

STAFF PAPER

October 2015

REG IASB Meeting

Project	Insurance Contracts		
Paper topic	Cover Note		
CONTACT(S)	Andrea Pryde	apryde@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6957
	Samuel Prestidge	prestidge@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7462 6428

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the IASB and does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual member of the IASB. Comments on the application of IFRSs do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRSs. Technical decisions are made in public and reported in IASB *Update*.

Introduction

1. This cover note:
 - (a) introduces the papers for this meeting (in paragraphs 2-3);
 - (b) sets out the next steps of the project (in paragraph 4); and
 - (c) presents a summary of tentative decisions made in the redeliberations phase in 2014 and 2015 (in Appendix A).

Papers for this meeting

2. The papers for this meeting discuss issues relating to:
 - (a) transition to the new insurance contracts Standard, as follows:
 - (i) Agenda Paper 2A *Classification and measurement of financial assets on transition to the new insurance contracts Standard* discusses classification and measurement of financial assets on transition to the new insurance contracts Standard for entities that initially apply IFRS 9 before they initially apply the new insurance contracts Standard.
 - (ii) Agenda Paper 2B *Restatement of comparative information on initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard* summarises the requirements for restatement of comparative information on initial application of IFRS 9

and the IASB's decisions to date on that topic in the new insurance contracts Standard. This paper also considers the consequences of the general requirement for restatement of comparative information about insurance contracts on initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard and the interaction with the relief from restating comparative information for financial assets in IFRS 9.

- (b) the mirroring approach proposed in the 2013 ED. Agenda Paper 2C *should the new insurance contracts Standard retain the mirroring approach?* considers if the mirroring approach to the measurement and presentation of contracts that meet specified criteria, which was proposed in the 2013 Exposure Draft *Insurance Contracts* (the '2013 ED'), should be retained in the new insurance contracts Standard.
- (c) presentation and disclosures. Agenda Paper 2D *Presentation and disclosures for insurance contracts* considers presentation and disclosures requirements for insurance contracts, in the light of developments since publishing the 2013 ED. Agenda Paper 2D also considers disclosures overall in response to the feedback received from preparers and users of financial statements.

3. The staff note that the remaining issues on the forthcoming amendments to IFRS 4 are discussed in the Agenda paper 14 series.

Next steps

4. The staff expect the IASB to consider the remaining technical decisions on Insurance Contracts in 2015, with a view to issuing the new Standard on Insurance Contracts in 2016. In particular, the staff plan to consider at a future meeting the differences between the IASB's general model and the variable fee approach. The staff do not expect to consider the mandatory effective date of the new insurance contracts Standard until after the IASB has otherwise concluded its deliberations.

Appendix A: Tentative decisions to date

A1. The following table presents a summary of tentative decisions made in the redeliberations phase in 2014 and 2015:

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>1</p> <p><i>Targeted issue: Unlocking the contractual service margin</i></p> <p>(a) Differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of expected cash flows and the risk adjustment related to future coverage and other future services should be added to, or deducted from, the contractual service margin, subject to the condition that the contractual service margin should not be negative.</p> <p>(b) Differences between the current and previous estimates of the present value of cash flows and the risk adjustment that do not relate to future coverage and other future services should be recognised immediately in profit or loss.</p> <p>(c) Favourable changes in estimates that arise after losses were previously recognised in profit or loss should be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that they reverse losses that related to coverage and other services to be provided in the future.</p> <p>(d) An entity should use the locked-in rate at inception of the contract for accreting interest and for determining the change in the present value of expected cash flows that offsets the contractual service margin.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • recognise all changes in estimates of risk adjustment immediately in profit or loss. • rebuild the contractual service margin from zero without first reversing previously recognised losses in profit or loss.

	Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
2	<p>Targeted issue: Presentation of interest expense in the Statement of Comprehensive Income</p> <p>(a) An entity should choose to present the effect of changes in market variables in profit or loss or in other comprehensive income as its accounting policy and should apply that accounting policy to all contracts within a portfolio. An entity should present changes in estimates of the amount of cash flows that result from changes in market variables in the same location in the statement of comprehensive income consistently with the changes in market variables.</p> <p>(b) The same accounting policy should be applied to all similar insurance contracts. An entity should apply the requirements in IAS 8 <i>Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors</i> to changes in accounting policy relating to the presentation of the effect of changes in market variables.</p> <p>(if an entity chooses to present the effect of changes in market variables in OCI)</p> <p>(c) The objective of disaggregating changes in the insurance contract arising from changes in market variables between profit or loss and OCI is generally to present an insurance investment expense in profit or loss using a cost measurement basis. Detailed mechanics for the determination of the insurance investment expense using a cost measurement basis would not be specified. The mechanics should result in an allocation of the yield over the life of the contract on a systematic basis.</p> <p>(d) For contracts in which economic mismatches with the items held do not exist, the objective of disaggregating changes in the insurance contract arising from changes</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft proposed that the effect of changes in discount rates should be required to be presented in OCI.</p> <p>The 2013 ED provided more specific requirements for how an entity should disaggregate changes in the insurance contract arising from changes in discount rates between profit or loss and OCI.</p> <p>Agenda paper 2D for this meeting reconsiders the disclosures relating to interest expense.</p>

Tentative decisions		Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>in market variables between profit or loss and OCI is to eliminate accounting mismatches in profit or loss between the insurance investment expense and the underlying items held that are measured using a cost measurement basis in profit or loss (ie the current period book yield approach). Economic mismatches do not exist when the contract is a direct participation contract and the entity holds the underlying items.</p> <p>(e) If the entity chooses to present the effect of changes in market variables in other comprehensive income, the entity should recognise in other comprehensive income, the differences between the insurance investment expense in profit or loss using a cost measurement basis and the insurance investment expense using a current measurement basis.</p> <p>(Disclosure for non-participating contracts)</p> <p>(f) An entity should disclose:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) an analysis of total interest expense included in total comprehensive income disaggregated at a minimum to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. interest accretion at the discount rate that applied at initial recognition of insurance contracts reported in profit or loss for the period; and 2. the movement in other comprehensive income for the period. (ii) a disaggregation of total interest expense included in total 		

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>comprehensive income to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the amount of interest accretion determined using current discount rates; 2. the effect on the measurement of the insurance contract of changes in discount rates in the period; and 3. the difference between the present value of changes in expected cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin in a reporting period when measured using discount rates that applied on initial recognition of insurance contracts, and the present value of changes in expected cash flows that adjust the contractual service margin when measured at current rates. 	

