

Project

**Insurance Contracts**

Topic

**Modified measurement approach for short-duration contracts**

---

**Objective**

1. The purpose of this paper is to discuss whether the proposed modified approach for short duration contracts is an appropriate a simplification of the building block approach. This paper also discusses the scope criteria and other provisions that relate only to the proposed modified approach, including interest accretion and the application of an onerous contract test. Some have argued these provisions complicate the model.

**Simplified vs. Different**

2. The proposed modified measurement approach is akin to the accounting model for short-duration insurance contracts in most countries (with discounting in several countries). The proposed modified measurement approach was initially developed to simplify the measurement of the preclaims liability for short-duration contracts. A preclaims liability would be measured using an unearned premium approach that is consistent with the customer consideration approach in the boards' exposure draft on revenue recognition. Theoretically, the unearned premiums represent a reasonable approximation of the present value of the fulfilment cash flows and the residual margin (and, under the FASB's preliminary views, the present value of the probability-weighted estimate of net cash flows and the composite margin).
3. As seen in the Appendix, the same insurance liability is initially recognised whether the building block approach or the modified approach is applied.
4. Under the IASB model, if there are no changes in estimates, the subsequent measurement of the insurance liability and the amounts recognised in profit or

---

This paper has been prepared by the technical staff of the IASB and the FASB for the purposes of discussion at a public meeting of the IASB working group identified in the header of this paper.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the staff preparing the paper and do not purport to represent the views of any individual members of the Boards, the IASB or the FASB.

The meeting at which this paper is discussed is a public meeting but it is not a decision-making meeting of the Boards. Official pronouncements of the IASB and FASB are published only after the Boards have completed their full due processes, including appropriate public consultation and formal voting procedures.

loss each period are the same under the two approaches. However they differ if there are changes in estimates.

5. Under the FASB model, the subsequent measurement of the insurance liability and the amounts recognised in profit or loss each period differ between the two approaches, regardless of whether the estimates change. This is because, in the modified approach, the implicit composite margin included in the preclaims liability is amortized over the period the premiums are recognised. In contrast, the composite margin included in the building block approach is amortized over the coverage and claims paying period.

#### Questions

1. Does the modified approach represent an appropriate simplification of the building block approach given the different results emerge between the two approaches as described in paragraphs 3-5?

### Other measurement proposals for short-duration contracts

#### *Interest accretion*

6. Under the IASB's proposals for the proposed modified approach, interest would be accreted on the carrying amount of the insurance contract if the effect of the time value of money is material. This proposal is consistent with the proposals in the exposure draft on revenue recognition, which would require an entity to accrete interest on the transaction price.
7. The accretion of interest reflects the fact that the entity rationally would have charged a different cash amount if the contract had stipulated earlier or later payment by the customer. Thus, accretion of interest shows the effect of the financing separately from the revenue from goods or services.
8. The FASB has not determined whether interest would be accreted on the carrying amount of the preclaims liability.

#### *Onerous contract test*

9. Under the IASB's proposals for the modified approach, a contract is considered onerous if the present value of the fulfilment cash flows (which includes the risk adjustment margin) exceeds the carrying amount of the preclaims obligation. However, several stakeholders have asked whether this quantitative onerous test

would be applied in all cases or whether a qualitative analysis could be applied first to determine whether further testing is necessary.

10. Requiring the onerous contract test increases the complexity of the modified approach. Additionally, the proposed onerous contract test has several differences from current requirements:
  - (a) It includes the risk adjustment margin in the present value of the fulfilment cash flows, increasing the likelihood that the contracts will be onerous.
  - (b) It does not include investment income in the cash inflows of the calculation. On the other hand, the cash outflows are discounted to reflect the time value of money.
  - (c) It evaluates contracts at the portfolio level by similar date of initial recognition (which some stakeholders believe differs from current guidance that groups contracts consistent with an entity's manner of acquiring, servicing, and measuring the profitability of its insurance contracts).
11. However, despite these complexities, the onerous contract test provides the following benefits:
  - (a) It results in more timely recognition of losses.
  - (b) It is consistent with current practice.
12. The FASB has not determined how the onerous test would be applied to the preclaims liability.

