

# AGENDA PAPER

IFRS Foundation Advisory Council

London

10-11 June 2013

Agenda Paper 1A

---

## Memorandum

**To:** Advisory Council

**From:** Hans Hoogervorst/Sue Lloyd

**Date:** 30 May 2013

**Re:** Technical Projects—Update

---

### Overview

The IASB has continued to be occupied with the completion of the four major projects being undertaken jointly with the FASB: Financial Instruments, Revenue Recognition, Leases and Insurance Contracts.

Since the last meeting in February we have published the Exposure Drafts on Impairment and Leases and finalised redeliberations in respect of Insurance Contracts, Revenue Recognition and Hedge Accounting. Final IFRSs will be published in the next few months for Revenue Recognition and Hedge Accounting.

The IASB has also finalised its redeliberations for the Discussion Paper on the *Conceptual Framework* project and published the Exposure Draft for the interim solution for Rate-regulated Activities.

In response to the comments received on the Agenda Consultation for more support to be provided for existing IFRSs, the Interpretations Committee has also been very active.

### Financial Instruments

#### IFRS 9—Classification and Measurement (limited amendments)

As discussed in previous meetings, in November 2012 the IASB published an Exposure Draft *Classification and Measurement: Limited Amendments to IFRS 9 (Proposed*

*amendments to IFRS 9 (2010)*). This Exposure Draft proposed limited amendments to the classification and measurement requirements for financial instruments already contained in IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments*. The main changes proposed in the ED were to clarify the notion of principal and interest, to propose the introduction of a fair value through OCI category for simple debt investments and to propose clarifications to the concept of ‘holding to collect’ contractual cash flows.

The FASB issued an Exposure Draft on the classification and measurement of financial instruments in February 2013. While the Exposure Drafts reflect joint decisions made by the boards, the documents are not identical because of the different stage of development of our projects (the IASB is revising IFRS 9 whereas the FASB is proposing completely new guidance).

The comment period for the IASB’s ED ended on 28 March 2013. At the May joint meeting, the staff presented a summary of the feedback received to the IASB and the FASB. The FASB’s comment period ended in late May 2013. At future meetings, the FASB will share its feedback with the IASB and the proposals will be jointly redeliberated with the FASB with a view to completing deliberations on this project, along with the other phases of IFRS 9, in 2013.

The following points provide a high-level summary of the feedback received on the IASB’s ED.

- Nearly all of the IASB’s stakeholders continue to support the basic principles in IFRS 9<sup>1</sup>.
- All who commented on convergence with the FASB generally supported it. However, some thought convergence only at the principle level (and not at a detailed level) is unhelpful and potentially confusing for users of financial statements.
- Many noted that reducing complexity in the accounting for financial instruments was one of the original objectives in replacing IAS 39. Some questioned whether the proposed changes were consistent with this objective.
- The majority of respondents supported the introduction of a ‘fair value through OCI’ category for simple debt investments. However, some who supported the category proposed alternative approaches to determine which instruments should qualify for this classification.
- While most respondents supported the objective of clarifying the principle of ‘solely principal and interest’ many suggestions were made to further simplify the principle. In addition, many respondents asked for further changes to be made, particularly to address financial instruments with interest rates subject to regulation.

---

<sup>1</sup> One of these principles is that financial assets should only be measured at amortised cost if their cash flows are solely principal and interest. With the exception of a few respondents from particular jurisdictions who continue to favour bifurcation for financial assets, most of our stakeholders continue to support this principle.

## Impairment

This is probably the most important part of our project to overhaul the accounting for financial instruments. The objective of the Impairment project is to increase the usefulness of financial statements by improving the transparency of information about the credit quality of financial assets subject to impairment<sup>2</sup>, and improving the timeliness of recognition of expected losses.

In March 2013 the IASB published an Exposure Draft *Financial Instruments: Expected Credit Losses*. It is open for comment until 5 July 2013. The proposals in that document are based on the model that the IASB had been developing jointly with the FASB. However, the model was simplified to address comments received from interested parties prior to publishing the ED. Importantly, the proposals would result in expected credit losses always being recognised (from when a financial instrument is first purchased or originated) with full lifetime expected credit losses being recognised when a financial instrument suffers a significant deterioration in credit quality.

