

# AGENDA PAPER

September 2015

Agenda ref 3A

---

PRESENTER Hugh Shields

AUTHOR Michelle Sansom

---

## Technical Activities Update

---

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the IFRS Advisory Council. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors. Comments made in relation to the application of an IFRS do not purport to be acceptable or unacceptable application of that IFRS.

---

### Purpose

1. This report provides an overview of the International Accounting Standards Board in the period June to September 2015. This report is primarily for information, but any views or comments are welcome.

### Structure of this report

2. This paper is structured as follows:
  - (a) The key issues relating to technical activities are summarised in paragraphs 5 to 9.
  - (b) The full Technical Activities report is set out in Appendices, as follows:
    - (i) Appendix A—Major Standards;
    - (ii) Appendix B—Implementation activities; and
    - (iii) Appendix C—Research activities.
  - (c) Details of due process documents published or issued in the period are set out in paragraphs 3 and 4.

### Documents issued

3. In the period June–September 2015 the IASB has published:
  - (a) a Request for Views: *2015 Agenda Consultation*;
  - (b) the following Exposure Drafts:
    - (i) *Clarifications to IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers*;
    - (ii) *Effective Date of Amendments to IFRS 10 and IAS 28*; and
    - (iii) *Remeasurement on a Plan Amendment, Curtailment or Settlement/Availability of a Refund from a Defined Benefit Plan* (Proposed amendments to IAS 19 and IFRIC 14).
  - (c) a proposed IFRS Taxonomy Update: *IFRS Taxonomy 2015—Common Practice (information technology, media, chemicals and utilities)*.

4. The IASB has also issued an amendment to IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, which confirms a one-year deferral of the effective date of the Standard.

### Technical Activities—Key Issues

#### ***Different effective dates of IFRS 9 Financial Instruments and the new Insurance Contracts Standard***

5. In the period June to September 2015 the IASB has continued to consider the possible accounting consequences of the application of IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* prior to the application of the new insurance contracts Standard.
6. In September the IASB voted to defer the effective date of IFRS 9 for specified entities that issue contracts within the scope of IFRS 4 until the new insurance contracts Standard is applied.

#### ***2015 Agenda Consultation***

7. The IASB issued its Request for Views *2015 Agenda Consultation* on 11 August 2015. It is open for comment until 31 December 2015. This timing aligns with the Trustees' *Structure and Effectiveness Review*, which was issued in July and is open for comment until 30 November 2015.
8. We will discuss the Agenda Consultation at a breakout session during this meeting.

#### ***Extending the comment period for the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting Exposure Draft***

9. In May 2015 the IASB published an Exposure Draft (ED) proposing a revised *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*. In October 2014, the IASB decided to set a 150-day comment period for the ED. The IASB set a comment period in excess of the normal minimum period for an ED (120 days), because of the length and complexity of the *Conceptual Framework* ED and the fundamental nature of the *Conceptual Framework*. The IASB decided not to set a longer comment period than 150 days, noting that the *Conceptual Framework* ED had been preceded by a Discussion Paper. Hence, stakeholders should be familiar with the issues addressed.
10. However, since publishing the *Conceptual Framework* ED, the IASB has been encouraged by stakeholders from a number of jurisdictions to extend the comment period by up to two months. Stakeholders note the fundamental the importance of the *Conceptual Framework* for the IASB and its future decisions on Standard and the need for time to develop considered responses to the ED.
11. In response to these requests, the IASB decided, at its September 2015 meeting, to extend the comment period for the ED by 30 days. The comment period for the ED now ends on 25 November 2015.

## Appendix A - Major projects

### Insurance Contracts

Last due process document	Revised ED published in June 2013 with a comment period of 120 days.  <i>In March 2015 the IASB published on its website an overview of the IASB's tentative decisions on the general model that would apply to insurance contracts without participation features, and the IASB's reasons for reaching those decisions.<sup>1</sup></i>
Current status	The IASB is redeliberating its proposals set out in the ED since January 2014.
Timing	The IASB to complete its redeliberations in Q4 of 2015 and issue a Standard in 2016.