**Questions**

2. Do you think that the proposal to accrete interest on insurance contracts within the scope of the proposed modified approach provides useful information to stakeholders? Why or why not?
3. Do you think that the risk adjustment should be included in the onerous contract test? Why or why not?
4. At what level should contracts be aggregated for applying the onerous contract test?

**Scope**

13. Under the proposals, a modified approach would be required for preclaims liabilities arising under short-duration contracts that meet both of the following conditions:
  - (a) The coverage period of the insurance contract is approximately one year or less.
  - (b) The contract does not contain embedded options or other derivatives that significantly affect the variability of cash flows, after unbundling any embedded derivatives.
14. Accordingly, insurers would account differently for contracts that are similar in nature but have different coverage periods. For example:
  - (a) Some contracts that are currently accounted for under short-duration guidance (for example, some commercial property and liability insurance and surety bonds that have coverage periods longer than one year) would be outside the scope of the modified approach.
  - (b) Some contracts currently accounted for under a long-duration model would be accounted for under the modified approach. For example, yearly renewable term reinsurance contracts<sup>1</sup> currently are accounted for under a long-duration model. However, because the duration of these reinsurance contracts is one year, they would be within the scope of the modified approach.

---

<sup>1</sup> Yearly renewable term contracts are a form of life reinsurance under which the mortality or morbidity risks, but not the permanent plan reserves, are transferred to the reinsurer for a premium that varies each year with the amount at risk and the ages of the insureds. The amount of reinsurance, which may change annually, is generally the amount of insurance provided by the policy in excess of the primary insurer's reserve.

15. Some believe that the proposed modified approach should apply to a type of contract rather than for a specified period of coverage so that contracts that provide similar coverage would use the same measurement approach. Additionally, these stakeholders note that defining the scope of the proposed modified approach based on type of coverage has the added benefit of being consistent with requirements in most jurisdictions.

**Questions**

5. Do you think the modified approach should apply to contracts based on the duration of the coverage period or the type of insurance contract issued?
6. If the modified approach were to apply to contracts based on type of insurance contract issued, what criteria should be used?

***Permit vs. Require***

16. The Boards considered whether to permit or require the use of the modified approach for contracts within its proposed scope. They propose that use of the modified approach should be required.
17. Some state that permitting the use of the proposed modified approach, rather than requiring it, would alleviate some of the concerns about eligibility for the modified approach. Those with this view would also allow an entity that writes several types of insurance contracts and/or contracts of varying lengths to account for all of its contracts in accordance with one model (that is, the building block approach). They argue this would enhance comparability within an entity.
18. However, opponents of that view believe allowing an entity to elect the use of the modified approach would undermine comparability across entities, and consider this a more important comparison.

**Questions**

7. Do you think the modified approach should be permitted or required for contracts within its scope?

**Contract set-up**

1 year contract written on 1 Jan						1,200
Premiums receivable on first day of each month evenly throughout the year						
Ignored discounting of premiums					63%	
Ignore interest for accretion purposes					4%	750
Incremental acquisition costs are paid at inception					15%	30
No claims paid					3%	180
Assume risk adjustment is adjusted only as claims paid					19%	36
						144

**Modified Approach**

**Building Block Approach**

<u>Pre-claims obligation (PCO)</u>						
Premiums at inception	100					
PV of future premiums (ignoring discounting)	1,100					
Incremental acquisition costs	- 180					
PCO	1,020					
<u>Pre-claims liability (PCL)</u>						
PCO	- 1,020					
Less PV of future premiums	1,100					
PCL	80					
<u>Calculation of liability adequacy at inception</u>						
<u>Discounted future cash flows:</u>						
Day 1 premium	1,200					
Present value of future claims and expenses	-720					
Incremental acquisition costs	-180					
Net discounted future cash outflows	300					
<u>Risk adjustment</u>	-144					
Present value of the fulfilment cash flows (PVFCF)	156					
Therefore no day 1 loss to be recognised						

	Insurance liability recognition	Insurance liability at initial recognition	Insurance liability immediately after initial premium received
Expected cash in-flows	1,200	1,200	1,100
Expected cash out-flows	- 750	- 750	- 750
Incremental acquisition costs	- 180	- 180	- 180
Discounting	30	30	30
Composite margin	300	300	300
Risk adjustment	- 144	- 144	- 144
Residual margin	156	156	156
Insurance contract liability			80