As discussed at previous meetings, in July 2012 the FASB decided to explore a different approach—one still based on expected credit losses, but in which full (all) lifetime expected credit losses are recognised for all loans from initial recognition. The IASB does not support the recognition of full lifetime expected credit losses when a loan is first recognised, preferring a model that will result in lifetime expected credit losses only being recognised once a loan deteriorates and an economic loss results.

In late December 2012 the FASB published their Exposure Draft on impairment. The FASB's comment period ends on 31 May 2013 (the FASB extended the comment period from the original date of 30 April 2013 to allow respondents additional time to consider both the IASB and FASB proposals). This provided a 12-week overlap period between the IASB's and FASB's Exposure Drafts.

Both the IASB and the FASB presented their impairment proposals at the Accounting Standards Advisory Forum (ASAF) meeting held in April 2013. The IASB have an ad-hoc meeting scheduled with ASAF during July 2013 to obtain further feedback from the ASAF members on the impairment proposals.

During the comment period the IASB is also undertaking field work. The objective of the field work is to determine how the IASB proposals on expected credit losses respond to changing economic circumstances over time. It is also designed to provide an understanding of how the proposals may be implemented and to provide some information about the directional impact on allowance balances. The field work is detailed and is currently being undertaken worldwide with 14 participants from the major regions across the world. The

---

<sup>2</sup> We refer to all financial assets subject to impairment as 'loans' in the Impairment section of this paper for ease of discussion.

participants include banks, with various levels of sophistication of products and credit risk management, and some corporations.

Despite the difficulties the two boards have experienced in trying to find a common approach, our respective stakeholders still have a strong desire for us to achieve a common solution. The Financial Stability Board and the G20 view a converged solution as important. The IASB continues to have an open line of communication with the FASB and joint outreach is being undertaken. In July the boards will meet in person to have an initial discussion on potential approaches to align their impairment proposals taking into account information received during the comment periods. The aim is to finalise the development of the impairment requirements in 2013.

### **Hedge Accounting**

The objective of this project is to improve hedge accounting by more closely aligning it with a company's risk management activities, thereby improving financial reporting. As previously discussed, the Hedge Accounting phase of the Financial Instruments project is not a joint project. However, the FASB sought comments from its stakeholders on the IASB's Hedge Accounting Exposure Draft and will consider these in conjunction with feedback on its own proposals when it recommences its hedge accounting deliberations.

As mentioned at previous meetings, in September 2012 the IASB posted a Review Draft on its website of the forthcoming hedge accounting requirements to be added to IFRS 9. This was part of an extended fatal flaw process.

At the January 2013 IASB meeting the key issues raised on the Review Draft were discussed and the IASB tentatively agreed to make some changes and clarifications to the document based on the feedback received.

At the April 2013 IASB meeting, the IASB finalised its deliberations on hedge accounting and granted the staff permission to draft the final hedge accounting requirements for inclusion in IFRS 9. Pending the outcome of the project on accounting for macro hedges, the IASB also decided at that meeting to provide entities with a choice between applying the new hedge accounting requirements of IFRS 9<sup>3</sup> or continuing to apply the hedge accounting requirements in IAS 39. This will allow entities to wait for the final picture related to accounting for macro hedging activities before applying the new hedge accounting model in IFRS 9.

### **Accounting for Macro Hedging**

The IASB continues its public discussion of accounting for portfolio hedges. As noted previously, the IASB will first publish a Discussion Paper before moving on to an Exposure Draft. Publication of the Discussion Paper is planned to occur during 2013.

---

<sup>3</sup> In conjunction with the interest rate portfolio fair value hedge accounting model in IAS 39.

## Leases

The objective of the Leases project is to improve the quality and comparability of financial reporting by providing greater transparency about leverage, the assets an entity uses in its operations and the risks to which it is exposed from entering into lease transactions.

This is a joint project with the FASB. In May 2013, both boards published a joint and revised Exposure Draft on leases, which is open for comment until 13 September 2013. Under the proposals, a lessee would report assets and liabilities for all leases of more than 12 months on its balance sheet. The recognition of lease-related expenses in the lessee's income statement for most real estate leases would be different from that for most other leases, to better reflect the differing economics of those leases. The Exposure Draft also proposes some changes to the accounting applied by many equipment and vehicle lessors, which would better reflect how such lessors price their leases.

During the comment period, the boards plan to conduct joint outreach, particularly with users of financial statements and with entities that undertake a significant amount of leasing activities. The boards also plan to hold public round-table meetings in September and October 2013, after the end of the comment period.