- A1. The IASB received 194 comment letters and undertook extensive outreach and detailed field-testing of the operationality of the proposals set out in the June 2013 ED. The feedback received suggested broad support for the principle of current value measurement of insurance contracts. However, there were also significant areas of disagreement, in particular about performance reporting and concerns about excessive complexity.
- A2. During redeliberations, the IASB has maintained extensive dialogue with all interested parties and continues to consult its advisory bodies
- A3. As previously reported, the IASB has largely concluded its tentative decisions relating to non-participating contracts. The IASB also made tentative decisions on some specific issues that were highlighted in the feedback to the June 2013 ED but that were not targeted for input. The direction that the IASB took in all these decisions was largely consistent with the feedback that it had heard on the June 2013 ED.
- A4. After extensive consideration, the IASB is now reaching tentative conclusions on the accounting model for contracts with participating features. This was one of the most difficult and contentious aspects on which the IASB sought feedback, in particular, the treatment of the insurer's interest in the investment portfolio underlying the participating contracts. The IASB has considered feedback on the proposals in the 2013 ED and tentatively decided to refine those proposals for some contracts with participating features that addresses their core concerns. The IASB is now considering follow-up consequences of that tentative decision.
- A5. The staff is planning to finalise the redeliberations by the end of 2015.

<sup>1</sup> Access the document [Insurance Contracts without Participation Features](#).

**Leases**

Last due process document	Revised ED published in May 2013.
Current status	The IASB's redeliberations were completed in March 2015 (subject to discussion about effective date and any sweep issues arising during drafting).
Timing	Standard currently expected to be issued in Q4 of 2015.

**The Conceptual Framework**

Last due process document	ED published in May 2015 with a comment period of [150] days.
Current status	The IASB's redeliberations of the DP were completed in October 2014.
Timing	Not yet determined.

- A6. The *Conceptual Framework* sets out the concepts that underlie the preparation and presentation of financial statements. It is not a Standard and does not override any specific Standard. However, it identifies principles for the IASB to use when it develops and revises its Standards. It is also used by preparers to develop and select accounting policies and by all parties to understand and interpret the Standards.
- A7. The IASB published a Discussion Paper (DP) in July 2013. It has now completed its redeliberations of that DP and published the ED *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* in May 2015.
- A8. As noted in paragraph 9, the IASB decided at its September 2015 meeting to extend the comment period for the ED by 30 days.
- A9. During the comment period on the *Conceptual Framework* ED we are conducting outreach meetings to raise awareness of and obtain feedback on our proposals. We have held meetings with a range of stakeholders including standard-setters, regulators, users of financial statements, preparers, academics and auditors.

**Accounting for Dynamic Risk Management: a Portfolio Revaluation Approach to Macro Hedging**

Last due process document	DP published in April 2014 with a comment period of 180 days.
Current status	The IASB is redeliberating proposals.
Timing	Not yet determined.

- A10. The objective of this project is to develop an approach to better reflect entities' dynamic risk management activities in their financial statements and to enhance the usefulness of the financial information to help users of financial statements to better understand such activities. Operational feasibility has also been one of the considerations that has been evaluated when exploring an accounting approach for dynamic risk management.
- A11. The IASB received 126 comment letters in response to the DP. A summary of the comment letters and other feedback (including from users) received is available on the IASB website.
- A12. Although we have received support from constituents for addressing the issue of accounting for dynamic risk management, there is significant divergence in views between users and preparers in general on the merits of the new approach. There is also a difference in views on what should be the overall objective of the project.
- A13. The IASB commenced discussions about the next steps in the project at its meeting in May 2015. It decided to consider if the information needs of constituents concerning dynamic risk management activities can be addressed through disclosures before considering the areas that need to be addressed through recognition and measurement.
- A14. The IASB also decided to prioritise the consideration of interest rate risk and consider other risks at a later stage in the project.
- A15. The IASB has decided that the project should remain in the research programme with the aim of publishing a second DP.

**Rate-regulated Activities**

Last due process document	DP published in September 2014 with a comment period of 120 days.
Current status	The IASB is redeliberating proposals.
Timing	Not yet determined.

- A16. The IASB received 118 comment letters in response to the DP. The staff provided a preliminary analysis of the comments to the IASB at its meeting in February 2015. Responses to the DP suggested that:

- (a) a type of rate regulation that contains elements of both cost recovery and incentive approaches, termed ‘defined rate regulation’ in the DP, creates distinguishable financial effects.
- (b) the financial effects of defined rate regulation may not be faithfully represented by the existing predominant IFRS practice.
- (c) there is strong support for recognising at least some regulatory deferral account balances in IFRS financial statements. A common suggestion made is to explore an approach based on the principles in IFRS 15, focusing on the entity’s rights and obligations relating to its customers as a whole (the customer base), instead of on individual customer contracts.

