



European Financial Reporting Advisory Group ■

The 'goodwill accretion' approach

Outline



- Background
- Objective of the approach
- Concepts underlying the approach
- How does the approach work?
- More work needed on....

Background

- Feedback to date in Europe indicates:
 - Mixed views on whether goodwill should be amortised;
 - Support for improving the IAS 36 impairment test by making it:
 - More effective
 - Less complex
- In June 2017 EFRAG published a Discussion Paper to gather feedback on some ideas on possible improvements
 - one of these ideas is the ‘goodwill accretion’ approach
- EFRAG will use feedback on the DP to:
 - further develop the ideas
 - inform EFRAG’s input to any future IASB proposals

End of comment period for EFRAG DP:
31 December 2017

Objective

- Impairment of purchased goodwill may be ‘shielded’ in the IAS 36 impairment test as a result of both:
 - Unrecognised pre-acquisition goodwill of CGUs to which the purchased goodwill is allocated (‘first shield’); and
 - Purchased goodwill being replaced/replenished by ‘new’ unrecognised goodwill that is generated after the acquisition (‘second shield’)

Objective (contd.)

- The IASB staff's 'Pre-acquisition Headroom' (PH) approach:
 - aims to mitigate the first shield;
 - does not address the second shield;
 - is a 'static' approach
- The 'goodwill accretion' approach aims to mitigate the second shield in a dynamic manner

EFRAG's DP seeks feedback on the concept and its practical implementation

Underlying concept

- The approach starts from a premise that **purchased** goodwill may be impaired even if the **overall value of** goodwill has been maintained due to replenishment by internally-generated goodwill:
 - but a direct measure of internally-generated goodwill is widely considered to be impractical (e.g. see IAS 36.BC135);
 - if so, a proxy for internally-generated goodwill must be used to address the shielding effect
- The approach is based on a premise that, in order not to be impaired, purchased goodwill must be replenished at least at a rate that is equal to the expected return on the initial investment
 - may also reflect the notion that purchased goodwill is the present value of future excess earnings, and its initial carrying amount includes a discount to be unwound as time passes

□ Underlying concept (contd.)

- Aims to balance relevance with simplicity and cost-effectiveness, in particular in that it:
 - needs no incremental inputs beyond those used for existing IAS 36 purposes (assuming the accretion rate is the same as used in the impairment test - see slide 11);
 - applies regardless of where the goodwill is initially allocated (pre-existing CGUs or CGUs within the purchased business)

How does it work?

- At each reporting date, the entity determines an amount of goodwill accretion for the period:
 - accretion is calculated by multiplying the opening balance of goodwill by a discount rate
- The accretion is then added to the carrying amount of the CGU or deducted from the recoverable amount, for the purpose of impairment testing:
 - no accounting recognition of the accretion

□ How does it work? (contd.)

- If no impairment loss is recognised, the balance of accretion is carried forward
- When including the accretion results in an impairment loss, the balance of the accretion is correspondingly reduced
- The entity continues to determine the accretion until the goodwill is fully written off

Illustrative example

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Carrying value of CGU (including Goodwill)	250	230	215
Goodwill	100	100	100-5= 95
Rate	6%	7%	5%
Accretion	6	6+7= 13	13-5+ (95*5%)= 12.75
Recoverable amount of CGU	258	238	230
Impairment	no	230+13-238= 5	no

More work needed on....



- Some of the detailed mechanics
- The selection of the discount rate:
 - Is the discount rate used in the value-in-use calculation the best choice? Is there a more specific expected return on the goodwill?
- Could the goodwill accretion approach be combined with the PH and, if so, how?

Thank you for your attention!



**And now ...
Questions?
... and answers...**

www.efrag.org



EFRAG receives financial support of the European Union-DG Fisma.

The contents of this presentation is the sole responsibility of EFRAG and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union.