**Journal entries on 1 Jan**

Dr	Cash	100			
Cr	Pre-claims liability		100		
	<u>Receipt of initial premium</u>				
Dr	Pre-claims liability - acqn cost offset	180			
Cr	Cash		180		
	<u>Payment of incremental acquisition costs</u>				
Dr	Expenses P&L	36			
Cr	Cash		36		
	<u>Payment of non incremental acquisition costs</u>				

**Balance sheet at 1 Jan**

<u>Assets</u>		
Cash	-116.0	-116.0
<u>Liabilities and equity</u>		
Net pre-claims liability	-80.0	-80.0
Net income for the year	-36.0	-36.0
	-116.0	-116.0

Receivable for expected future cash in flows of 1,100 is offset against the same amount for the pre-claims liability

	Both	IASB	FASB
Dr	Cash	100	200
Cr	Insurance contract liability - ECF	-	200
	<u>Receipt of initial premium and recording of PV expected cash flows plus risk adjustment and residual margin or composite margin</u>		
Dr	Insurance contract liability-acqn cost offset	180	180
Cr	Cash		180
	<u>Payment of incremental acquisition costs</u>		
Dr	Expenses P&L	36	36
Cr	Cash		36
	<u>Payment of non incremental acquisition costs</u>		

Receivable for expected future cash in flows of 1,100 is offset against the same amount for the pre-claims liability



Contract set-up	
Premiums	1,200
Loss ratio	63%
Discount rate	4%
Incremental acquisition costs	15%
Non-incremental acquisition costs	3%
Risk adjustment	19%

Modified Approach		Building Block A approach	
Pre-claims obligation (PCO)	100	Insurance liability immediately after initial premium received	1,100
Premiums at inception	1,100	Insurance liability at initial recognition	750
PV of future premiums (ignoring discounting)	180		180
Incremental acquisition costs	-1,020		30
PCO			
Pre-claims liability (PCL)	-1,020	Composite margin	300
PCO	1,100	Risk adjustment	144
Less PV of future premiums	1,100	Residual margin	156
PCL	80	Insurance contract liability	80

Calculation of onerous contract test at inception

Discounted future cash flows:

Day 1 premium	1,200
Present value of future claims and expenses	-720
Incremental acquisition costs	-180
Net discounted future cash outflows	300
Risk adjustment	-144
Present value of the fulfilment cash flows (PVFCF)	156

Therefore no day 1 loss to be recognised

Journal entries on 1 Jan

	Both	IASB	FASB
Dr. Cash	100		
Cr. Pre-claims liability		100	
Receipt of initial premium			
Dr. Pre-claims liability - acqn cost offset	180		
Cr. Cash		180	
Payment of incremental acquisition costs			
Dr. Expenses P&L	36		
Cr. Cash		36	
Payment of non incremental acquisition costs			
Dr. Insurance contract liability- ECF		200	200
Cr. Insurance contract liability - Risk Adj.		144	
Dr. Insurance contract liability - RM/CM		156	300
Cr. Cash	180		

Balance sheet at 1 Jan

Assets	Liabilities and equity
Cash	Insurance contracts liability-ECF
-116.0	-380.0
-116.0	Insurance contracts liability-Risk Adj
	144.0
	Insurance contracts liability-RM/CM
	156.0
	Net income for the year
	-36.0
	-116.0

Receivable for expected future cash in flows of 1,100 is offset against the pre-claims liability



Journal entries at 30 June

Dr	Cash	500	Dr	Cash	500
Cr	Pre-claims liability		Cr	Insurance contract liability	
	Receipt of premiums for Feb-June	600		Receipt of premiums for Feb-June	600
Dr	Pre-claims liability	600	Dr	Insurance contract liability-amort of RM	78
Cr	Premium revenue P&L		Cr	Riskual Margin - P&L	78
	Recognition of premium revenue for first 6 months	90		Amortization of Residual Margin	92
Dr	Amortisation of incremental acquisition costs P&L	360	Dr	Insurance contract liability-amort of CM	0
Cr	Pre-claims liability (Acquisition cost offset)		Cr	Composite Margin - P&L	300
	Amortisation of incremental acq. Costs	72		Amortization of Composite Margin	1,950
Dr	Claims and benefits expenses - P&L				31%
Cr	Claims liability				92
	Establishment of claim liability under building blocks for post-claims period	384			156
Dr	Claims and benefits expenses - P&L				50%
Cr	Claims liability - risk adjustment				78
	Establishment of risk adjustment for post-claims period	384			

