
IFRS® Foundation



GPF Meeting, March 2018 Agenda paper 3B

Primary Financial Statements
Presentation of the share of profit or loss of associates and joint ventures

Denise Durant | ddurant@ifrs.org | +44 (0) 20 7246 6469

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter, not necessarily those of the International Accounting Standards Board (Board) or the IFRS Foundation.



Agenda

	Slide(s)
Objective of the discussion	3
 Why improve the presentation of the share of profit or loss of associates and joint ventures? 	4
 Recap of previous discussions 	5–9
 Potential presentation alternatives 	10-11
 Questions for GPF members 	12–14



Objective of the discussion

Location in the statement(s) of performance

 Where should the share of the profit or loss of associates and joint ventures be presented?

Separating 'integral' and 'non-integral' results

- Should preparers separate the results of 'integral' and 'non-integral' associates and joint ventures?
- Should 'integral' be defined? If so, how?



Why improve the presentation of the share of profit or loss of associates and joint ventures?

Diversity in practice—results are presented in different locations which makes it hard for investors to do their analysis

Lack of information— preparers do not explain their choice of location

Investors' needs

- Comparability
- Ease of analysis



IFRS Foundation





Location in the statement(s) of performance

Definition of 'income/expenses from investments' category

Meeting	Outcome
November 2017 Board meeting	The Board tentatively decided to introduce an 'income/expenses from investments' category in the statement of financial performance and define it using a principle-based approach as:
	'income/expenses from assets that generate a return individually and largely independently of other resources held by the entity'
	 The Board also decided to provide a list of some of the items that would typically be treated as 'income/expenses from investments'. For example: rental income on investment property for companies that do not treat such investments as a significant part of their operations; or, dividends on non-consolidated equity investments.

Location in the statement(s) of performance

Should the share of profit or loss of associates and joint ventures be presented within the 'income/expenses from investments' category?

Meeting	Outcome
November 2017 Board meeting	 <u>View 1</u>: present results from <u>all</u> associates and joint ventures within the <i>'income/expenses from investments'</i> category. <u>View 2</u>: split results from associates and joint ventures between 'integral' (within 'business' results) and 'non-integral' (within the 'income/expenses from investments' category).



Separation of 'integral' results

Why is it useful to separate the share of profit or loss of 'integral' associates and joint ventures?

Meeting	Outcome
Jan 2018 Board meeting	 Board members supported separate presentation, because there seems to be a need for users to be able to identify separately the results of integral and non-integral associates and joint ventures.



How to distinguish between 'integral' and 'non-integral' associates or joint ventures?

Meeting	Outcome
Jan 2018 Board	Board members analysed the following views:
meeting	 View 1: treat all joint ventures as 'integral' and all associates as 'non-integral.
(cont'd)	
	 View 2: (<u>favoured by the Board</u>)—use our proposed definition of 'income/expenses from investments' (<u>refer to slide # 6</u>) to make the split between 'integral' and 'non-integral' and provide further guidance to help making this distinction.
	 View 3: develop a definition of 'integral'. are the activities of an associate or joint venture <i>integrated</i> into an entity's business/operating activities? are the activities of an associate or joint venture <i>essential and fundamental</i> to the investor?

Potential presentation alternatives

Alt 1—no split, the share of results from associates and JVs within the investing section

Revenue

. . .

Profit before investments, financing and income tax

Income/expense from investments

Share of profit or loss of associates
and JVs

Other investing income

Profit before financing and income tax

Finance income Finance expense

Pre-tax profit

Taxation

Profit or loss

Alt 2—split of 'integral/non-integral' share of results within the investing section

Revenue

. .

Profit before investments, financing and income tax

Income/expense from investments

Share of profit or loss of integral associates and JVs

Share of profit or loss of nonintegral

associates and JVs

Other investing income

Profit before financing and income tax

Finance income

Finance expense

Pre-tax profit

Taxation

Profit or loss

Potential presentation alternatives

Alt 3—split of 'integral' and 'non-integral' share of results above and below investing

Revenue

..

Share of profit or loss of integral associates and JVs

Profit before investments, financing and income tax

Income/expense from investments

Share of profit or loss of nonintegral
associates and JVs

Profit before financing and income tax

Other investing income

Finance income

Finance expense

Pre-tax profit
Taxation

Profit

Alt 4—Require additional subtotal so that 'integral' results are shown in separate section

Revenue

Business profit subtotal

Share of profit or loss of integral associates and JVs

Profit before investments, financing and income tax

Income/expense from investments

Share of profit or loss of non-integral associates and JVs

Other investing income

Profit before financing and income tax subtotal

Finance income

Finance expense

Pre-tax profit

Taxation

Profit

Alternative favoured by the Board

IFRS Foundation





Questions for GPF members

Q1

Do you agree with having a split between the share of profit or loss of 'integral' associates and joint ventures and the share of profit or loss of 'non-integral' associates or joint ventures in the statement(s) of financial performance?

- (a) Would your investors find the split useful?
- (b) How difficult or costly would it be to provide the split?



Questions for GPF members

Q2

How do you think 'integral' associates or joint ventures could be distinguished from 'non-integral' associates or joint ventures (see slide 9 for the alternatives considered by the Board)?

Q3

Which of the alternatives in slides # 10 and # 11, do you support for presenting the share of the profit or loss of 'integral' and 'non-integral' associates and joint ventures, and why?



Contact us

