# Staff Paper

Date 24 September 2010

Project

Fair value option for financial liabilities

Topic Cover paper

# **Background**

- At the July meeting the Board discussed a paper containing a summary of
  comment letters received on the proposals in the exposure draft Fair Value
  Option for Financial Liabilities (ED). That paper also summarized the feedback
  received as a result of our outreach activities, most notably with users of
  financial statements.
- 2. At the meeting on 14 September, the Board began the re-deliberations of the proposals in the ED and made the following tentative decisions:
  - (a) For all liabilities designated under the fair value option (FVO), the effects of changes in a liability's credit risk will be required to be presented in other comprehensive income (OCI) unless such treatment would create a mismatch in profit or loss (P&L).

If such a mismatch would be created, the entire fair value change would be required to be presented in P&L.

To make that determination about mismatches, an entity would assess whether it expects that the effects of changes in the liability's credit risk will be offset in P&L by a change in the fair value of an asset.

An entity would make that determination at initial recognition and it would not be reassessed. An entity will be required to disclose the basis for its determination in the notes to the financial statements and apply it consistently.

[These tentative decisions addressed questions 1, 2, 3, and 6 in the ED]

(b) The guidance in IFRS 7 will be used for the purposes of determining the amount of change in a liability's fair value that is attributable to changes in its credit risk, with some additional examples and guidance.

This paper has been prepared by the technical staff of the IFRS Foundation for discussion at a public meeting of the IASB.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the staff preparing the paper. They do not purport to represent the views of any individual members of the IASB.

Comments made in relation to the application of an IFRS do not purport to be acceptable or unacceptable application of that IFRS—only the IFRS Interpretations Committee or the IASB can make such a determination.

The tentative decisions made by the IASB at its public meetings are reported in IASB *Update*. Official pronouncements of the IASB, including Discussion Papers, Exposure Drafts, IFRSs and Interpretations are published only after it has completed its full due process, including appropriate public consultation and formal voting procedures.

#### IASB Staff paper

[This tentative decision addressed question 8 in the ED]

### Topics for today's session

- 3. There are three papers for discussion:
  - (a) <u>Agenda paper 1A</u>—If the effects of changes in credit risk are excluded from P&L, should amounts ever be recycled into P&L?

    [Question 7 in the ED]
  - (b) <u>Agenda paper 1B</u>—If the effects of changes in credit risk are excluded from P&L, should that amount be presented in the performance statement via a one-step or two-step approach? [Questions 4 and 5 in the ED]
  - (c) Agenda paper 1C—Should the tentative decisions be applied to (i) loan commitments and (ii) financial guarantee contracts that are within the scope of IAS 39 and designated under the FVO? [a question raised by respondents to the ED]

# **Meeting on 5 October**

4. At the meeting on 5 October, we plan to discuss the effective date and transition requirements for this amendment to IFRS 9. [Questions 9 and 10 in the ED]

# Proposed timing for issuance

5. On the basis of the plan outlined above, we aim to distribute a preballot draft of these amendments to IFRS 9 during the first week of October, with an aim to finalize the amendments at the end of October.