International Financial Reporting Standards



IASB meeting, October 2015 Agenda Paper 6A

Pollutant Pricing Mechanisms Emissions Trading Schemes issues

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter, not necessarily those of the IASB or IFRS Foundation.



Purpose of this session

- The purpose of this presentation is to highlight accounting issues and interactions between them that will need to be considered in developing an accounting model for cap-and-trade type emissions trading schemes (ETS).
- This is an education session.
- In future meetings, the staff will ask IASB members to make decisions about the issues highlighted.



Project background

- Previous project (2005-2010)
 - Began after the issue
 (2004) and withdrawal
 (2005) of IFRIC 3
 Emission Rights
 - IASB made some tentative decisions about cap-and-trade emissions trading scheme (ETS) issues

January 2015—IASB decided to take a fresh start approach

Current research project

- there is diversity in accounting methods used in financial statements
- current focus on cap-and-trade ETS
- scope to cover other types of pollutant pricing mechanisms
- scope will focus on participants (emitters and captors)
- accounting by government/ scheme administrators is focus of project by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB).

Discussion Paper expected in H1 2016



Jargon busting

- Pollutant pricing mechanisms: price- or market-based approaches used to control pollution by providing economic incentives for achieving reductions in the emissions of pollutants. The price can be set directly (eg through a tax) or indirectly through a market.
- Cap-and-trade emissions trading scheme (ETS): a type of ETS in which the
 government sets an overall 'cap' on the volume of specified pollutants and uses
 tradeable 'allowances' (sometimes called certificates, rights or credits) of equal
 quantity to establish the price.
- Participants/ emitters: entities that emit the specified pollutants that are subject to an ETS and have an obligation to remit allowances to the government.
- Traders: entities that buy and sell emissions allowances but are not participants in the scheme.
- Commitment period: the period over which the cap has been established.
- Compliance year: a year within the commitment period, for which the participant must verify its emissions and remit allowances.

Cap-and-trade type of ETS

Common features of cap-and-trade emissions trading schemes		
Overall cap (emissions target)	Total units of emissions (eg tonnes of CO ₂) that may be released by all participants (emitters) within the commitment period over which emissions are to be reduced	
Implementation of overall cap	Allocation or auction or sale of allowances to individual emitters up to overall cap. Typically issued in reducing instalments in each compliance year within the commitment period	
Trading mechanism	Allowances are tradable. Allocations given only to participants, but trade may be with other participants or with non-participant traders	
'Emissions obligation'	Obligation to remit allowances covering emissions made by a participant during the compliance year	



Economic drivers (1)

- Government targets a gradual reduction of overall pollutant emissions below a 'benchmark' level
 - the target each year is set as a 'cap' for allowances to be issued by the government
- The emissions obligation can only be settled using allowances
 - Fines/ penalties imposed for late settlement do not eliminate the obligation to remit allowances to cover emissions made
- In the first commitment period of many schemes, the issue and return of allowances is designed to be 'cash neutral' for the government:
 - Number of allowances issued for nil consideration equals the number of allowances to be returned

Looking at the scheme as a whole, there is no government grant element and no tax element.



Economic drivers (2)

- Allowances only have value in the market if there are buyers willing to buy and sellers willing to sell
- If all participants emit fewer pollutants than the allowances they have been allocated, the market value of the allowances will be zero
- Allocation to individual participants for nil consideration is based on a variety of factors, including:
 - ability of participants to switch to 'greener' production methods
 - ability of participants to relocate/ curtail production
 - ability of participants to pass on costs to the users of the goods/ services that give rise to the pollutants
- Allocation policies can encourage market liquidity



Economic drivers (3)

- Effectiveness of the scheme relies on participants taking different actions and creating liquidity in the market
- Allocation policies and market forces influence how individual participants react:
 - invest in changes to the production process in order to reduce emissions
 - retain existing production process and purchase allowances
 - relocate or substantially curtail/ cease production
- Gains can be made by participants who emit below the level of their allocation and sell surplus allowances



Economic drivers (4)

What financial effects does a cap-and-trade ETS create for a participant in the scheme?