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>3</p> <p><i>Targeted issue: Insurance contracts revenue</i></p> <p>(a) An entity should present insurance contract revenue and expense in the statement of comprehensive income, as proposed in paragraphs 56–59 and B88–B91 of the 2013 Exposure Draft; and</p> <p>(b) An entity should disclose the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a reconciliation that separately reconciles the opening and closing balances of the components of the insurance contract asset or liability (paragraph 76 of the 2013 Exposure Draft); (ii) a reconciliation from the premiums received in the period to the insurance contract revenue in the period (paragraph 79 of the 2013 Exposure Draft); (iii) the inputs used when determining the insurance contract revenue that is recognised in the period (paragraph 81(a) of the 2013 Exposure Draft); and (iv) the effect of the insurance contracts that are initially recognised in the period on the amounts that are recognised in the statement of financial position (paragraph 81(b) of the 2013 Exposure Draft). <p>(c) An entity should be prohibited from presenting premium information in the statement of comprehensive income if that information is not consistent with commonly understood notions of revenue.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft did not explicitly prohibit presenting premium information in the statement of comprehensive income if that information is not consistent with commonly understood notions of revenue.</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>4</p> <p><i>Targeted issue: Transition</i> (for contracts without participation features)</p> <p>(a) an entity should apply the Standard retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 unless impracticable; and</p> <p>(b) if retrospective application of the Standard is impracticable, an entity should apply the simplified approach proposed in paragraphs C5 and C6 of the 2013 Exposure Draft with the following modifications:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(i) instead of estimating the risk adjustment at the date of initial recognition as the risk adjustment at the beginning of the earliest period presented, an entity should estimate the risk adjustment at the date of initial recognition by adjusting the risk adjustment at the beginning of the earliest period presented by the assumed release of the risk before the beginning of the earliest period presented. The assumed release of risk should be determined by reference to release of risk for similar insurance contracts that the entity issues at the beginning of the earliest period presented.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(ii) When an entity applies an effective yield approach, an entity should assume that the earliest market variable assumptions that should be considered for the investment expense are those that occur when the entity first applies the new Standard. Accordingly on the date when the entity first applies the new Standard, the accumulated balance in OCI for the insurance contract is zero.</p> <p>(c) When an entity applies the current period book yield approach, the entity should</p>	<p>The IASB has simplified the practical expedients when retrospective application in accordance with IAS 8 is impracticable.</p> <p>In addition, the IASB added a way for the entity to estimate the contractual service margin on transition when neither retrospective application nor the simplified approach are impracticable.</p> <p>For initial application of the new standard after implementation of IFRS 9, the 2013 Exposure Draft did not allow or require an entity to reassess the business model for financial assets at the date of initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard.</p> <p>Agenda paper 2A for this meeting considers further the transition</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>assume that the insurance investment expense (or income) is equal and opposite in amount to the gain (or loss) presented in profit or loss for the underlying items held by the entity. Accordingly, the entity should assume that the accumulated balance of OCI is determined as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) when the items held are measured at fair value through profit or loss (FVPL), there would be no amounts accumulated in OCI; and (ii) when the items held are measured using a cost basis in profit or loss, the accumulated balance of OCI for the insurance contracts would be the difference between the items held measured at cost and their fair value. <p>(d) if the simplified approach described in paragraph (b) above is impracticable, an entity should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) determine the contractual service margin at the beginning of the earliest period presented as the difference between the fair value of the insurance contract at that date and the fulfilment cash flows measured at that date; and (ii) determine interest expense in profit or loss, and the related amount of other comprehensive income accumulated in equity, by estimating the discount rate at the date of initial recognition using the method in the simplified approach proposed in paragraph C6(c) and (d) the 2013 Exposure Draft. <p>(e) For each period presented for which there are contracts that were measured in accordance with the simplified approach or the fair value approach, an entity should disclose the information proposed in paragraph C8 of the 2013 Exposure Draft (ie</p>	<p>reliefs for initial application of the new standard after implementation of IFRS 9</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>the disclosures for contracts for which retrospective application is impracticable) separately for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) contracts measured using the simplified approach; and (ii) contracts measured using the fair value approach. <p>(On initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard after implementation of IFRS 9 <i>Financial Instruments</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) An entity is permitted to newly designate financial assets under the fair value option as measured at fair value through profit or loss to eliminate (or significantly reduce) an accounting mismatch according to paragraph 4.1.5 of IFRS 9; (b) An entity is required to revoke previous fair value option designations for financial assets if the accounting mismatch that led to the previous designation according to paragraph 4.1.5 of IFRS 9 no longer exists; and (c) An entity is permitted to newly designate an investment in an equity instrument as measured at fair value through other comprehensive income in accordance with paragraph 5.7.5 of IFRS 9 and is permitted to revoke previous designations. (d) To provide further transition relief to permit or require an entity to reassess the business model for financial assets at the date of initial application of the new insurance contracts Standard. This reassessment would be based on the conditions for assessing the business model in paragraphs 4.1.2(a) or 4.1.2A(a) of IFRS 9 and the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of the first application of the new 	