## Revenue Recognition

The objective of this project is to improve financial reporting by creating a common revenue recognition Standard that clarifies principles that can be applied consistently across various transactions, industries and capital markets. The project applies to all contracts with customers (except leases, financial instruments and insurance contracts).

This is also a joint project with the FASB. The boards have completed their redeliberations of the 2011 Exposure Draft. At the May 2013 meeting, the IASB confirmed that all due process steps have been complied with, and gave the staff permission to ballot the final Standard. In the coming weeks, the FASB will complete its own due process analysis and will also be asked to provide permission for the FASB staff to start their ballot process. The boards will ballot and issue the final Standard at the same time, which is expected to be in the third quarter of 2013.

Because of the importance of revenue and the broad scope of the new Standard, the IASB is considering creating an implementation group with a limited life to support preparers as they make the transition to the new Standard. This would be a joint group with the FASB and would provide a public forum for discussion of implementation issues. Importantly, the IASB does not envisage the group providing authoritative guidance.

## Insurance Contracts

The objective of this project is to eliminate inconsistencies and weaknesses in existing practice by replacing IFRS 4 *Insurance Contracts* and to provide a single principle-based

Standard to account for all insurance contracts.

While the boards have worked together on the Insurance Contracts project, different decisions have been reached on several basic matters. For example, while both boards have agreed to measure the insurance liability using a current measure of the estimated costs to fulfil the obligation, the boards have reached different decisions on several aspects of the model, including recognition of changes in estimates, the inclusion of a risk margin in the measurement of the liability and the treatment of acquisition costs.

As noted at previous meetings, in 2012 the IASB decided that, on the basis of the feedback received on the original Exposure Draft and the subsequent decisions made during redeliberations, it would re-expose its proposals. The IASB plans to publish the revised Exposure Draft at the end of June 2013.

Because of the importance of completing this project, and in view of the extensive debate the IASB has undertaken over the years, the IASB decided that feedback will only be sought on five key matters on which there have been significant changes to the proposals in the 2010 Exposure Draft. In addition, the IASB will seek feedback on the extent to which the benefits of its revised proposals justify the additional complexity those proposals introduce, and whether the drafting of the Standard is clear. The IASB hopes that targeting its revised Exposure Draft in this way will avoid undue further delay in finalising a much-needed Standard for insurance contracts accounting.

### **The Conceptual Framework**

As discussed in the last meeting, restarting work on the *Conceptual Framework* project received overwhelming support from respondents to the IASB's 2011 Agenda Consultation. Consequently, the IASB agreed to restart this project in September 2012.

The IASB uses the *Conceptual Framework* to develop its Standards. This *Conceptual Framework* project will build on the work previously done before the project was paused in 2010. As part of that work, the IASB completed chapters on the objective of financial reporting and qualitative characteristics of useful information.

The IASB has spent an extensive amount of board time since the last Advisory Council meeting discussing the *Conceptual Framework*. In addition, the IASB is obtaining input on this project from the newly formed ASAF. The inaugural ASAF meeting held in April 2013 was mainly devoted to discussing this project.

A Discussion Paper is targeted for July 2013. The comment period will be 180 days.

### **Rate-regulated Activities**

Rate regulation is a restriction in the setting of prices that can be charged to customers for services or products. It is generally imposed by regulatory bodies or governments, when an

entity has a monopoly or a dominant market position that gives it excessive market power. It is widespread and significantly affects the economic environment of rate-regulated entities. Some national GAAP provides specific guidance on this matter, but there is no equivalent guidance in IFRSs.

The long-term objective of the Rate-regulated Activities research project is to develop a Discussion Paper to consider whether rate regulation creates assets or liabilities in addition to those already recognised in accordance with IFRS for non-rate-regulated activities. If so, the project will also consider how such assets and liabilities should be accounted for and whether (or how) IFRSs should be amended. Given the specialist nature of the subject and the need for industry expertise, a formal consultative group was formed for this project in April 2013. The IASB aims to issue the Discussion Paper in the second half of 2013.

In addition, in December 2012 the IASB agreed to develop an Exposure Draft for an interim Standard designed to assist those adopting IFRS prior to completion of the broader project. The IASB has made it clear that the interim Standard for Rate-regulated Activities must not delay the completion of the main project nor prejudge the outcome of that project. The Exposure Draft was issued in April 2013.

