

STAFF PAPER

11 June – 15 June 2012

REG FASB | IASB Meeting

Project	Financial instruments: classification and measurement		
Paper topic	Cover paper		
CONTACT(S)	Yulia Feygina	yfevgina@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7332 2743
	Jeff Lark	jlark@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6932
	Katherine Cancro	kcancro@ifrs.org	+44 (0)20 7246 6928
	Trent Handy	tthandy@fasb.org	+1 (203) 956-3466
	Shahid Shah	sshah@fasb.org	+1 (203) 956-3478

This paper has been prepared by the staff of the IFRS Foundation and the FASB for discussion at a public meeting of the FASB or IASB. It does not purport to represent the views of any individual members of either board. Comments on the application of US GAAP or IFRSs do not purport to set out acceptable or unacceptable application of U.S. GAAP or IFRSs. The FASB and the IASB report their decisions made at public meetings in *FASB Action Alert* or in *IASB Update*.

Background

1. In January 2012, the IASB and the FASB decided to jointly redeliberate selected aspects of their classification and measurement models for financial instruments to seek to reduce key differences. The boards tentatively decided to discuss the following key differences:
 - (a) the contractual cash flow characteristics of financial assets;
 - (b) the need for bifurcation of financial assets and if pursued, the basis for bifurcation;
 - (c) the basis for and the scope of a possible third classification category (debt instruments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI)); and
 - (d) any interrelated issues from the topics above (for example, disclosures or the model for financial liabilities).

The IASB is the independent standard-setting body of the IFRS Foundation, a not-for-profit corporation promoting the adoption of IFRSs. For more information visit www.ifrs.org

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), is the national standard-setter of the United States, responsible for establishing standards of financial accounting that govern the preparation of financial reports by nongovernmental entities. For more information visit www.fasb.org

- The boards decided to discuss each issue jointly and consider what changes, if any, they would propose to make to their separate models and incorporate in their respective exposure drafts.

Purpose of this paper

- This paper provides an overview of the boards' tentative decisions to date and sets out the next steps. It is for informational purposes only and, thus, there is no question for the boards.

Decisions to date and next steps

- The figure below provides an overview of the key topics related to the classification and measurement of financial assets that are within the scope of the joint discussions.

		<i>Contractual cash flow characteristics assessment</i>	
		<i>Cash flows are solely principal and interest (P&I)</i>	<i>Cash flows are not solely P&I¹</i>
<i>Business model assessment</i>		Amortised cost	FVPL (regardless of the business model, not eligible in entirety for a measurement category other than FVPL due to cash flow characteristics)
		FVOCI	
		Fair value through profit or loss (FVPL)	

¹ An equity investment does not have cash flows that are solely P&I. However, under IFRS 9 an equity investment that is not held for trading can be measured at FVOCI.

5. Under IFRS 9 an entity first considers the business model within which the financial assets are held and then considers the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. In contrast, under the FASB's tentative classification and measurement model for financial assets, an entity first considers the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. This assessment is followed by the assessment of the business model. The staff believe that the order of the assessment of the business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics does not change the classification conclusion.
6. **Contractual cash flow characteristics** – In February 2012, the boards discussed the assessment of the contractual cash flow characteristics of financial assets. The boards tentatively decided that financial assets with contractual terms that give rise on specified dates to cash flows that are solely P&I could qualify for a measurement category other than FVPL in their entirety depending on the objective of the business model within which they are held. The February 2012 decisions provide a minor adjustment to the contractual cash flow characteristics assessment in IFRS 9 to address the feedback received by the IASB on the application of the assessment to particular financial assets and would be incorporated into the FASB's tentative classification and measurement model.
7. **Bifurcation of financial assets** – In April 2012, the boards tentatively decided that financial assets with cash flows that are not solely P&I would not be eligible for bifurcation. Instead, those financial assets would be classified and measured in their entirety at FVPL.
8. **Interrelated issues for financial liabilities** – The boards also considered whether and, if so, how they would like to adjust their current accounting models for financial liabilities in the light of the discussions for financial assets. The boards tentatively decided that financial liabilities would continue to be bifurcated using the existing bifurcation requirements in IFRS 9 and US GAAP. The IASB also confirmed that the 'own credit' requirements in IFRS 9 for financial liabilities designated under the fair value option would be retained. The FASB will discuss presentation requirements for own credit at a future FASB-only meeting.

9. **Business model and the FVOCI measurement category**² – Also in April 2012, the boards discussed how to align their respective business model assessments for the **amortised cost** category. The boards tentatively decided that financial assets that satisfy the P&I criteria would qualify for amortised cost if the objective of the business model is to hold those assets to collect contractual cash flows. The boards also tentatively decided to clarify the primary objective of 'hold to collect' by providing additional implementation guidance on both the types of business activities and the frequency and nature of sales that would prohibit financial assets from qualifying for amortised cost measurement.
10. In May 2012, the IASB tentatively decided to **introduce a FVOCI measurement category** in IFRS 9 (the FASB's tentative model already includes a FVOCI measurement category). The IASB also tentatively decided that:
- Interest income on financial assets measured at FVOCI would be recognised in profit or loss (P&L) using the effective interest method that is applied to financial assets measured at amortised cost.
 - Credit impairment losses/reversals on financial assets measured at FVOCI would be recognised in P&L using the same credit impairment methodology as for financial assets measured at amortised cost.
 - The cumulative fair value gain or loss recognised in other comprehensive income (OCI) would be recycled from OCI to P&L when a financial asset is derecognised.
11. The boards then jointly discussed the **business model assessment for the FVOCI and FVPL** categories, including which category should be a residual. The boards tentatively decided that financial assets would be measured at FVOCI if they are managed within a business model whose objective is both to hold the financial assets to collect contractual cash flows and to sell the financial assets. The FVPL category would be the residual. The boards also tentatively decided to provide additional application guidance on the types of business activities that would

² The joint discussion of the FVOCI classification on the basis of the business model only applies to debt instruments that have cash flows that are solely P&I. This does not impact the FVOCI designation that is available under IFRS 9 for equity investments on initial recognition.

qualify for the FVOCI business model. Only financial assets that contain cash flows that are solely P&I were in the scope of the business model discussions.

12. **Reclassification of financial assets** – Also in May 2012, the boards discussed reclassification of financial assets. The IASB tentatively decided to extend the existing reclassification requirements in IFRS 9 to the FVOCI category, that is to require prospective reclassification of financial assets when the business model changes. The FASB tentatively decided to require reclassification of financial assets in its tentative model. Changes in the business model that require reclassifications are expected to be very infrequent and must be (i) determined by the entity's senior management as a result of external or internal changes, (ii) significant to the entity's operations and (iii) demonstrable to external parties. At a future meeting, the staff will ask the boards to consider the accounting mechanics of reclassifications.
13. **Scope of the FVOCI category for debt instruments** – At this meeting, the staff will ask the boards to confirm that financial assets that contain contractual cash flows that are **not** solely P&I will not qualify for the FVOCI category³.
14. **Fair value option (FVO)** – At this meeting, the staff will ask the boards to consider the FVO for financial assets in the context of the three-category classification model and any interrelated issues for the FVO for financial liabilities.
15. **Other issues** – At a future meeting, the staff will ask the boards to discuss any further interrelated issues including transition, disclosures and other sweep issues. Some of these discussions may need to be joint while others may be separate. The boards will also separately consider what further changes, if any, they would like to make to their respective models.

³ IFRS 9 allows an election for equity investments that are not held for trading to be measured at FVOCI.