



Singapore 11-12 January 2012

Agenda ref 2

2-appendix B

The Technical Agenda

The IFRS Foundation promotes the adoption of IFRSs and is the oversight body of the IASB IFRS Foundation premises | 30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH UK | Tel: +44 (0)20 7246 6410 | Fax: +44 (0)20 7246 6411 | IN PEOPLE info@ifrs.org | www.ifrs.org

Page 1 of 12

Project Status IFRS 9— replacement of The IASB issued IFRS 9 Financial Instruments in November 2009. IAS 39 At that time the IASB did not address the accounting for financial Classification and liabilities. Most respondents to the exposure draft that preceded measurement IFRS 9 said that the accounting for financial liabilities worked well except for one issue-the volatility in net income that arises when an entity's own debt is measured at fair value. In such cases, changes in the creditworthiness of the issuer cause net income volatility (the 'own credit issue'.). In May 2010 the Board issued an exposure draft proposing a solution to the own credit issue. In October 2010 the Board amended IFRS 9 by carrying forward from IAS 39 the existing requirements for financial liabilities and added new requirements for financial liabilities. In November 2011 the IASB deferred the mandatory effective date of IFRS 9 until 1 January 2015. The Board also amended the transitional provisions to provide relief from restarting comparative information and introduced new disclosures to help users understand the effect of moving to the IFRS 9 classification and measurement model. The FASB has now also tentatively decided on a mixed measurement model for financial instruments, and have reached different answers from the IASB model on matters such as the number of classification categories, which assets should be measured and reported at fair value, where fair value changes should be recognised and the bifurcation of embedded derivatives. In addition there are important legacy differences, such as whether items measured through OCI should be recycled to net income when they are sold. The IASB is assessing how best to expose the FASB's final conclusions. In November the Board agreed to consider limited modifications to IFRS 9 to see whether differences with the FASB can be narrowed, to consider whether changes could assist in addressing some of the volatility concerns in the insurance contracts project and to address some known application issues in IFRS 9. The Board has agreed to a limited scope and noted the need for timely completion. The Board also noted that we need to be cognisant that some have already adopted IFRS 9 so the Board needs to try to minimise

disruption for these people.

Project	Status
IFRS 9—replacement of IAS 39 Amortised cost and impairment of financial assets	The objective is to increase the usefulness of financial statements by improving the transparency of information about the credit quality of financial assets. The main focus is the estimation and reporting of expected losses in a timely manner. This phase of the project has been developed jointly with the FASB.
	In November 2009 the IASB published for public comment an exposure draft on provisions. The proposals followed an initial <i>Request for Information</i> , published in June 2009, on the practicalities of moving to an expected loss model. Recognising the significant practical challenges of moving to an expected loss model, the IASB established an Expert Advisory Panel (EAP), in December 2009 that was made up of experts in credit risk management.
	In January 2011 the IASB published, jointly with the FASB, a supplement to the December 2009 exposure draft. The supplement presented an impairment model that the boards believed would enable them to satisfy at least part of their individual objectives for impairment accounting, while still achieving a common solution to impairment. Feedback was mixed, with many respondents preferring the IASB's simplified proposals and others preferring aspect of the FASB's original model.
	Since then, the IASB and the FASB have focused on an approach that places financial assets into three categories (or 'buckets') for the purpose of assessing expected losses, making the maximum use of credit risk management systems.
	On initial recognition, financial assets would be placed into the first category, which would have an allowance than is less than the lifetime.
	At the December 2011 meeting the Boards tentatively agreed to recognise 12 months of expected losses for these loans. The Board also tentatively agreed to transfer loans out of bucket one when there is a meaningful deterioration in credit quality and the entity would recognise the lifetime expected losses on these loans. When individual loans are clearly non-performing or distressed they would be transferred to the lowest quality category, with the focus remaining on whole of life losses.

Project	Status
IFRS 9—replacement of IAS 39 General hedge accounting	In December 2010 the IASB published proposals to revise hedge accounting, for both financial and non-financial exposures. There was strong support for the proposals, with respondents welcoming the Board's approach, namely to address hedge accounting comprehensively. The exposure draft did not address portfolio hedges. The Board expects to develop more fully its proposals related to portfolio hedging before it finalises the more general hedging requirements.
	In September 2011 the Board completed its deliberations and asked the staff to prepare a draft of the final requirements, including application guidance and a Basis for Conclusions. That draft would be made available on the IASB website for about 90 days. We expect this document to be published in January 2012. This will provide the Board with the opportunity to undertake an extended fatal flaw process and to undertake additional outreach. The Board also wishes to give the FASB the opportunity to consider the planned requirements. The Board plans to finalise the requirements once this review has been completed.
IFRS 9—replacement of IAS 39 Macro hedge accounting	The objective of this phase of the project is to address risk management strategies referring to open portfolios (macro hedging) that are not covered by the exposure draft that was issued in December 2010 for general hedge accounting. The deliberation considers the feedback received on the general hedge accounting model. The Board expects to publish an exposure draft in 2012.

