

---

## IASB Cover sheet - Leases

---

### Meeting summary

The Boards will discuss the feedback that they received during leases outreach meetings held in April and May 2012. The feedback received mainly relates to lessee accounting, and the alternative approaches to subsequently measuring the ROU asset. The Boards also received some feedback on the lessor accounting proposals.

---

### Staff papers

Thursday 24 May – 08:00am (US time), 13:00 (UK time), Joint meeting

3 Leases: Summary of feedback received during outreach meetings

---

### IASB Staff contacts

**Patrina Buchanan, Senior Technical manager**  
[pbuchanan@ifrs.org](mailto:pbuchanan@ifrs.org)

**Anna Heining, Education Initiative**  
[aheining@ifrs.org](mailto:aheining@ifrs.org)

The staff are responsible for developing staff papers with recommendations, along with supporting analysis, for consideration by the IASB in its public meetings.

The staff papers should provide sufficient information to enable the IASB members to make informed decisions on technical matters.

In developing the staff papers the staff are expected to research and consult widely, including seeking advice from IASB members. However, recommendations ultimately reflect the views of the staff having considered the information they have obtained.

---

### Assigned Board members

**Jan Engström**  
[jengstrom@ifrs.org](mailto:jengstrom@ifrs.org)

**Patrick Finnegan**  
[pfinnegan@ifrs.org](mailto:pfinnegan@ifrs.org)

**Darrel Scott**  
[dscott@ifrs.org](mailto:dscott@ifrs.org)

**Wei-Guo Zhang**  
[wzhang@ifrs.org](mailto:wzhang@ifrs.org)

All IASB Board members are responsible for the decisions they make in developing and issuing IFRSs. Board members assigned to a project have additional responsibilities to work with the staff team to provide guidance in completing the project.

Staff members have ultimate responsibility for the board papers and the recommendations as noted above. However, assigned Board members provide advice to the staff on the adequacy and clarity of the analysis in drafts of staff papers to ensure that the full Board has the information it needs to make technical decisions.

The recommendations made in staff papers do not necessarily reflect the views of the assigned Board members.