A17. A summary of the comment letters and other feedback (including from users) is available on the project page on the IASB website.

A18. The IASB commenced discussions about the next steps in the project at its meeting in May 2015. The IASB decided that the development of a second DP would be the fastest way to progress the project.

### **Disclosure Initiative**

A19. The Disclosure Initiative is a portfolio of projects being undertaken with the aim of improving the effectiveness of disclosures in financial reporting. The work is informed by a discussion forum, which was held in January 2013, and by a related survey on financial reporting disclosure. A Feedback Statement on these events was published in May 2013.

A20. The Disclosure Initiative includes the following research projects:

- (a) Principles of Disclosure—review of IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* and IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*; and
- (b) Standards-level review of disclosures.

A21. The Disclosure Initiative also includes the following implementation projects:

- (a) narrow-scope amendments to IAS 1 (completed);
- (b) narrow-scope amendments to IAS 7 *Statement of Cash Flows* (Exposure Draft issued); and
- (c) narrow-scope amendments to IAS 8 (Exposure Draft to be issued).

A22. In addition to these implementation projects, the IASB has tentatively decided to publish an ED of a Practice Statement on the application of materiality.

A23. In the period June–September 2015 the IASB has focused on completing the narrow-scope amendments to IAS 7 and the drafting on the Principles of Disclosure DP.

### **Principles of Disclosure—review of IAS 1 and IAS 8**

Last due process document	No due process documents issued.
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Current status	The IASB is developing a DP.
Timing	DP to be published in Q1 of 2016.

A24. This project is the cornerstone of the Disclosure Initiative. Its objective is to improve disclosures in financial statements by identifying and developing a set of principles for disclosure in IFRS. The project's aim is to set the basis for replacing the disclosure requirements in IAS 1 and IAS 8. The project may also affect the review of disclosure requirements and guidance in other Standards.

***Standards-level review of disclosures***

A25. This research project responds to concerns that the way in which disclosure requirements in Standards are written contributes to the 'disclosure problem'. The disclosure problem is described in more detail in the Feedback Statement on the [\*Discussion Forum – Financial Reporting Disclosure\*](#).

A26. To address these concerns, the IASB is planning to develop a set of principles in the form of a drafting guide for the IASB's internal use when developing disclosure requirements in new or amended Standards. The IASB then plans to use these principles to review disclosures in existing Standards to identify targeted improvements with particular focus on duplication and inconsistency of requirements.

***Amendments to IAS 7***

A27. The IASB has published an ED of narrow-focused amendments to IAS 7. The ED aims to respond to investors' requests for better information about changes in an entity's debt, including non-cash movements. It also sought to improve the disclosures about liquidity, including restrictions on cash and cash equivalents.

A28. At its meeting in September 2015 the IASB tentatively decided to proceed with the proposed amendment to improve disclosures about changes in an entity's debt. The IASB will continue its work on improving liquidity disclosures.

***Amendments to IAS 8***

A29. At its meeting in May 2015 the IASB discussed how to clarify the existing distinction between a change in an accounting policy and a change in an accounting estimate. At that meeting, the IASB tentatively decided to develop proposals clarifying the distinction as a separate project within the Disclosure Initiative. These proposals are expected to result in narrow-scope amendments to IAS 8 and an ED is now planned for Q1 of 2016.

***Materiality***

A30. The IASB has conducted outreach with national and regional standard-setters regarding local guidance and practice on the application of materiality.

A31. The IASB is aware of the sensitivity of this topic because, in some jurisdictions, materiality is considered to be the responsibility of the securities regulator and the courts. The staff have been liaising with securities regulators for that reason and are confident of developing helpful, non-mandatory guidance that is globally accepted.

A32. The IASB plans to publish the ED in Q4 of 2015 with a comment period of 120 days.





## Appendix B – Implementation Activities Interpretations Committee Activities

- B1. The Interpretations Committee met in July and September 2015. Activities in the period include:
- (a) a tentative agenda decision published for comment in March 2015 was finalised at the July 2015 meeting: IFRIC 14 *IAS 19—The Limit on a Defined Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding Requirements and their Interaction*: Should an entity assume continuation of a minimum funding requirement for contributions relating to future service?
  - (b) 13 submissions were considered during the two meetings:
    - (i) six of the submissions discussed led to the publication of nine tentative agenda decisions, which were published for comment for 60 days (see Table 1); and
    - (ii) seven of these tentative agenda decisions include the Interpretations Committee’s technical conclusions on the issues submitted, thereby providing support to those affected by these particular issues.
  - (c) three potential annual improvements were also discussed.

