



Australian Government

Australian Accounting
Standards Board

27 October 2015

Wayne Upton
The Chair
IFRS Interpretations Committee
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH
United Kingdom

Dear Sir

**Proposed Agenda Decision IFRS 5 *Non-Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations* –
*Various IFRS 5-related issues***

The Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) welcomes the opportunity to provide comments on the IFRS Interpretations Committee's ('the Committee') Tentative Agenda Decision IFRS 5 *Non-Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations – Various IFRS 5-related issues*.

The tentative agenda decision describes various IFRS 5 issues that have been raised to the Committee, and notes that the Committee will not be further addressing these issues before the IASB 2015 Agenda Consultation is complete. The AASB supports the proposal to defer any further short-term discussions on these issues. However, the AASB thinks there is a difference between not adding issues to the Committee's agenda, and deferring deciding whether to add an issue to its agenda or continue discussing an issue. The AASB is of the view that it is not appropriate for an agenda decision to be finalised to complete issues that are being 'parked' pending the outcome of a related project. Accordingly, the AASB recommends that the Committee **not** finalise its tentative agenda decision, but to minute the decision to defer any further discussion of the IFRS 5 issues for the interim.

The AASB notes that rejection notices "should be seen as helpful, informative and persuasive"¹. Should the Committee decide to proceed with finalising the tentative agenda decision, the AASB thinks the wording of the tentative agenda decision should also describe why these matters have been raised as issues and why each remains unresolved at this time having been previously discussed by the Committee. Further, the AASB recommends that the wording of the final sentence in the tentative agenda decision be amended to read (new text is underlined): "Consequently, the Interpretations Committee [decided] not to add these issues to its agenda at this time", to acknowledge that these issues may need to be re-addressed by the Committee following the outcome of the IASB 2015 Agenda Consultation.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "K. E. Peach".

Kris Peach
Chair and CEO

¹ <http://www.ifrs.org/How-we-develop-Interpretations/Pages/Setting-the-agenda.aspx>



ASCG • Zimmerstr. 30 • 10969 Berlin

Wayne Upton
Chairman of the
IFRS Interpretations Committee
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH

United Kingdom

IFRS Technical Committee

Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-12

E-Mail: info@drsc.de

Berlin, 11 November 2015

Dear Wayne,

IFRS IC's tentative agenda decision on IAS 32 and IFRS 5 from the September meeting

On behalf of the Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG), I am writing to comment on the IFRS IC's tentative agenda decisions regarding IAS 32—*Liabilities for pre-paid cards* and IFRS 5—*Several issues*, which were both published in the September 2015 IFRIC Update.

We partly agree with the tentative decision on the IAS 32 issue that neither an interpretation nor an amendment (or even a clarification) is necessary. We agree with the Committee's finding that, based upon the existing literature applicable to the specific fact pattern, the definition of a financial liability is met. We therefore acknowledge that the requirements of IFRS 9 (or IAS 39) apply as to when, and to what extent, a financial liability shall be derecognised. However, we wonder whether the derecognition requirements pursuant to IAS 39 (or IFRS 9) are the most appropriate in the specific fact pattern, given that the derecognition principle in IFRS 15 (or in IFRIC 13) is different. While IFRS 9 (or IAS 39) only allows for derecognition upon the entity being discharged of its liability or the liability being cancelled or having expired—without considering any probability or remoteness—, IFRS 15 would consider remoteness of redemption when determining the contract liability. As the fact pattern (in particular, the three parties involved) for prepaid cards can be compared to similar events and circumstance, e.g. points or miles being awarded under a customer loyalty programme, we wonder whether different outcomes are warranted for fact patterns that are close to each other. We suggest that this issue be flagged for a more substantial review of the derecognition requirements laid down in different standards.

Contact:

Zimmerstr. 30 D-10969 Berlin
Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-0
Fax: +49 (0)30 206412-15
E-Mail: info@drsc.de

Bank Details:

Deutsche Bank Berlin
Account. 0 700 781 00, BLZ 100 700 00
IBAN-Nr. DE26 1007 0000 0070 0781 00
BIC (Swift-Code) DEUTDE33XXX

Register of Associations:

District Court Berlin-Charlottenburg, VR 18526 Nz

Executive Committee:

Prof. Dr. Andreas Barckow (President)
Peter Missler (Vice-President)

Further, we do not agree with the set of tentative decisions on several issues relating to IFRS 5. In general, we do not believe that it makes sense to decide upon selected issues relating to IFRS 5 now, whereas several other issues are put on hold until a broader scope project to revise IFRS 5 is initiated. Rather, and as suggested on several occasions, we think IFRS 5 deserves a comprehensive revision.

In particular, we do not agree with the decisions on *allocation of impairment losses to non-current assets* (Issue 5) and on *how to present intragroup transactions between continuing and discontinued operations* (Issue 9), which assume that in both cases sufficient guidance exists, resulting in accounting (only) the way as is proposed in the decision's wording. At least with regard to Issue 9, current accounting practice in our jurisdiction could differ from the IFRS IC's suggestion. For this reason, we object to the finding that there is clear guidance and that no diversity in practice exists.

