

STAFF PAPER

April 2013

IASB Meeting

Project	Post-implementation review of IFRS 8 <i>Operating Segments</i>		
Paper topic	Covering memo to the agenda papers		
CONTACT(S)	April Pitman	apitman@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6492

This paper has been prepared by the staff of the IFRS Foundation for discussion at a public meeting of the IASB and does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual member of the IASB. Comments on the application of IFRSs do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRSs. Technical decisions are made in public and reported in IASB *Update*.

1. The IASB is planning to report on its post-implementation review (PIR) of IFRS 8 *Operating Segments* in June of this year. This is the first PIR conducted by the IASB.

Agenda papers presented

2. The papers presented this month are:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 12 A *Sources of input to the PIR, due process and next steps*;
This paper discusses the three sources of input to the PIR process- public consultation, outreach and the review of academic literature. It discusses the adequacy of that input and, in particular, the mixed messages received from investors. It includes a due process protocol for the PIR of IFRS 8 and lays out the next steps in this process.
 - (b) Agenda Paper 12 B *Messages received and feedback summary*;
This paper summarises the key messages received through consultation and the findings from academic research. It includes a draft feedback summary, detailing the messages received and our suggested responses. It also includes a brief comparison of our findings with those of the Financial Accounting Foundation ('the FAF') who have recently completed a PIR of their Standard on operating segments.
 - (c) Agenda Paper 12 C *Lessons learnt about the PIR process*.
This paper summarises the feedback received on the PIR process itself together with the staff's analysis of how lessons learnt in conducting this PIR might affect

our approach to subsequent PIRs. It also includes a brief discussion of the effect that reviewing a converged Standard might have on the PIR process and how our process compares with that of the FAF.

(d) Agenda Paper 12 D *Feedback from the April 2013 meeting of the DPOC*.

Drafts of agenda papers 12 A – 12C were distributed to the Due Process Oversight Committee of the Trustees (‘the DPOC’) for comment at their meeting on 10 April 2013. This paper summarises the DPOC’s feedback to the IASB about the PIR of IFRS 8.

Questions for the IASB

3. In the papers presented at this meeting, we will ask you a number of questions. In the papers we ask whether you:
- (a) think that the review work recorded in Agenda Paper 12 A is adequate with respect to the coverage of geographical regions and types of participants to ensure that representative views have been obtained?
 - (b) have any questions on the due process protocol or the conduct of the PIR of IFRS 8?
 - (c) think we have complied with the required due process?
 - (d) think that Agenda Paper 12 B has identified all of the key messages received from the post-implementation review of IFRS 8?
 - (e) agree with the suggested responses in the feedback summary?
 - (f) have any further comments on the feedback received for inclusion in the response?
 - (g) think that Agenda Paper 12 C has identified all of the key messages received about the post-implementation process?
 - (h) agree with the conclusions drawn about the transferability to other PIRs of the messages received?
 - (i) have any further comments about the PIR process for inclusion in the report?

- (j) have any questions for the staff on the feedback received from the DPOC?