<b>IASB only</b>					
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash	384				384
	<u>384</u>				<u>384</u>
<b>Liabilities and equity</b>					
Net pre-claims liability	-90				
Claims liability - expected cash flow	360				360
Claims liability - risk adjustment	72				120
	<u>42</u>				<u>144</u>
Net income for the year	384				208
	<u>384</u>				<u>384</u>
<b>Change in assumptions at 30 June</b>					
Assume less ratio increases					
Assume risk adjustment is not impacted					
Calculation of onerous contract test after change in assumption					
Discounted future cash flows:					
Expected premiums	1,200				1,200
Present value of future claims and expenses	- 186				- 850
Incremental acquisition costs	- 204				- 34
Net discounted future cash outflows	- 144				
Risk adjustment	60				600
Present value of the fulfilment cash flows (PVFCF)					1,200
Therefore no additional loss to be recognised					850
					<u>29%</u>
					<u>68</u>

Additional Journal entries at 30 June

Dr	Claims and benefits expenses - P&L	48	Dr	Experience adjustment - P&L	96
Cr	Claims liability		Cr	Insurance contract liability	
	Establishment of additional claim liability for change in assumptions	48		Establishment of additional insurance contract liability for change in assumptions	96
			Dr	Insurance contract liability-amort of CM	4
			Cr	Composite Margin - P&L	4
				Amortisation of Composite Margin adjustment	

<b>Balance sheet at 30 June - Adjusted</b>					
<b>Assets</b>					
Cash	384				384
	<u>384</u>				<u>384</u>
<b>Liabilities and equity</b>					
Net pre-claims liability	-90				
Claims liability - expected cash flow	408				408
Claims liability - risk adjustment	72				216
	<u>-6</u>				<u>144</u>
Net income for the year	384				212
	<u>384</u>				<u>44</u>
					<u>384</u>

Journal entries at 31 Dec

Dr	Cash	600	600	Dr	Cash	600	600
Cr	Pre-claims liability			Cr	Insurance contract liability		
Cr	Receipt of premiums for July-Dec	600		Cr	Receipt of premiums for July-Dec		
Dr	Pre-claims liability	600		Dr	Insurance contract liability-amort of RM	78	78
Cr	Premium revenue P&L		600	Cr	Residual Margin - P&L		
Dr	Recognition of premium revenue for second 6 months			Cr	Amortisation of Residual Margin	88	88
Dr	Amortisation of incremental acquisition costs P&L	90		Dr	Insurance contract liability-amort of CM		
Cr	Pre-claims liability (Acquisition cost offset)		90	Cr	Composite Margin - P&L		
Dr	Amortisation of incremental acq. Costs	408		Cr	Amortisation of Composite Margin		300
Dr	Claims and benefits expenses - P&L		408				
Cr	Claims liability						
Dr	Establishment of claim liability under building blocks for post-claims period					1,200	0
Dr	Claims and benefits expenses - P&L	72				1,200	1,200
Cr	Claims liability - risk adjustment		72			850	2,050
Dr	Establishment of risk adjustment for post-claims period						
							59%
							176
							156
							100%
							156

Balance sheet at 31 Dec

Assets		984	984
Cash		984	984
Liabilities and equity			
Net pre-claims liability		0	
Claims liability-ECF	IASB	816	FASB
Claims liability - risk adjustment		144	
Net income for the year		24	
		984	984

Assets		984	984
Cash		984	984
Liabilities and equity			
Insurance contract liability-ECF	IASB	816	FASB
Insurance contract liability - risk adjustment		144	
Insurance contract liability-RM/CM		0	
Net income for the year		24	
		984	984