## **Narrow-scope projects**

### *Recently published Exposure Drafts*

#### **IAS 39—Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting**

In February 2013 the IASB published the Exposure Draft *Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting (Proposed amendments to IAS 39 and IFRS 9)*. The objective of the proposed amendments is to introduce a narrow-scope exception to the requirement for the discontinuation of hedge accounting in IAS 39 *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* and the forthcoming chapter on hedge accounting for IFRS 9. The proposals respond to recent or pending legislative changes that require novation of some derivative contracts to a central counterparty. These legislative changes are being introduced in many jurisdictions in response to a G20 commitment to improve transparency and regulatory oversight of over-the-counter derivatives in an internationally consistent and non-discriminatory way. The IASB decided to expose the proposals for 30 days (as agreed by the DPOC) because of the urgency of this issue in the light of the recent or pending legislative changes, the narrowness of the issue and the anticipated acceptance of the proposals. A comment letter analysis was presented to the IASB in May 2013. The IASB has agreed to finalise the amendments, after making revisions to reflect the feedback received in the consultation. The final amendments are expected to be issued in June 2013.

#### **IAS 36—Recoverable Amount Disclosures for Non-Financial Assets**

This project amended IAS 36 *Impairment of Assets* to correct a drafting error in the consequential amendments arising from IFRS 13 *Fair Value Measurement* by clarifying

when disclosure of information about the recoverable amount of impaired assets is required<sup>4</sup>.

An Exposure Draft was published in mid-January 2013 with a 60-day comment period (as agreed by the DPOC). The final amendments were issued in May 2013.

### **Other recently published Exposure Drafts**

In addition, an Exposure Draft proposing narrow-scope amendments to IAS 19 is currently subject to public consultation. This Exposure Draft (*Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions*) was published in March 2013, and the comment period ends on 25 July 2013.

### *New narrow-scope projects*

#### **IAS 41—Bearer Plants**

As discussed in the last meeting, in response to the 2011 Agenda Consultation, the IASB agreed to develop a limited scope project to amend IAS 41 *Agriculture* (in relation to bearer plants). Bearer plants include grape vines and oil palms. The operation of mature bearer plants is seen by many as similar to that of manufacturing, and consequently they believe that the bearer plants themselves should be accounted for in accordance with the requirements in IAS 16 *Property, Plant and Equipment* rather than in IAS 41—their produce, such as grapes or palm oil, remain within the scope of IAS 41. In December 2012 the IASB decided to develop a cost-based model for bearer plants.

The IASB decided that because of the research that has already been undertaken by a national standard-setter, a Discussion Paper would not be necessary for this project. Consequently, the IASB aims to publish an Exposure Draft by the end of the third quarter of 2013.

#### **IAS 27—Separate Financial Statements (Equity Method)**

When an entity prepares separate financial statements it has the choice of measuring investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates at cost or at fair value. Corporate law in some countries requires listed entities to present separate financial statements using the equity method of accounting to measure these investments. Consequently, entities in those countries must currently prepare two sets of financial statements.

Feedback received from the 2011 Agenda Consultation indicated there was strong support from stakeholders in those countries affected, particularly from Latin America, for us to address this issue.

As a result the IASB agreed to consider a proposal to amend IAS 27 *Separate Financial Statements* to allow the use of the equity method of accounting. We aim to publish an Exposure Draft in 2013.

---

<sup>4</sup> The narrower scope was correctly reflected in the Basis for Conclusions to IAS 36.



### **IAS 19—Actuarial Assumptions: Discount Rate**

The Interpretations Committee was asked to clarify whether corporate bonds with a rating lower than ‘AA’ can be considered to be high-quality corporate (HQC) bonds for the purposes of calculating the defined benefit obligation for post-employment benefits. According to prevailing past practice, listed corporate bonds have usually been considered to be HQC bonds if they receive one of the highest two ratings given by a recognised rating agency (‘AAA’ and ‘AA’). Because of the financial crisis the number of these bonds has decreased. At its March 2013 meeting the Interpretations Committee was informed about the views of members of the IASB on this topic. Consequently, the staff will consult with experts, for example actuaries, on this topic to reflect the IASB’s direction. The Interpretations Committee think that this topic is too broad for a narrow-scope amendment to IAS 19 and have asked the staff to focus on an analysis of whether ‘high quality’ is an absolute or relative concept.

