

IASB/FASB Meeting December 2009

IASB agenda reference FASB memo

reference

5E

77

Project

Financial instruments with characteristics of equity

Presentation of physically-settled forward purchase contracts and physically-settled written put options

Topic

Objective

- 1. At the December 2009 joint Board meeting we will ask the Boards whether physically settled forward purchase contracts and physically settled written put options should be reported on the statement of financial position as either:
 - (a) Gross (as a liability for the future payment and an offsetting debit to equity); or
 - (b) Net (in the same manner as other derivatives).
- 2. In June 2009 both Boards decided that instruments should be presented net with changes in income (consistent with other derivatives).
- 3. Appendix A provides examples and journal entries illustrating both presentations.

Summary of the Two Approaches

Gross presentation

4. Gross presentation would require the present value of the forward repurchase price or option exercise price to be reported as a liability with an offsetting debit to equity. That liability would be subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method. If the price is fixed, the contract appears as shares repurchased at inception financed by a fixed-rate loan for the term of the contract. In the case of a

This paper has been prepared by the technical staff of the FASB and the IASCF for discussion at a public meeting of the FASB or the IASB.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the staff preparing the paper. They do not purport to represent the views of any individual members of the FASB or the IASB.

Comments made in relation to the application of IFRSs or U.S. GAAP do not purport to be acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRSs or U.S. GAAP.

The tentative decisions made by the FASB or the IASB at public meetings are reported in FASB *Action Alert* or in IASB *Update*. Official pronouncements of the FASB or the IASB are published only after each board has completed its full due process, including appropriate public consultation and formal voting procedures.

- varying price contract (for example, a forward to repurchase shares at fair value), the contract appears to be financed by debt indexed to the issuer's share price.
- 5. The offsetting debit to equity could be treated as either a reduction in shares outstanding or as a contra-equity account. The difference has significant implications for the calculation of diluted earnings per share (EPS).
- 6. Some think that treating the debit as a reduction in shares outstanding would be consistent with the treatment of the liability. The underlying shares would be treated as if the holder had returned them at the inception of the contract.
- 7. Others view the offsetting debit as a contra-equity. The debit in equity is a right of the entity to receive its own shares (shares receivable). Shares receivable would be classified as contra-equity instead of an asset because the shares will not be assets when the entity holds them. Even though net equity decreases, the number of shares outstanding would remain unchanged.
- 8. Forward purchase contracts and written put options are currently reported gross under IAS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation*. ¹ Topic 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (originally issued as FASB Statement No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*) requires gross presentation for physically settled forward purchase contracts but not for written put options.

Net presentation

9. Net presentation would require physically settled forward repurchase contracts and physically settled written put options to be accounted for as a derivative under Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging (originally issued as FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities) or IAS 39/IFRS 9.

¹ The IAS 32 gross presentation requirements are argued as much on the basis of consistency of accounting treatment with other situations as anything else. A liability is recognised for obligations that are conditional on events or choices that are beyond the entity's control (unless it has specified features) and measured at the full amount of the conditional obligation.

They would be remeasured at fair value with changes in earnings unless they are liabilities under IAS 39 and the shares to be received have no quoted market prices.

Staff Analysis

Gross presentation

- 10. Proponents of gross presentation have provided the following reasoning for their view:
 - (a) The entity cannot avoid settlement for *the entire redemption amount* in cash, or another financial asset, unless the counterparty decides not to exercise its redemption right. The gross presentation fittingly recognises a liability for this amount. Also, gross presentation addresses concerns that the entity's transfer of cash reduces net assets for the entire amount of the cash outflow unlike other derivatives that involve receipt of assets.
 - (b) It reduces structuring opportunities. For example, an entity may issue shares for cash and simultaneously issue a physically settled forward purchase contract for the same shares. The end result is expected to be very similar to the issuance of debt.
- 11. Some supporters of gross presentation have stated that the fair value changes reflect a shift in the value between different classes of equity holders and not the performance of the entity. Gross presentation is closer to their preferred approach, which would be to report those contracts net, classify them in equity, and remeasure them at fair value with changes in another equity account. Those supporters acknowledge that their preferred approach is inconsistent with earlier decisions and have not asked for a comprehensive reconsideration. For completeness, Appendix A provides an example of this approach labelled "equity presentation" but the staff does not plan to discuss it further.