To provide more detail on issue 9, we concur with the IFRS IC's answer being consistent with the principles of IFRS 10. However, the Committee's answer does not seem to comply with the general idea and principle of IFRS 5, which in our view is to present the continued business as if the discontinued business has already been disposed off. In other words, consolidation principles in IFRS 10 do not fit the purpose of IFRS 5, that we consider being *lex specialis*. Therefore, IFRS 5 might bear an implicit exemption from the consolidation requirements. Under this assumption, we acknowledge at least a need to clarify the hierarchy of IFRS 10 and IFRS 5 in this respect or, otherwise, a need to comprehensively review, and potentially revise and strengthen, the principles of IFRS 5.

If you would like to discuss our views further, please do not hesitate to contact Jan-Velten Große or me.

Yours sincerely,

Andreas Barckow

President

Mr. Wayne Upton

IFRS Interpretation Committee
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH
United Kingdom

Paris, November 23, 2015

RE: IFRS Interpretations Committee tentative agenda decisions, September 2015

Dear Wayne,

MAZARS is pleased to comment on the various IFRS Interpretations Committee tentative agenda decisions published in the September IFRIC Update.

We have gathered all our comments as appendices to this letter. Should you prefer us to prepare separate comment letter for each tentative agenda decision, please let us know.

Should you have any questions regarding our comments, please do not hesitate to contact Michel Barbet-Massin (+33 1 49 97 62 27) or Edouard Fossat (+33 1 49 97 65 92).

Best regards,



Michel Barbet-Massin
Head of Financial Reporting Technical Support

IFRS 5-Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations -To what extent can an impairment loss be allocated to non-current assets within a disposal group? (Agenda Paper 2B)

We support the view of the IFRS Interpretations Committee that paragraph 23 of IFRS 5, when referring to paragraphs 104 and 122 of IAS 36, only deals with the order of allocation of impairment losses, not their amount. The measurement of impairment losses of a disposal group arising from IFRS 5 is made according to paragraph 15 of IFRS 5, and neither paragraph 23 of IFRS 5, nor IAS 36 provisions should influence the total amount of impairment losses to recognize.

Therefore we totally agree with the Committee's tentative decision not to add this issue onto its agenda, and we share the rationale for that decision.

We nevertheless regret the Committee's decision not to deal with the other IFRS 5 related impairment issues. Questions dealing with an impairment loss for a disposal group that exceeds the carrying amount of non-current assets within the disposal group, or with the reversal of an impairment loss measured according to paragraph 15 and allocated to goodwill according to paragraph 23 of IFRS 5 are more frequent than the one addressed by the tentative agenda decision.

We strongly believe that these two issues could be dealt with according to the same rationale, making a distinction between accounting and measurement requirements under IFRS 5 and presentation and allocation requirements made by reference to IAS 36.

Considering both (a) the frequency of these questions and their possible materiality to the financial statements, and (b) our opinion that these issues could be addressed without amending IFRS 5, we regret the Committee's decision to wait for the outcome of the 2015 Agenda Consultation before (eventually) further discussing these issues.

Considering also the number of issues regarding the application of IFRS 5 that are brought to the IFRS IC, we also support the proposal by the Board to bring IFRS 5 onto its agenda.

Appendix 4

IFRS 5 – *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations* – Various IFRS 5-related issues (Agenda Paper 2D)

Please see our comments in Appendix 2 regarding impairment-related issues.



Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
2 New Street Square
London
EC4A 3BZ
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0) 20 7936 3000
Fax: +44 (0) 20 7583 1198
www.deloitte.com
Direct: +44 20 7007 0884
Direct fax: +44 20 7007 0158
vepoole@deloitte.co.uk

Wayne Upton
Chairman
IFRS Interpretations Committee
30 Cannon Street
London
United Kingdom
EC4M 6XH

23 November 2015

Dear Mr Upton

Tentative agenda decision – IFRS5 *Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations*: Various IFRS5-related issues

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited is pleased to respond to the IFRS Interpretations Committee's publication in the September IFRIC Update of the tentative decision not to take onto the Committee's agenda various issues relating to the application of IFRS 5, namely:

- the scope of the held-for-sale classification with respect to planned loss of control events other than the sale of shares;
- whether IFRS 5 applies to a disposal group consisting mainly of financial instruments;
- impairment of a disposal group when the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value less costs to sell of a disposal group exceeds the carrying amount of non-current assets within the scope of the measurement requirements of IFRS 5;
- reversal of an impairment loss relating to goodwill in a disposal group;
- how to apply the definition of 'major line of business' in presenting discontinued operations; and
- how to apply the presentation requirements in paragraph 28 of IFRS 5 when there has been a change to a plan to dispose of a disposal group that consists of both a subsidiary and other non-current assets.

We agree with the IFRS Interpretations Committee's decision not to add these items onto its agenda for the reasons set out in the tentative agenda decision but note that the number and variety of issues raised provides clear evidence that standard-setting activity is required on a number of elements of IFRS 5 including the issues noted above and the question of presentation of intragroup transaction between continuing and discontinued operations addressed in a separate tentative agenda decision.

If you have any questions concerning our comments, please contact Veronica Poole in London at +44 (0) 20 7007 0884.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee ("DTTL"), its network of member firms, and their related entities. DTTL and each of its member firms are legally separate and independent entities. DTTL (also referred to as "Deloitte Global") does not provide services to clients. Please see www.deloitte.com/about for a more detailed description of DTTL and its member firms.

Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited is a private company limited by guarantee incorporated in England & Wales under company number 07271800, and its registered office is Hill House, 1 Little New Street, London, EC4A, 3TR, United Kingdom.

Deloitte.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'V. Poole', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Veronica Poole
Global IFRS Leader