### **IAS 1—Disclosure Requirements about Assessment of Going Concern**

IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements* requires that when management are aware of material uncertainties about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, those uncertainties should be disclosed. The submitter, the IAASB, thinks that the guidance about the disclosure of these uncertainties is not clear. A similar topic had been presented to the Advisory Council in June 2012 by the chair of the UK FRC on actions that they were taking in response to the Sharman Inquiry. The Advisory Council’s advice at that time was that perhaps the Interpretations Committee or the IASB could provide guidance on these disclosures.

In January 2013 the Interpretations Committee recommended proposals for a narrow-scope amendment to IAS 1 to the IASB that would clarify when these disclosures would be made and what disclosures about these uncertainties should be required. At its March 2013 meeting the IASB discussed these proposals and requested that they should be further developed. It is expected that an Exposure Draft of these proposals will be published in the fourth quarter of 2013.

### **Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests (NCI)**

Following the publication of a draft Interpretation regarding the accounting for NCI puts, the IASB discussed the feedback received and the recommendation of the Interpretations Committee to reconsider the accounting for NCI puts rather than proceeding to finalise the Interpretation. The IASB agreed with this recommendation and has asked the staff to undertake further analysis on the accounting for puts over own equity including over NCI.

### **Other new narrow-scope projects**

A narrow-scope amendment on the unit of account for fair value measurement of equity investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures is currently with the IASB for development. It is expected that an Exposure Draft on this topic will be published later this

year.

## *Annual Improvements*

### **Annual Improvements 2010–2012**

The 2010–2012 Annual Improvements Exposure Draft was published in May 2012. The Interpretations Committee discussed the comments received on some of these annual improvements in November 2012, January 2013 and March 2013. The recommendations from the Interpretations Committee on how to finalise many of these issues were presented to the IASB in the first quarter of 2013, with the remaining issues to be presented in the second quarter of 2013. The IASB is targeting issuing the final requirements in the fourth quarter of 2013.

### **Annual Improvements 2011–2013**

The 2011–2013 Annual Improvements Exposure Draft was published in November 2012. A summary of the comment letters received was presented to the Interpretations Committee in May 2013. The IASB is targeting issuing the final requirements in the fourth quarter of 2013.

### **Annual Improvements 2012–2014**

The Interpretations Committee has so far identified three potential issues for inclusion in the Exposure Draft for the 2012–2014 cycle of Annual Improvements; one of these has been agreed by the IASB. The others will be presented to the IASB in the second quarter of 2013 to ask for its agreement. The IASB expects to publish the 2012-2014 Exposure Draft in the fourth quarter of 2013.

## **Interpretations**

### **Levies**

In May 2012 the Interpretations Committee published a draft Interpretation that proposed clarifications for the point at which a liability to pay certain levies should be recognised. A summary of the comment letters received was presented to the Interpretations Committee in November 2012. The Interpretations Committee concluded its discussions in March 2013 and the final Interpretation was ratified by the IASB in April 2013. IFRIC Interpretation 21 (IFRIC 21) was published in May 2013.

## **Education initiative**

### **Joint Arrangements—educational material**

IFRS 11 *Joint Arrangements* was issued in May 2011 as part of our suite of new Standards addressing consolidation and joint arrangements. IFRS 11 requires an entity that is a party to a joint arrangement to account for its involvement with the joint arrangement on the basis of its rights and obligations. There is a reasonable degree of judgement required in making the

assessments needed to apply the Standard. In response to requests for guidance in this area, we drafted educational material to assist those making the judgements required in order to apply the principles in IFRS 11. However, several of the external fatal flaw reviewers were of the opinion that some of the educational material was interpretative in nature. Consequently we have stopped work on the educational material and we are considering whether to bring some of the issues to the Interpretations Committee instead.

## **IFRS for SMEs**

### **Comprehensive Review 2012–2014**

As previously discussed, when the IASB issued the International Financial Reporting Standard for Small and Medium-sized Entities (*IFRS for SMEs*) in July 2009, it stated that it would undertake an initial comprehensive review of the Standard. This review would allow the IASB to assess the first two years' experience in implementing the Standard and consider whether there is a need for any amendments. In mid-2012 the IASB commenced the initial comprehensive review by issuing a Request for Information seeking public views on whether there is a need to make any amendments to the *IFRS for SMEs*.