A requirement to remit allowances as a result of emitting



An opportunity to benefit from surplus allowances



Participants are allocated allowances that need to be returned if they emit



A cost for excess emissions



What is the nature of the allocation: a grant? or loan? or something else?



What are the main accounting issues? (1)

- What elements should an entity recognise in its financial statements for emissions trading schemes?
 - What are the obligations/liabilities in the scheme when do they arise?
 - Allowances—are they assets?
 - If so, what type of asset? (intangible, financial, inventory, other)
 - Should the assets/liabilities be recognised? If so, when?
 - How do you measure the assets and liabilities?
 - What income/expenses arise and when do you recognise gains/losses?



What are the main accounting issues? (2)

- How should the elements recognised be presented?
 - Should the assets and liabilities be presented gross in the statement of financial position?
 - Can or should they be offset or netted?
 - Could they be presented through a linked form of presentation?
 - How should income/ expenses and gains/ losses be presented?
 - Can or should they be offset or netted?
 - Could they be presented through a linked form of presentation?
- What disclosures are needed?



Conceptual Framework Elements—Liabilities

Proposed definitions

Assets

Present economic resources controlled by the entity as a result of past events

Liabilities

Present obligations of the entity to transfer an economic resource as a result of past events

Equity = Assets - Liabilities

- A present obligation is an obligation to transfer economic resources that:
 - a) the entity has no practical ability to avoid; and
 - b) has arisen from a past event (ie economic benefits already received or activities already conducted).



Cap-and-trade ETS—nature of the liability (1)

Emissions obligation:

 Participant entity has an obligation to remit allowances to cover pollutants emitted in the period

A present obligation is an obligation to transfer economic resources that:

a) the entity has no practical ability to avoid;

AND

b) has arisen from a past event (ie economic benefits already received or activities already conducted). A participant in the scheme is going to have no practical ability to avoid emitting pollutants during the compliance period, without ceasing its production.

The emissions obligation arises as a result of the entity emitting the specified pollutants in the compliance period.



Cap-and-trade ETS—emissions obligation

Remittance of allowances equal to emissions

In the 'basic case', ie no allocated allowances are received and held as an 'economic hedge', when do you recognise a liability for the emissions obligation and how is it measured?

Recognise as emissions are made through the period?

In other cases, including baseline-and-credit ETS, when do you recognise a liability for the emissions obligation and how is it measured?

Measure the liability using current value?



Cap-and-trade vs Baseline-and-credit type of ETS

	Cap & trade	Baseline & credit
Overall cap (emissions target)	Total units of emissions (eg tonnes of CO ₂) that may be released by all emitters within the commitment period	
Implementation of overall cap	Allocation or sale of allowances to individual emitters, up to the overall cap	Baselines are assigned to individual emitters, up to the overall cap Credits (allowances) issued only if emissions are below the baseline at end of the year
Trading mechanism	Allowances are tradable	Credits (allowances) are tradable, baseline is not
Allowances to be remitted	Allowances covering total emissions	Credits (allowances) covering emissions in <i>excess</i> of baseline



Baseline-and-credit ETS—nature of the liability

Emissions obligation:

- Entity has an obligation to remit allowances equivalent to the volume of pollutants emitted in the period that are in excess of the baseline
- Like in the cap-and-trade ETS, some participants in the scheme are going to have no practical ability to avoid emitting pollutants in excess of the baseline during the compliance period, without changing production processes or significantly curtailing production.
- The emissions obligation arises as a result of the entity emitting the specified pollutants in the compliance period.



Baseline-and-credit ETS—emissions obligation

Remittance
of allowances
equal to
emissions in
excess of the
baseline

When do you recognise
a liability for the
emissions obligation
and how is it
measured?

Recognise
throughout the
period, based
on expected
excess
emissions?

How is the emissions obligation measured?

Recognise only after the baseline is exceeded, based on actual emissions?



Baseline-and-credit ETS—example

Compliance year ended 31 December 20X0

Baseline for the year is set at 120 units of pollutant emissions.

Entity A expects to (and does) emit 144 units, spread evenly through the year.

The market price of credits (allowances) is CU 10 per unit throughout the year.