Project	Status
IFRS 9—replacement of IAS 39 Offsetting of financial assets and financial liabilities	On 28 January 2011 the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) published for public comment an exposure draft (the ED) on offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities. The accounting differences in balance sheet presentation that result from whether IFRSs or US GAAP is applied result in the single largest quantitative difference in reported numbers in balance sheets prepared in accordance with IFRSs and US GAAP. This reduces the comparability of these balance sheets, and is especially prominent in the presentation of derivative assets and derivative liabilities by financial institutions. As a result, users of financial statements requested that the boards should find a common solution for offsetting these items.
	The boards proposed that offsetting should apply only when the right of set-off is enforceable at all times, including default and bankruptcy, and when the ability to exercise this right is unconditional (ie, it does not depend on a future event). The companies must intend to net settle, or simultaneously settle, the gross amounts. Provided all of these requirements were met, offsetting would be required. Responses to the ED were mixed.
	In June 2011 the IASB and FASB reached different conclusions— the IASB voted 15-0 to confirm the proposals, whereas the FASB voted 4-3 not to proceed as proposed. As a consequence, the IASB decided to complete the disclosure proposals that had been exposed and to provide additional application guidance in IAS 32 <i>Financial Instruments: Presentation</i> , to address issues identified during the redeliberations. The Board completed its deliberations in September 2011 and issued the amendments to IAS 32 and IFRS 7 <i>Financial Instruments: Disclosures</i> on 16 December 2011.

Project	Status
Financial instruments with characteristics of equity	In February 2008 the IASB published a discussion paper <i>Financial</i> <i>Instruments with Characteristics of Equity.</i> The IASB and FASB used the responses to help them develop a working draft of a proposal to replace IAS 32, which they used to undertake focused outreach. In the light of comments received, the boards decided to focus on other projects and not to publish an exposure draft in the near term as had originally been planned.
	However, in November 2010 the IASB asked the IFRS Interpretations Committee to explore potential solutions to address concerns that have been raised on the accounting for put options written over non-controlling interests.
	In September 2011 the Board considered the recommendations of the Interpretations Committee to amend the scope of IAS 32 to exclude put options over non-controlling interests. However, the Board decided that a narrower-scope amendment to IAS 32 than that proposed by the Interpretations Committee would be more appropriate. The Interpretations Committee have been asked to provide the Board with arguments in support of remeasuring the liability through net income versus equity. The Board also tentatively confirmed that the analysis should be limited to derivatives over non-controlling interests.

Project	Status
Revenue recognition	The IASB is working to replace its very general requirements that cause preparers to rely on US GAAP for specific guidance. The FASB is working to replace its wide range of detailed and sometimes inconsistent industry-specific requirements with cohesive principles.
	The IASB and FASB published a joint discussion paper in December 2008 and an exposure draft in June 2010.
	In June 2011 the boards concluded that, although their due process requirements made it clear that re-exposure was not required, they would re-expose the proposals because of the special nature of revenue.
	The re-exposed proposals constitute a new exposure draft. The Board has decided that the revised ED will have a 120-day comment period and, like any ED, will have a full Basis for Conclusions and any related application guidance and illustrative examples.
	The exposure draft was published on 14 November 2011 with comments due by 13 March 2012.
Leases	Lease obligations are widely considered a significant source of off balance sheet financing. The objective is to improve financial reporting by lessors and lessees.
	The boards published a joint exposure draft in August 2010.
	During 2011 the IASB and FASB have been considering the comments received. In July 2011 the boards decided that, although they had not completed all of their deliberations, they had sufficient information to be able to conclude that they would re-expose the proposals,
	The Board has not yet formally decided on the comment period, but the staff are recommending that it should have a 120-day comment period and, like any ED, will have a full Basis for Conclusions and any related application guidance and illustrative examples.
	The re-deliberations are substantially complete but the boards are aware of remaining concerns about the profit and loss profile for lessees. The staff are further considering this issue.
	The exposure draft is expected to be issued the second quarter of 2012.

Project	Status
Insurance contracts	The IASB is developing an IFRS to replace the interim standard, IFRS 4 <i>Insurance Contracts</i> , to provide a basis for consistent accounting for insurance contracts. The FASB joined the IASB on the project in October 2008.
	The IASB published a discussion paper in 2007 and an exposure draft in 2010. The FASB published a discussion document in 2010, but has yet to publish an exposure draft.
	In 2011 the boards began considering together the feedback received on the IASB's exposure draft and the FASB Discussion Paper.
	The IASB is considering whether to publish a revised exposure draft as its next step. This would align the IASB and FASB phases of the project. However, the boards have reached different conclusions on several important aspects of the project and are currently identifying ways to address those differences.

Narrow-scope improvements

Project	Update	
Annual Improvements	 In June 2011 the IASB published for public comment an exposure draft of seven proposed amendments to five IFRSs under its annual improvements project. The project provides a streamlined process for dealing efficiently with a collection of narrow-scope amendments to IFRSs. The comment period for those proposals closes on 21 October 2011. The Board has also been considering proposals for the next package of annual improvements. Those proposals are expected to be published in an exposure draft in January 2012. 	
IFRS 10 Transition Clarifications	In December 2012 the IASB published for public comment a proposal to clarify the transition requirements for IFRS10 <i>Consolidated Financial Statements</i> . The proposal is designed to allay fears by confirming the extent of relief be provided on transition which some had misunderstood as being more narrow than the Board intended. The exposure draft has a 90 day comment period.	