In February 2013 the SME Implementation Group (SMEIG) met to discuss public responses to the Request for Information. A report containing the recommendations of the SMEIG on amendments to the *IFRS for SMEs* was provided to the IASB in March 2013.

The IASB started to discuss the issues in the Request for Information at its March 2013 board meeting. The IASB expects to conclude its discussions on the main issues in June 2013 and publish an Exposure Draft of the proposals in the third quarter of 2013.

### **Guidance for micro-sized entities**

In 2012 it was decided that guidance should be developed to help micro-sized entities apply the *IFRS for SMEs*. The IASB staff expects to publish this guidance in June 2013.

### **Post-implementation review (PIR)**

In July 2012 the IASB published for comment a Request for Information on the effect of implementing IFRS 8 *Operating Segments*. In January 2013 the IASB discussed a summary of the information received in response to the Request for Information and the outreach performed, as well as an update of the review of academic and other literature relevant to the PIR. The IASB reviewed the conclusions arising from the PIR in April 2013 and consequently a feedback statement on the post-implementation review is being prepared. Publication is expected in June 2013.

The experience gained from this first PIR is being used in planning the PIR of IFRS 3 *Business Combinations* for which preparatory work has now begun.

## Work plan—as at 30 May 2013

## Major IFRSs

Next major project milestone				
	2013 Q1	2013 Q2	2013 Q3	2013 Q4
<b>IFRS 9: <i>Financial Instruments</i> (replacement of IAS 39)</b>				
<b>Classification and Measurement (Limited amendments)</b>		Redeliberations		
<b>Impairment</b> [comment period ends 5 July 2013]			Redeliberations	
<b>Hedge Accounting</b>			Target IFRS	
<b>Accounting for Macro Hedging</b>			Target DP	
	2013 Q1	2013 Q2	2013 Q3	2013 Q4
<b>Insurance Contracts</b>		Target ED		
<b>Leases</b> [comment period ends 13 September 2013]				Redeliberations
<b>Rate-regulated Activities</b>				
<b>Interim IFRS</b> [comment period ends 4 September 2013]				Redeliberations
<b>Rate Regulation</b>				Target DP
<b>Revenue Recognition</b>			Target IFRS	
IFRS for SMEs: Comprehensive Review 2012-2014 – see project page				

## Implementation

Next major project milestone				
<b>Narrow-scope amendments</b>	2013 Q1	2013 Q2	2013 Q3	2013 Q4
<b>Acquisition of an Interest in a Joint Operation</b> (Proposed amendments to IFRS 11)				Target IFRS
<b>Actuarial Assumptions: Discount Rate</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 19)			Target ED	
<b>Annual Improvements 2010-2012</b>				Target IFRS
<b>Annual Improvements 2011-2013</b>				Target IFRS
<b>Annual Improvements 2012-2014</b>				Target ED
<b>Bearer Plants</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 41)		Target ED		
<b>Clarification of Acceptable Methods of Depreciation and Amortisation</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 16 and IAS 38)				Target IFRS
<b>Defined Benefit Plans: Employee Contributions</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 19) [comment period ends 25 July 2013]				Target IFRS

Next major project milestone				
<b>Narrow-scope amendments</b>	<b>2013 Q1</b>	<b>2013 Q2</b>	<b>2013 Q3</b>	<b>2013 Q4</b>
<b>Disclosure Requirements about Assessment of Going Concern</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 1)				Target ED
<b>Equity Method: Share of Other Net Asset Changes</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 28)				Target IFRS
<b>Fair Value Measurement: Unit of Account</b>			Target ED	
<b>Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 39 and IFRS 9)		Target IFRS		
<b>Put Options Written on Non-controlling Interests</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 32)			Target ED	
<b>Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets for Unrealised Losses</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 12)				Target ED
<b>Sale or Contribution of Assets between an Investor and its Associate or Joint Venture</b> (Proposed amendments to IFRS 10 and IAS 28)				Target IFRS
<b>Separate Financial Statements (Equity Method)</b> (Proposed amendments to IAS 27)			Target ED	
<b>Post-implementation reviews</b>	<b>2013 Q1</b>	<b>2013 Q2</b>	<b>2013 Q3</b>	<b>2013 Q4</b>
<b>IFRS 8 <i>Operating Segments</i></b>		Publish report on Post-implementation Review		
<b>IFRS 3 <i>Business Combinations</i></b>		Initiate review		

