

STAFF PAPER

19 – 23 March 2012

IASB Meeting

Project	Macro Hedge Accounting		
Paper topic	Cover Paper		
CONTACT(S)	Christian Garz	cgarz@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6410
	Martin Friedhoff	mfriedhoff@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6410

This paper has been prepared by the staff of the IFRS Foundation for discussion at a public meeting of the IASB and does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual member of the IASB. Comments on the application of IFRSs do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRSs. Technical decisions are made in public and reported in IASB *Update*.

Overview

1. This purpose of this paper is to:
 - (a) provide a project overview of the status of the macro hedge accounting project;
 - (b) summarise the agenda papers to be discussed for the March 2012 IASB meeting;
 - (c) provide an outlook regarding further topics to be discussed.

Project overview

2. The objective of this project is to develop an accounting solution for situations in which an entity manages continuously changing risk positions at an aggregated level (ie risk management of a net risk position for a particular type of risk in an open portfolio—"macro hedging").
3. The current *fair value hedge accounting for a portfolio hedge of interest rate risk* in accordance with IAS 39 represents an exception to the general hedge accounting requirements. Hence, it was not addressed when developing the general hedge accounting requirements for IFRS 9. However, even with the exception there are significant tensions between accounting and risk management (see paragraph 6) that are addressed with this project.

4. To date there are no tentative decisions for this project.

Outreach activities

5. To support the discussion of risk management for macro hedge accounting the staff and board members are discussing risk management aspects related to open portfolios with interested parties from various regions. As part of those discussions an education session with representatives from the banking industry was held in June 2011.

Status of the project

6. On the basis of research and the outreach activities described above, tensions between common interest rate risk management practice in the financial services industry and current accounting requirements were identified. Those relate to the following areas:
 - (a) Risk management strategies are based on a net open portfolio as unit of account.
 - (b) The focus is on net interest margin as the hedged risk.
 - (c) Management of cash flow optionality (eg prepayments) is based on expected cash flows and layering approaches at a portfolio level.
 - (d) Multi-dimensional targets are set for the risk management activities.
7. Consistent with the board's broad objective for hedge accounting of improving the decision usefulness of information about hedging activities, the target is to create within the general IFRS accounting framework a model that reflects how businesses deal with risk for open portfolios. For that a *valuation approach* is used, ie the hedged risk position is identified and measured through profit or loss for accounting purposes.
8. The advantages of this approach are:
 - (a) The valuation of the risk position through profit or loss in combination with explanatory disclosures regarding the factors and inputs for this valuation increase transparency regarding the actual business and risk management activities.

- (b) A business oriented model enables economic volatility to be more accurately portrayed rather than reflecting volatility that arises from applying a hedge accounting model that is inconsistent with risk management activities.
 - (c) It increases the possibility of using data already available for risk management purposes, rather than imposing system and data collection requirements solely for accounting purposes.
- 9. However, risk management practice for open portfolios involves management judgement. Therefore, the more closely accounting relates to risk management the more that judgment affects the financial statements. This creates a trade-off regarding the objective to develop a transparent macro hedging model, which has to be addressed.
- 10. For the discussion of the valuation approach full fair value measurement was used as a starting point. From there 11 steps were identified that reflect the main differences between full fair value measurement and common interest rate risk management practice. Those steps are currently being discussed by the Board as a basis for a future decision about which of those risk management features could be appropriately included in the accounting model.
- 11. The discussion of the 11 steps can be grouped into four areas:
 - (a) Accounting mechanics.
 - (b) Valuation of the risk position.
 - (c) Scope of the risk position (including hedging instruments).
 - (d) Risk management targets.

Outlook

- 12. In addition to the continued discussion of the 11 steps, the following areas are expected to be covered as the project progresses:
 - (a) Presentation and disclosures.

- (b) Expansion of the model to risks other than interest rate risk and applying macro hedging approaches to industries other than financial institutions.
 - (c) Whether application should be mandatory.
 - (d) Transition to the new accounting model.
13. The appendix of this paper includes a more detailed project overview.

This meeting

14. For this meeting three papers have been prepared:
- (a) **Agenda paper 6A** provides an overview of information required to understand the valuation of the risk position when based on a common risk management approach for interest rate risk. This analysis prepares future deliberations on disclosure requirements as well as the decision on the treatment of judgemental areas for accounting purposes.
 - (b) **Agenda paper 6B** discusses how a valuation approach could be applied to core demand deposits. It explains the business considerations and influencing factors on the valuation. From there alternatives for the valuation for accounting purposes are derived including presentation and disclosure considerations.
 - (c) **Agenda paper 6C** analyses approaches taken on the setting of transfer prices. It explains the interaction between the determination of benchmark interest rates as a basis for valuing interest rate risk and transfer pricing mechanisms. From there implications for a potential macro hedging solution on the basis of a valuation approach are derived.

Appendix: Project Overview

Legend

Green: *Discussed*


Yellow: *Initial discussion, follow up required*

Blank: *Not discussed yet*

Project Overview Macro Hedge Accounting

Pre-Considerations
*Papers 14 to 14B (Sep 2010) / 10 to 10D (Nov 2010) /
 6 to 6B (Apr 2011)*

Outreach Activities
Interest Rate Risk Management of Financial Institutions
*Education Session – Papers 5 to 5B (Jun 2011) /
 Paper 9A (Sep 2011)*






Tensions between Risk Management and Accounting *Paper 9B (Sep 2011)*


Net Open Portfolio as Unit of Account
Risk Management focus on Net Interest Margin
Management of Optionality based on expected Behaviour
Multi-dimensional Targets on Portfolio Level

Conceptual Alternatives for Macro Hedge Accounting *Paper 9C (Sep 2011)*

Conceptual Alternatives for Macro Hedge Accounting *Paper 9C (Sep 2011)*







Further Topics

Hedging Instruments

Paper 7A (Nov 2011)

Internal Derivatives

Other Aspects

Presentation

Paper 7A (Nov 2011)

Adjustment to Carrying Value

by Contract/Transaction

Other Comprehensive Income

by Class of Transaction

Deferred Income

for entire Risk Position

Disclosures

Paper 6A (Mar 2012)

Consideration of Other Risks

Macro Hedging Approaches applied in Other Industries

Application

Mandatory

Voluntary

Transition

Effective
Date

Comparative
Information

Discontinuation of
previous Hedges