**Table 1—Tentative agenda decisions published for comment**

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IAS 2 <i>Inventories</i> and IAS 38 <i>Intangible Assets</i>—Should interest be accreted on prepayments in long-term supply contracts?</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IAS 12 <i>Income Taxes</i>—Recognition through profit or loss of deferred taxes for temporary differences arising from the effect of exchange rate changes on the tax basis of non-current assets</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 5 <i>Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</i>—to what extent can an impairment loss be allocated to non-current assets within a disposal group?</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 5 <i>Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</i>—how to present intragroup transactions between continuing and discontinued operation</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 5 <i>Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations</i>—various IFRS 5-related issues</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 9 <i>Financial Instruments</i>—Transition for hedge accounting</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 11 <i>Joint Arrangements</i>—Remeasurement of previously held interests: various transactions</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IAS 32 <i>Financial Instruments: Presentation</i>—Classification of the liability for a prepaid card in the issuer’s financial statements</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IAS 39 <i>Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement</i>—Separation of an embedded interest rate floor from a floating rate host contract in a negative interest rate environment</li> </ul>

## Implementation Projects

### *Annual Improvements to IFRS 2014–2016 Cycle*

- B2. The IASB has adopted the Annual Improvements process to deal efficiently with a collection of narrow-scope amendments to IFRS, even though the amendments are unrelated.
- B3. The IASB expects to publish an ED in Q4 2015.

### *Clarifications arising from the Post-implementation Review (Proposed amendments to IFRS 8 Operating Segments)*

- B4. The IASB discussed proposals to make clarifications to IFRS 8 with respect to issues identified by the Post-implementation Review.
- B5. The IASB has asked the staff to prepare proposals for a narrow-scope amendment to IFRS 8 for future public consultation.

### *Clarification of Classifications of Share-based Payment Transactions (Proposed amendments to IFRS 2 Share-based Payment)*

- B6. The IASB published an ED in November 2014 proposing three amendments to IFRS 2:
- (a) measurement of cash-settled share-based payment transactions that include a performance condition;
  - (b) modification of a share-based payment from cash-settled to equity-settled; and
  - (c) share-based payments settled net of tax withholdings.
- B7. The ED closed for comment on 25 March 2015. The IASB's redeliberations are ongoing.

### *Clarifications to IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers*

- B8. The IASB published an ED in July 2015 proposing clarifications to IFRS 15. The clarifications result from the IASB's consideration of issues discussed by the Revenue Transition Resource Group.
- B9. The ED is open for comment until 28 October 2015.

### *Classification of Liabilities (Proposed amendments to IAS 1)*

- B10. The IASB published an ED in February 2015 with the aim of clarifying when the rights to defer settlement affect the classification of liabilities.
- B11. The ED closed for comment period on 10 June 2015.

### *Effective Date of Amendments to IFRS 10 and IAS 28*

- B12. The IASB published an ED on 10 August 2015 consulting on postponing the effective date for amendments made in 2014, pending further research on the equity method of accounting.
- B13. An ED is open for comment until 9 October 2015.

***Fair Value Measurement: Unit of Account (Amendments to IFRS 10, IFRS 12, IAS 27, IAS 28 and IAS 36)***

- B14. The IASB published an ED to clarify the unit of account for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates and their corresponding fair value measurement when those investments are quoted. The ED closed for comment on 16 January 2015.
- B15. The ED also included a proposed illustrative example to IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement* to illustrate the application of the portfolio exception of that Standard.
- B16. At its meeting in April 2015 the IASB tentatively decided that the proposed illustrative example to IFRS 13 in the ED appropriately illustrates the application of the portfolio exception of that Standard. In addition, the IASB decided that a separate publication of the proposed illustrative example in IFRS 13 was not required, because it is non-authoritative in nature and the comments received did not reveal significant diversity in practice.
- B17. At its meeting in July 2015 the IASB decided that further research should be undertaken on the proposals set out in the ED.

***Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets for Unrealised Losses (Amendments to IAS 12)***

- B18. The IASB published an ED in August 2014 with the aim of clarifying the accounting for deferred tax assets for unrealised losses on debt instruments measured at fair value.
- B19. The IASB expects to issue the final amendments to IAS 12 in in Q1 2016.

***Remeasurement on a plan amendment, curtailment or settlement/Availability of a refund from a defined benefit plan (Proposed amendments to IAS 19 and IFRIC 14)***

- B20. The IASB published an ED in June 2015 to clarify:
- (a) the availability of a refund of a surplus from a defined benefit plan when an independent trustee has a unilateral power; and
  - (b) the remeasurement on a plan amendment, curtailment or settlement.
- B21. The ED is open for comment until 19 October 2015.

***Transfer of Investment Property (Proposed amendments to IAS 40)***

- B22. The IASB has decided to publish a narrow-scope amendment to bring the guidance on transfers of investment property more in line with the principle for the classification of investment property.
- B23. The IASB expects to publish an ED in Q4 of 2015.

***Uncertainty in Income Tax***

- B24. To objective of this Interpretations is to provide guidance for the recognition and measurement of current tax, deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets when there is uncertainty in income taxes.
- B25. The IASB expects that the draft Interpretation will be published in Q4 of 2015.

***Foreign Currency Transactions and Advance Consideration***

- B26. To objective of this Interpretation to provide guidance that assists in identifying the date of the transaction for revenue transactions denominated in a foreign currency, as an

interpretation of paragraph 22 of IAS 21 *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*.

B27. The IASB expects that the draft Interpretation will be published in Q4 of 2015.

## Appendix C - Research activities

### Assessment-phase projects

*Assessment-stage research projects are undertaken to identify and assess practical application issues in order to understand whether there is a financial reporting problem and to consider what further action, if any, is needed.*

*Once the assessment stage is complete, the project will typically move into the development stage, be suspended or be removed from the research programme.*

- C1. The IASB added the projects on the **Definition of a Business** and **Goodwill and Impairment** to the research programme in February 2015. The problem-identification-assessment work for these projects was completed in the Post-implementation Review (PIR) of IFRS 3 *Business Combinations*. The IASB has discussed these projects in September 2015 with the FASB, because the FASB is considering similar issues and because IFRS 3 and the corresponding US requirements are converged.

#### *Definition of a business*

- C2. The accounting treatment for a business combination differs from the accounting treatment for an asset acquisition. The PIR of IFRS 3 identified some challenges in determining whether a transaction is a business combination or an asset acquisition. This research project will consider whether the challenges can be dealt with by clarifying the existing definition of a business or by eliminating the differences between the two accounting treatments.

#### *Discount rates*

- C3. Different discount rates are used in different Standards. The IASB staff assessed why those differences exist and their effects. The IASB has begun considering the results of the research in September 2015.

#### *Goodwill and impairment*

- C4. This research project will consider three specific issues identified in the PIR of IFRS 3:
- (a) whether changes should be made to the existing impairment test for goodwill and other non-current, non-financial assets;
  - (b) the extent to which other intangible assets should be separated from goodwill; and
  - (c) whether goodwill should be amortised.

#### *Income taxes*

- C5. The IASB has received feedback that questions the decision-usefulness of some of the information provided by IAS 12 *Income Taxes*. The IASB and the Interpretations Committee have also received many questions about the application of IAS 12.
- C6. The IASB staff are currently analysing the results of recent surveys of users of financial statements and other stakeholders. This analysis should help the IASB to assess whether it should embark on a fundamental review of IAS 12, whether it should consider making targeted improvements to the existing requirements or whether it should take no further action. The IASB expects to consider the findings of the research late in 2015.

***Pollutant pricing mechanisms (formerly emissions trading schemes)***

- C7. There is significant diversity in how pollutant pricing mechanisms, including emissions trading schemes, are accounted for in practice. The assessment stage is nearing completion. The IASB expects to publish a DP in 2016.

***Post-employment benefits (including pensions)***

- C8. Previous work has established that existing requirements in IAS 19 *Employee Benefits* do not work well for some schemes that have some features of defined contribution schemes and some features of defined benefit schemes. This project is assessing whether a solution can be developed for these hybrid schemes without reconsidering the current accounting for defined benefit and defined contribution schemes. If not, a more fundamental reconsideration may be needed. The IASB expects to consider the next steps for the project late in 2015.