## Conceptual Framework

Next major project milestone				
	<b>2013 Q1</b>	<b>2013 Q2</b>	<b>2013 Q3</b>	<b>2013 Q4</b>
<b>Conceptual Framework (chapters addressing elements of financial statements, measurement, reporting entity and presentation and disclosure)</b>		Target DP		
<b>Disclosures: Discussion Forum</b> [Feedback Statement published 28 May 2013. Click <a href="#">here.</a> ]				

## Research Projects

<p>Research projects involve preliminary research to help the IASB evaluate whether to add a topic to its work plan. The IASB will begin research on the following topics in due course.</p>			
<b>Business combinations under common control</b>			
<b>Discount rates</b>			
<b>Emissions trading schemes</b>			
<b>Equity method of accounting</b>			
<b>Extractive activities</b>			
<b>Financial instruments with characteristics of equity</b>			
<b>Financial reporting in high inflationary economies</b>			
<b>Foreign currency translation</b>			
<b>Income taxes</b>			
<b>Intangible assets</b>			
<b>Liabilities-amendments to IAS 37</b>			
<b>Post-employment benefits (including pensions)</b>			
<b>Share-based payments</b>			

Major projects	Issued date	Effective date	Year that post-implementation review is expected to start <sup>5</sup>
<b>Amendments to IAS 19 <i>Employee Benefits</i></b>	June 2011	01 January 2013	2015
<b>IFRS 10 <i>Consolidated Financial Statements</i></b>	May 2011	01 January 2013	2016
<b>IFRS 11 <i>Joint Arrangements</i></b>	May 2011	01 January 2013	2016
<b>IFRS 12 <i>Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities</i></b>	May 2011	01 January 2013	2016
<b>IFRS 13 <i>Fair Value Measurement</i></b>	May 2011	01 January 2013	2015
<b>IFRS 9 <i>Financial Instruments</i></b>	October 2010	01 January 2015	TBD
<b>Narrow-scope amendments</b>			
<b>Annual Improvements 2009-2011</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IFRS 1 <i>First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards</i>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Repeated application of IFRS 1</li> <li>○ Borrowing costs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• IAS 1 <i>Presentation of Financial Statements</i> - Clarification of the requirements for comparative information</li> <li>• IAS 16 <i>Property, Plant and Equipment</i> - Classification of servicing equipment</li> <li>• IAS 32 <i>Financial Instruments: Presentation</i> - Tax effect of distribution to holders of equity instruments</li> <li>• IAS 34 <i>Interim Financial Reporting</i> - Interim financial reporting and segment information for total assets and liabilities</li> </ul>	May 2012	01 January 2013	
<b><i>Consolidated Financial Statements, Joint Arrangements and Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities: Transition Guidance</i> (Amendments to IFRS 10, IFRS 11, and IFRS 12)</b>	June 2012	01 January 2013	
<b><i>Disclosures - Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities</i> (Amendments to IFRS 7)</b>	December 2011	01 January 2013	
<b>IFRIC 20 <i>Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine</i></b>	October 2011	01 January 2013	
<b>IFRS 1 <i>First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards</i> - Government Loans</b>	March 2012	01 January 2013	
<b>IAS 32 <i>Financial Instruments: Presentation - Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities</i></b>	December 2011	01 January 2014	
<b><i>Investment Entities</i> (Amendments to IFRS 10, IFRS 12 and IAS 27)</b>	October 2012	01 January 2014	
<b><i>Recoverable Amount Disclosures for Non-Financial Assets</i> (Amendments to IAS 36)</b>	May 2013	01 January 2014	
<b>IFRS 9 <i>Financial Instruments</i> - Mandatory effective date of IFRS 9 and transition disclosures</b>	December 2011	01 January 2015	
<b>Interpretations</b>	<b>Issued date</b>	<b>Effective date</b>	
<b>IFRIC 21 <i>Levies</i></b>	May 2013	01 January 2014	

<sup>5</sup> A post-implementation review normally begins after the new requirements have been applied internationally for two years, which is generally about 30-36 months after the effective date.

**Agenda Consultation**

	2013	2014	2015
<b>Three-yearly public consultation</b> [Feedback Statement published 18 December 2012] [Next consultation scheduled 2015 ]			Initiate second triennial public consultation