Total cost to Entity A is CU 240—the 24 excess emissions units costing CU 10 per unit.

When is the emissions obligation and the cost of the excess recognised?



Months 1-10=no accounting entries
Months 11-12=
Dr Expense CU 120
Cr Emissions liability CU 120

Total expense=CU240

Months 1-12=
Dr Expense CU 20
Cr Emissions liability CU 20

Total expense=CU 240



Conceptual Framework Elements—Assets

Proposed definitions

Assets

Present economic resources controlled by the entity as a result of past events

Liabilities

Present obligations of the entity to transfer an economic resource as a result of past events

Equity = Assets - Liabilities

- An economic resource is a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits.
- The allowances in a cap-and-trade ETS are tradeable instruments that:
 - have market value
 - can be sold or used to settle the remittance obligation
 - are allocated for nil consideration or are purchased



Cap-and-trade ETS—asset recognition

Purchased Allowances

Should allowances be recognised as assets:

- intangible?
- inventory?
- financial?
- 'other'?

Allocated Allowances*

How do you measure the assets recognised?

Any difference between purchased vs allocated?

*received from the government/ scheme administrator for nil consideration



Cap-and-trade ETS—measuring the assets

Alternative 1: Measure the allowances initially and

subsequently at fair value

Alternative 2: Measure the allowances initially at fair

value or cost, no remeasurement

Alternative 3: Measure the assets based upon their *'intended use'*

a) held for use: measure assets initially at fair value

or cost, no remeasurement

b) trading: measure assets initially and subsequently at fair value



Cap-and-trade ETS—nature of the liability (2)

 A participant in the scheme may receive allowances from the government for nil consideration.

What is the nature of the allocation: a grant? or loan? or something else?

If the allocated allowances are recognised as assets and measured initially at fair value, what is the credit entry?

'Day 1 gain'?

Is the expected return a present obligation?

'Day 1 liability'?

If so, is it a 'loan' or is it a type of 'performance obligation' that is 'replaced' by the remittance obligation as the entity emits?



Cap-and-trade ETS—example: day 1

Compliance year ended 31 December 20X0

Entity A receives 120 allowances for nil consideration on 1 January 20X0.

Entity A expects to (and does) emit 144 units, spread evenly through the year.

The market price of allowances is CU 10 per unit throughout the year.

Total cost to Entity A is CU 240—24 excess emissions units costing CU 10 per unit.

If the allowances are recognised and measured initially at fair value, what is the credit entry?

or

Dr Allowances asset CU 1,200 Cr 'Day 1' liability CU 1,200



'Loan'? Replaced by accruing emissions liability?

Cr 'Day 1' gain CU 1,200





P&L?

OCI?



What effect in P&L account?



Cap-and-trade ETS—gain/ expense recognition

When the expected emissions exceed the allowances held, when do you recognise an expense for the expected excess?

When the allowances held exceed the expected emissions, when do you recognise a gain for the expected surplus?

Recognise only after emissions exceed the allowances held?

Recognise
throughout the
period, based on
expected
emissions?



Cap-and-trade ETS—example

Compliance year ended 31 December 20X0

Entity A receives 120 allowances for nil consideration on 1 January 20X0.

Entity A expects to (and does) emit 144 units, spread evenly through the year.

The market price of allowances is CU 10 per unit throughout the year.

Total cost to Entity A is CU 240—the 24 excess emissions units costing CU 10 per unit.

When is the emissions obligation and the cost of the excess recognised?



Months 1-10=no accounting entries
Months 11-12=
Dr Expense CU 120
Cr Emissions liability CU 120

Total expense=CU240

Months 1-12=
Dr Expense CU 20
Cr Emissions liability CU 20

Total expense=CU 240

Does the Day 1 balance remain at CU 1,200 or reduce over 10 or 12 months?



Cap-and-trade (and Baseline-and-credit) ETS—measuring the emissions liability

Alternative 1:

Measure the liability at current value, ie the market price of the number of allowances needed to settle the obligation

Alternative 2:

Measure the liability at the carrying amount of allowances on hand (cost or fair value), with any quantity in excess of allowances held being measured at current value



Comments or questions