Project	Update	
Amendment to IFRS 1 (prospective application of IAS 20)	In September 2011 the Board considered a request to amend IFRS 1 <i>First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting</i> <i>Standards</i> that would allow for the prospective application of paragraph 10A of IAS 20 <i>Accounting for Government Grants and</i> <i>Disclosure of Government Assistance</i> for first-time adopters. The amendment would provide the same relief granted to existing preparers. The Board decided to propose this narrow scope amendment to IFRS 1 and the related exposure draft was published on 20 October 2011, with comments due by 5 January 2012.	
Investment entities	On 25 August 2011 the IASB published proposals that would exempt a class of entities called <i>investment entities</i> from the accounting requirements in IFRS 10 <i>Consolidated Financial</i> <i>Statements</i> .	
	Such entities would not consolidate investments in entities that they control. Instead, they would measure those investments at fair value, with any changes in fair value recognised in profit or loss. The FASB has released similar proposals.	
	The comment period for the exposure draft closes on 5 January 2012.	
	There are some differences between the IASB and FASB proposals, which the boards will highlight in their joint public roundtables.	

Agenda Consultation

Agenda Consultation	On 26 July 2011 the IASB launched its first formal public agenda consultation on its future work plan. The comment period closed on 30 November 2011.
	Through the agenda consultation the IASB is seeking input from all interested parties on the strategic direction and the broad overall balance of the work plan. The agenda consultation will provide the Board with important input when considering possible agenda items.
	The first round table is being held in Singapore on Friday 13 January 2012.

IFRS Effective Date Description IFRS 10 Consolidated On 13 May 2011 the IASB issued The new requirements are Financial Statements IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial effective for annual periods Statements, IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements beginning on or after IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements and IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in 1 January 2013, with earlier Other Entities. application permitted. IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other IFRS 10 provides a single consolidation Entities model that identifies control as the basis for consolidation for all types of entities. IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements IFRS 10 replaces IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements and IAS 28 Investments in SIC-12 Consolidation—Special Purpose Associates and Joint Entities. Ventures IFRS 11 establishes principles for the financial reporting by parties to a joint arrangement. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures and SIC-13-Jointly Controlled Entities–Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers. IFRS 12 combines, enhances and replaces the disclosure requirements for subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and unconsolidated structured entities. As a consequence of these new IFRSs, the IASB also issued an amended and retitled IAS 27 Separate Financial Statements and an amended and retitled IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures. IFRS 13 Fair Value On 13 May 2011 the IASB issued IFRS The new requirements are Measurement effective for annual periods 13 Fair Value Measurement. beginning on or after IFRS 13 defines fair value, sets out in a 1 January 2013, with earlier single IFRS a framework for measuring application permitted. fair value and requires disclosures about

IFRSs and amendments to IFRSs published in 2011

	fair value measurements. IFRS 13 applies when other IFRSs require or permit fair value measurements. It does not introduce any new requirements to measure an asset or a liability at fair value, change what is measured at fair value in IFRSs or address how to present changes in fair value.	
IAS 19 Employee Benefits	On 16 June 2011 the Board issued amendments to IAS 19 <i>Employee Benefits</i> . The amendments will improve the recognition and disclosure requirements for defined benefit plans.	The new requirements are effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013, with earlier application permitted.
IAS 1 Presentation of Items of Other Comprehensive Income	On 16 June 2011 the Board issued amendments to IAS 1 <i>Financial Statement</i> <i>Presentation.</i> These amendments improve how we present components of other comprehensive income. The FASB issued equivalent requirements on the same day.	The new IASB requirements are effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 July 2012.
IFRIC 20 Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine	On 19 October 2011 the IASB issued IFRIC 20. The Interpretation clarifies when production stripping should lead to the recognition of an asset and how that asset should be measured, both initially and in subsequent periods.	The Interpretation is effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 with earlier application permitted.
IAS 32 Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Amendments to IAS 32)	On 16 December 2011 the Board published amendments to IAS 32 <i>Financial Instruments: Presentation</i> to clarify the application of the offsetting requirements.	The new IAS 32 amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014, with earlier application permitted.
Disclosures— Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Amendments to IFRS 7)	On the same date the Board published new disclosures requirements jointly with the FASB that enable users of the financial statements to better compare financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS and US GAAP.	The new IFRS 7 requirements are effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013.

Mandatory Effective Date of IFRS 9 and Transition Disclosures (Amendments to IFRS 9 (2009), IFRS 9 (2010) and IFRS 7) On 16 December 2011 the Board deferred the mandatory effective date of IFRS 9 to 1 January 2015. The amendments also provide relief from restating comparative information and require disclosures (in IFRS 7) to enable users of financial statements to understand the effect of beginning to apply IFRS 9.