

Perspectives on IAS 36: A case for standard setting activity

Accounting Standards Advisory Forum 2 April 2019

Kris Peach & Moana Overton

Why did AASB do research in Impairment?

- Systemic issues identified with audit quality and financial reporting surveillance programs
- Key areas of focus by regulators Impairment and Revenue
 - Revenue fixed!
 - o Impairment?
- Other concerns
 - Preparers find IAS 36 unnecessarily complex
 - Investors / analysts often don't find the information provides meaningful insights

How can this problem be resolved

- **#1:** Review IAS 36 in its entirety
- #2: Clarify purpose of impairment testing
- **#3:** Develop a single approach that:
 - removes restrictions on including future restructurings and asset enhancements
 - reserves Fair Value Less Costs of Disposal (FVLCD) for assets expected to be disposed in following period
 - allows post-tax discount rate
 - allows use of market-based assumptions eg forward curve for commodity prices and exchange rates

How can this problem be resolved

- **#4:** Change CGU definition to align with management decision making
- #5: Enhance disclosures by having:
 - guidance on what a key assumption is
 - coherent disclosure principles regardless of method chosen
 - disclosure objective in IFRS 3 to help investors understand subsequent performance of acquired business

Engage with the AASB





Keep in touch with the AASB

standard@aasb.gov.au