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
insurance contracts Standard.	
<p>5</p> <p><i>Targeted issue: Contracts with participation features</i></p> <p>(Variable fee approach)</p> <p>(a) Modify the general measurement model for accounting for insurance contracts with direct participation features so that changes in the estimate of the fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract are adjusted in the contractual service margin. The fee that the entity expects to earn from the contract is equal to the entity's expected share of the returns on underlying items, less any expected cash flows that do not vary directly with the underlying items.</p> <p>(b) Contracts with direct participation features should be defined as contracts for which:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the contractual terms specify that the policyholder participates in a defined share of a clearly identified pool of underlying items; (ii) the entity expects to pay to the policyholder an amount equal to a substantial share of the returns from the underlying items; and (iii) a substantial proportion of the cash flows that the entity expects to pay to the policyholder should be expected to vary with the cash flows from the underlying items. <p>(Recognition of contractual service margin)</p> <p>(c) For all insurance contracts with participation features, an entity should recognise the contractual service margin in profit or loss on the basis of the passage of time.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft proposed a measurement exception (sometimes referred to as the 'mirroring approach') that would measure part of the fulfilment cash flows on a cost basis, if the underlying items were measured on a cost basis. The variable fee approach would apply to a wider range of contracts than the mirroring approach. The variable fee approach would measure all of the fulfilment cash flows on a current basis.</p> <p>The 2013 Exposure Draft proposed only the principle that an entity should recognise the remaining CSM in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of services that are</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>(Accounting when an entity uses derivatives to mitigate risk)</p> <p>(d) If an entity uses the variable fee approach to measure insurance contracts and uses a derivative measured at FVPL to mitigate the financial market risk from the guarantee embedded in the insurance contract, the entity would be permitted to recognise in profit or loss the changes in the value of the guarantee embedded in an insurance contract, determined using fulfilment cash flows.</p> <p>(e) An entity that mitigates the financial market risk from the guarantee using a derivative should be permitted to recognise in profit or loss the changes in the value of the guarantee embedded in an insurance contract, determined using fulfilment cash flows only if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) that risk mitigation is consistent with the entity’s risk management strategy; (ii) an economic offset exists between the embedded guarantee and the derivative, ie the values or cash flows from the embedded guarantee and the derivative generally move in opposite directions because they respond in a similar way to the changes in the risk being mitigated. An entity should not consider accounting measurement differences in assessing the economic offset. (iii) credit risk does not dominate the economic offset. <p>(f) An entity should be required to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) document, before the entity starts recognising changes in the value of the guarantee in profit or loss, the entity’s risk management objective and the 	<p>provided under the contract.</p> <p>Agenda paper 2C for this meeting considers the mirroring approach.</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p style="text-align: center;">strategy for using the derivative to mitigate the financial market risk embedded in the insurance contract; and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(ii) discontinue recognising in profit or loss changes in the value of the guarantee prospectively from the date on which the economic offset does not exist anymore.</p>	
<p>6</p> <p><i>Non-targeted issue: Level of aggregation and portfolio definition</i></p> <p>(a) Clarify that the objective of the proposed insurance contracts Standard is to provide principles for the measurement of an individual insurance contract, but that in applying the Standard an entity could aggregate insurance contracts provided that it meets that objective.</p> <p>(b) Amend the definition of a portfolio of insurance contracts to be: ‘insurance contracts that provide coverage for similar risks and are managed together as a single pool’.</p> <p>(c) Add guidance to explain that in determining the contractual service margin or loss at initial recognition, an entity should not aggregate onerous contracts with profit-making contracts. An entity should consider the facts and circumstances to determine whether a contract is onerous at initial recognition.</p>	<p>The definition of a portfolio in the 2013 Exposure Draft is modified to eliminate the reference to ‘priced similarly relative to the risk taken on’.</p> <p>The definition of ‘portfolio’ now applies more narrowly than in the 2013 Exposure Draft.</p> <p>Added guidance and clarification</p>
<p>7</p> <p><i>Non-targeted issue: Discount rate for long-term contracts when there is little or no observable market data</i></p> <p>(a) Confirm the principle that the discount rates used to adjust the cash flows in an</p>	<p>Added clarification of how the principle should be applied in determining discount rates for</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
<p>insurance contract for the time value of money should be consistent with observable current market prices for instruments with cash flows whose characteristics are consistent with those of the insurance contract.</p> <p>(b) Provide additional application guidance that, in determining those discount rates, an entity should use judgement to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Ensure that appropriate adjustments are made to observable inputs to accommodate any differences between observed transactions and the insurance contracts being measured. (ii) develop any unobservable inputs using the best information available in the circumstances, while remaining consistent with the objective of reflecting how market participants assess those inputs. Accordingly, any unobservable inputs should not contradict any available and relevant market data. 	<p>insurance contracts.</p>
<p>8</p> <p><i>Non-targeted issue: Asymmetric treatment of contractual service margin between insurance contracts issued and reinsurance contracts held</i></p> <p>(a) After inception, an entity should recognise in profit or loss any changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows for a reinsurance contract that an entity holds when those changes arise as a result of changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows for an underlying direct insurance contract that are recognised immediately in profit or loss.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft proposed that, for a reinsurance contract that an entity holds, all changes in estimates of fulfilment cash flows relating to future service should be recognised and offset to the contractual service margin.</p>

	Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
9	<p><i>Non-targeted issue: Allocation of the contractual service margin to profit or loss (for contracts without participation features)</i></p> <p>(a) Confirm the principle in the 2013 Exposure Draft that an entity should recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services that are provided under an insurance contract.</p> <p>(b) Clarify that, for contracts without participation features, the service represented by the contractual service margin is insurance coverage that is provided on the basis of the passage of time.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft stated only that an entity should recognise the remaining contractual service margin in profit or loss over the coverage period in the systematic way that best reflects the remaining transfer of the services that are provided under an insurance contract.</p>
10	<p><i>Non-targeted issue: Significant insurance risk</i></p> <p>(a) Clarify the guidance in paragraph B19 of the 2013 Exposure Draft that significant insurance risk only occurs when there is a possibility that an issuer will incur a loss on a present value basis.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft referred more specifically to the need for a scenario with commercial substance in which the present value of the net cash outflows can exceed the present value of the premiums.</p>
11	<p><i>Non-targeted issue: Portfolio transfers and business combinations</i></p> <p>(a) Clarify the requirements for the contracts acquired through a portfolio transfer or a business combination in paragraphs 43-45 of the 2013 Exposure Draft, that such contracts should be accounted for as if they had been issued by the entity at the date</p>	<p>Clarification of requirements in the 2013 Exposure Draft to avoid difference in interpretation.</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft
	of the portfolio transfer or business combination.
<p>12</p> <p><i>Non-targeted issue: Fixed-fee service contracts</i></p> <p>(a) Entities should be permitted, but not required, to apply the revenue recognition Standard to the fixed-fee service contracts that meet the criteria stated in paragraph 7(e) of the 2013 Exposure Draft.</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft excluded all fixed-fee service contracts from its scope.</p>
<p>13</p> <p><i>Non-targeted issue: Premium-allocation approach</i></p> <p>(a) Clarify that when an entity applies the premium-allocation approach to account for an insurance contract, it should recognise insurance contract revenue in profit or loss:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(i) on the basis of the passage of time; but</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(ii) if the expected pattern of release of risk differs significantly from the passage of time, then on the basis of expected timing of incurred claims and benefits.</p> <p>(b) When an entity applies the premium-allocation approach to contracts for which the entity:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(i) discounts the liability for incurred claims; and</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">(ii) chooses to present the effect of changes in discount rates in OCI;</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">the interest expense in profit or loss for the liability for incurred claims should be determined using the discount rate that is locked in at the date the liability for incurred claims is recognised. This tentative decision also applies to the</p>	<p>The 2013 Exposure Draft required that an entity should allocate the expected premium receipts as insurance contract revenue to each accounting period in the systematic way that best reflects the transfer of services that are provided under the contract.</p> <p>The 2013 Exposure Draft required that interest expense on insurance liabilities should be determined using the discount rates that applied at the date that the contract was initially recognised.</p>

Tentative decisions	Change from 2013 Exposure Draft	
	presentation of interest expense for any onerous contract liability that is recognised when the entity applies the premium-allocation approach.	
14	<p><i>Non-targeted Issues that will not be addressed</i></p> <p>(a) In April 2014 the IASB tentatively decided not to consider in future meetings other non-targeted issues, including those relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Disclosures (other than those discussed in this meeting); (ii) combination of insurance contracts; (iii) contract boundary for specific contracts; (iv) unbundling—lapse together criteria; (v) treatment of ceding commissions; (vi) discount rate—top-down and bottom-up approaches; (vii) tax included in the measurement; and (viii) combining the contractual service margin with other comprehensive income. 	None