***Primary financial statements (formerly performance reporting)***

- C9. In June 2015, the IASB changed the title of the project to ‘Primary Financial Statements’ and tentatively decided that the project should examine the purpose, structure and content of the primary financial statements, including the relationship between the individual statements.
- C10. As part of the research project on the principles of disclosure, the IASB tentatively decided in March 2015 that primary financial statements are the statements of financial position, profit or loss and other comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows. Issues about performance reporting will be included among the issues being considered in this project.
- C11. The IASB staff are starting to develop a plan for how to progress the project. The initial approach will involve:
- (a) reviewing concepts explored in the old Financial Statement Presentation project, to assess which of them merit further investigation;
  - (b) monitoring work currently being done by the FASB in this area;
  - (c) gathering some background information on how entities using IFRS report on their financial performance;
  - (d) looking at some research work being done on cash flow reporting by the UK Financial Reporting Council; and
  - (e) performing informal outreach to identify the gaps and deficiencies in existing requirements and practices.

- C12. Those steps are likely to take several months.

***Provisions, contingent liabilities and contingent assets***

- C13. Concerns have been raised about aspects of IAS 37 *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets*, in particular:
- (a) the requirements for identifying liabilities (and especially how those requirements have been applied for levies and for restructuring costs); and
  - (b) diversity in the application of the measurement requirements.
- C14. The IASB staff have presented their research findings to members of the ASAF and the IASB. The members in each meeting discussed:
- (a) a range of matters that have been raised as possible problems with IAS 37; and

(b) the implications of proposed amendments to the *Conceptual Framework*.

C15. The IASB is likely to wait until it is close to finalising revisions to the *Conceptual Framework* before making any decisions on whether to start an active project to amend aspects of IAS 37. In the meantime, the staff are continuing to gather evidence about matters that need to be addressed within the scope of such a project.

### ***Share-based payment***

C16. Many respondents to the 2011–2012 Agenda Consultation commented on the complexity of IFRS 2 *Share-based Payment*, which is evidenced by a number of submissions to the Interpretations Committee. The objective of the research project is to identify the main areas of complexity and their causes. The IASB expects to consider the findings of the research before the end of 2015.

### **Development stage projects**

*These are research projects for which the IASB has completed the preliminary assessment and decided that the project warrants further investigation. Generally, the IASB will have established that there is a financial reporting problem to be addressed by the project, but will not yet have decided whether any changes to IFRS are likely to be required.*

*Thus, the main focus of development-stage projects will be assessing whether the IASB can identify a solution to the financial reporting problem and describing what form that solution is likely to take.*

*The output from a development-stage project is likely to be the publication of a DP.*

C17. Dynamic **Risk Management and Disclosure Initiative—Principles of Disclosure** are development-phase research projects; their progress is reviewed in paragraphs A10 to **Error! Reference source not found.**).

### ***Business combinations under common control***

C18. Business combinations under common control, including those undertaken when preparing for initial public offerings, are excluded from the scope of IFRS 3, because the combining entities are controlled by the same party. The IASB has observed diversity in practice in accounting for such transactions. The IASB expects to publish a DP in 2016.

### ***Equity method of accounting***

C19. The equity method of accounting is used to account for an investor's interests in associate entities, joint ventures and for subsidiaries in separate financial statements. There has been a high level of submissions to the Interpretations Committee, highlighting the complexities of that method and suggesting the need for a fundamental review of the equity method. In June 2015, the IASB decided to focus on a narrower-scope research project to address application problems arising from the equity method requirements set out in IAS 28 *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures*. The IASB expects to publish a DP in 2016.

C20. The IASB plans to consider the need for a wider research project on the equity method after completion of the PIRs of IFRS 10 *Consolidated Financial Statements*, IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* and IFRS 12 *Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities*.

### ***Financial instruments with characteristics of equity***

C21. The classification of financial instruments as liabilities or equity in accordance with IAS 32 *Financial Instruments: Presentation* presents many challenges. The project is exploring whether the existing classification requirements in IAS 32 could be improved. In addition, the project is looking at what improvements could be made to the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments with characteristics of equity. The timing of a DP has yet to be determined.

## **Inactive projects**

*Inactive projects are projects for which the IASB has no current plans to undertake additional work.*

C22. The following are inactive projects:

- Extractive activities/intangible assets/R&D activities
- Foreign currency translation
- High inflation