
Project	IFRS 2 <i>Share-based Payment</i>
Topic	Addendum to paper 2 Vesting and non-vesting conditions

Purpose of this paper

1. The purpose of this paper is to provide the summary of inputs from large global accounting firms on the priorities of the issues identified in Agenda paper 2.
2. Specifically, this paper provides the details for paragraphs 26 and 27 of Agenda paper 2.

Background

3. The staff had requested the large global accounting firms to provide inputs in prioritising the issues in terms of:
 - (a) how common and widespread each issue is in practice;
 - (b) to what extent each issue results in diversity of practice; and
 - (c) which issue(s) is(are) considered to be of higher urgency to be addressed.
4. A total of five firms responded to the request.

Summary of responses

Degree to which issues are common and widespread

5. Most of respondents observe that Issue 6 is common and many indicated that Issues 3 and 4 are also common.

This paper has been prepared by the technical staff of the IASCF for discussion at a public meeting of the IFRS Interpretations Committee.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the staff preparing the paper. They do not purport to represent the views of any individual members of the IFRS Interpretations Committee or the IASB. Comments made in relation to the application of an IFRS do not purport to be acceptable or unacceptable application of that IFRS—only the IFRS Interpretations Committee or the IASB can make such a determination.

Decisions made by the IFRS Interpretations Committee are reported in *IFRIC Update*.

Interpretations are published only after the IFRS Interpretations Committee and the Board have each completed their full due process, including appropriate public consultation and formal voting procedures. The approval of an Interpretation by the Board is reported in *IASB Update*.

IASB Staff paper

6. While some indicate that Issues 1, 2 and 5 are common, others argue that those issues are less or not common.
7. There is no specific comment on Issue 2A except for a response indicating that it is not common.

Diversity

8. Most of respondents observe that Issues 2 and 4 have or are likely to have diversity in practice.
9. While some indicate that Issues 3, 5 and 6 have or are likely to have diversity in practice, others argue against this.
10. Most of respondents do not think that there is diversity in practice regarding Issue 1.
11. There is no specific comment on Issue 2A except for a response indicating that it might have diversity.

Urgency

12. Most of respondents believe that Issues 3, 4 and 6 are urgent.
13. While some indicate that Issues 2 and 5 are urgent, others argue that those issues are not urgent.
14. Most of respondents think that Issue 1 is not urgent.
15. There is no specific comment on Issue 2A.

Staff view

16. Apart from the inputs, staff view on priority is provided in paragraphs 28-31 of Agenda paper 2.