Net presentation

- 12. Proponents of net presentation have provided the following reasoning for their view:
 - (a) The entity has a liability for the entire redemption amount only on receipt of the shares. Gross presentation requires those derivatives to be treated as if part of the exchange had already occurred.
 - (b) Gross presentation may reduce structuring opportunities. However, the following are also of concern:
 - (i) Introducing specific requirements to minimise structuring will increase complexity. In this case, it results in inconsistency with the treatment of other derivatives and would negate the previous decision to separate instruments redeemable at the option of the holder or on the occurrence of events outside the control of the issuer. The effect of presenting a put option gross would have the same effect on total liabilities as classifying the entire redeemable instrument as a liability.
 - (ii) A linkage requirement would be a more effective way to deal with structuring. Arrangements such as physically settled derivatives and the related shares could be combined and accounted for as a single instrument. Linkage requirements will be discussed Agenda Paper 5F/Memo 78.
 - (c) Reporting the effects of the issuer's share price in net income is an issue that affects other contracts and that should be addressed through income statement presentation and/or by requiring additional disclosures.
 - (d) Some are concerned with the period over which interest should be accreted when the exercise date of a put is not fixed. Any estimate of when the put is to be exercised is likely not to coincide with when the put is actually exercised. Exercising the put at a date earlier than expected would create extinguishment gains and losses that are difficult to explain. Exercising the put at a date later than expected would result in a period with no interest accretion, which is also difficult to explain.

Staff Recommendation

13. Forward contracts to repurchase an issuer's own shares and written put options should be reported net and measured at fair value through net income, primarily based on the reasoning discussed in paragraph 12 of this memo. Additionally, we think that many entities are indifferent to physical or net-share settling and those entities would have the ability to choose the settlement alternative that provides the accounting treatment they prefer.

Questions

- Q1. Should physically settled forward purchase contracts and physically settled written put options be presented:
 - (a) Gross and measured at the present value of the redemption amount; or
 - (b) Net (consistent with other derivatives)?
- Q2. If the Boards choose gross presentation, should the related debit be treated as (a) a reduction outstanding shares or (b) contra-equity for the purposes of calculating diluted EPS? (See paragraphs 5–7.)
- Q3. If the Boards choose gross presentation, how should instruments redeemable at the option of the holder or on contingent events outside the control of the issuer (referred to as puttables in IAS 32) be treated:
 - (a) Separated into a derivative (to be presented net) and an equity component;
 - (b) Separated into a derivative (to be presented gross in the same manner as if it were freestanding) and another equity component; or
 - (c) As a financial liability in its entirety?

(See paragraph 12(b)(i))

Appendix A: Examples contrasting the gross versus net presentation approach

Example 1: Forward to buy shares ('gross physical settlement')

A1. This example illustrates the journal entries for forward purchase contracts on an entity's own shares. The contract will be settled by delivering cash in exchange for shares. To simplify the illustration, it is assumed that no dividends are paid on the underlying shares (ie the 'carry return' is zero) so that the present value of the forward price equals the spot price when the fair value of the forward contract is zero. The fair value of the forward has been computed as the difference between the market share price and the present value of the fixed forward price.

Assumptions:

•	
Contract date	1 February 20X2
Maturity date	31 January 20X3
Year-end reporting date	31 December 20X2
Market price per share on 1 February 20X2	CU100
Market price per share on 31 December 20X2	CU110
Market price per share on 31 January 20X3	CU106
Fixed forward price to be paid on 31 January 20X3	CU104
Present value of forward price on 1 February 20X2	CU100
Number of shares under forward contract	1,000
Fair value of forward on 1 February 20X2	CU0
Fair value of forward on 31 December 20X2	CU6,300
Fair value of forward on 31 January 20X3	CU2,000
Issued capital and reserves on 1 February 20X2	CU300,000
Other assets on 1 February 20X2	CU300,000
Total liabilities on 1 February 20X2	CU0
Profit/loss for year ending 31 December 20X2 (before derivative activity)	CU50,000
Profit/loss for the month ending 31 January 20X3 (before derivative activity)	CU10,000

A2. On 1 February 20X2, Entity A enters into a contract with Entity B to receive the fair value of 1,000 of Entity A's own outstanding ordinary shares as of 31 January 20X3 in exchange for a payment of CU104,000 in cash (ie CU104 per share) on 31 January 20X3. Settlement will be made by delivering a fixed amount of cash and receiving a fixed number of Entity A's shares. The price per share that Entity A will pay in one year is fixed at CU104. Accordingly, Entity A has an obligation to pay CU104,000 in cash to Entity B (CU104 × 1,000) and Entity B has an obligation to deliver 1,000 of Entity A's outstanding shares to Entity A in one year. Entity A records the following journal entries.

