsewhere in the financial statements in a way that provides all the relevant information about the financing activity (paragraph 43 of IAS 7).

### **Notes to the financial statements**

Paragraph 31 of IFRS 7 *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* requires an entity to provide information that enables users of its financial statements to evaluate the nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments to which the entity is exposed. IFRS 7 defines liquidity risk as ‘the risk that an entity will encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset’. The Committee observed that reverse factoring arrangements often give rise to liquidity risk because:

- a) the entity has concentrated a portion of its liabilities with one financial institution rather than a diverse group of suppliers. The entity may also obtain other sources of funding from the financial institution providing the reverse factoring arrangement. If the entity were to encounter any difficulty in meeting its obligations, such a concentration would increase the risk that the entity might have to pay a significant amount, at one time, to one counterparty.
- b) the entity may have become reliant on extended payment terms or the entity’s supplier may have become accustomed to, or reliant on, earlier payment under the reverse factoring arrangement. If the financial institution were to withdraw the reverse factoring arrangement, that withdrawal could affect the entity’s ability to settle liabilities when they are due, particularly if the entity were already in financial distress.

Paragraphs 33-35 of IFRS 7 require an entity to disclose how exposures to risk arising from financial instruments, including liquidity risk, arise; the entity’s objectives, policies and processes for managing the risk; summary quantitative data about the entity’s exposure to liquidity risk at the end of the reporting period (including further information if this data is unrepresentative of the entity’s exposure to liquidity risk during the period); and

concentrations of risk. Paragraphs 39 and B11F of IFRS 7 specify further requirements and factors an entity might consider in providing liquidity risk disclosures.

An entity applies judgement in determining whether to provide additional disclosures in the notes about the effect of reverse factoring arrangements on its financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The Committee observed that:

- a) assessing how to present liabilities and cash flows related to reverse factoring arrangements may involve judgement. An entity discloses the judgements that management has made in this respect if they are among the judgements made that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognised in the financial statements (paragraph 122 of IAS 1).
- b) reverse factoring arrangements may have a material effect on an entity's financial statements. An entity provides information about reverse factoring arrangements in its financial statements to the extent that such information is relevant to an understanding of any of those financial statements (paragraph 112 of IAS 1).

The Committee noted that making materiality judgements involves both quantitative and qualitative considerations.

Paragraph 44A of IAS 7 requires an entity to provide 'disclosures that enable users of financial statements to evaluate changes in liabilities arising from financing activities, including both changes arising from cash flows and non-cash changes'. The Committee noted that such disclosure is required for liabilities that are part of a reverse factoring arrangement if the cash flows for those liabilities were, or future cash flows will be, classified as cash flows from financing activities.

The Committee concluded that the principles and requirements in IFRS Standards provide an adequate basis for an entity to determine the presentation of liabilities that are part of reverse factoring arrangements, the presentation of the related cash flows, and the information to disclose in the notes about, for example, liquidity risks that arise in such arrangements. Consequently, the Committee decided not to add a standard-setting project on these matters to the work plan.