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	Equity presentation
1 February 20X2	1 February 20X2	1 February 20X2
Dr Equity CU100,000		
Cr Liability CU100,000		
To record the obligation to deliver CU104,000 in one year at its present value of CU100,000 discounted using an appropriate interest rate (see IAS 39, paragraph AG64).	No entry is required because the fair value of the derivative is zero and no cash is paid or received	No entry is required because the fair value of the derivative is zero and no cash is paid or received

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	Equity presentation
31 December 20X2	31 December 20X2	31 December 20X2
Dr Interest expense CU3,660	Dr Forward asset CU6,300	Dr Equity - forward CU6,300
Cr Liability CU3,660	Cr Gain CU6,300	Cr Other equity CU6,300
To accrue interest in accordance with the effective interest method on the liability for the share redemption amount.	To record the increase in the fair value of the forward contract	To record the increase in the fair value of the forward contract

A3. The financial statements as of 31 December 20X2 year end. The following financial statements have been simplified to highlight the differences between the different methods.

Income Statement 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Profit/loss for year Interest expense on	50,000	50,000	50,000
forward contract	(3,660)	-	-
Gain on forward contract		6,300	-
Net Profit/Loss	46,340	56,300	50,000

Statement of Changes in Equity 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation	
	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Forward contract
Beginning balance	300,000	300,000	300,000	-
Net profit/loss for year	46,340	56,300	50,000	-
Transfer from forward contract	-	-	6,300	(6,300)
Buy-back of ordinary shares	(100,000)	-	-	-
Ending balance	246,340	356,300	356,300	(6,300)

Balance Sheet 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Assets			
Forward asset	-	6,300	-
Other assets	350,000	350,000	350,000
Total Assets	350,000	356,300	350,000
Total Liabilities	103,660	-	-
Equity			
Issued capital and reserves	246,340	356,300	356,300
Forward contract		-	(6,300)
Total Liabilities & Equity	350,000	356,300	350,000

Total Equity CU350,000

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	oach Equity presentation	
31 January 20X3	31 January 20X3	31 January 20X3	
Dr Interest expense CU340	Dr Loss CU4,300	Dr Other equity CU4,300	
Cr Liability CU340	Cr Forward asset CU4,300	Cr Equity - forward CU4,300	
To accrue interest in accordance with the effective interest method on the liability for the share redemption amount.	To record the decrease in the fair value of the forward contract (ie $CU4,300 = CU6,300 - CU2,000$).	To record the decrease in the fair value of the forward contract (ie $CU4,300 = CU6,300 - CU2,000$).	

A4. Entity A delivers CU104,000 in cash to Entity B and Entity B delivers 1,000 of Entity A's shares to Entity A.

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	Equity presentation		
31 January 20X3	31 January 20X3	31 January 20X3		
Dr Liability CU104,000	Dr Equity CU106,000	Dr Other equity CU106,000		
Cr Cash CU104,000	Cr Forward asset CU2,000	Cr Equity - forward CU2,000		
	Cr Cash CU104,000	Cr Cash CU104,000		
To record the settlement of the obligation to redeem Entity A's own shares for cash.	To record the settlement of the forward contract.	To record the settlement of the forward contract.		

A5. The financial statements as of 31 January 20X3 after settlement. The following financial statements have been simplified to highlight the differences between the different methods.

Income Statement 31 January, 20X3	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Profit/loss for year Interest expense on	10,000	10,000	10,000
forward contract	(340)	-	-
Loss on forward contract		(4,300)	-
Net Profit/Loss	9,660	5,700	10,000

Statement of Changes in Equity 31 January, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation	
	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Forward contract
Beginning balance	246,340	356,300	356,300	(6,300)
Net profit/loss for year	9,660	5,700	10,000	-
Transfer to forward contract	-	-	(4,300)	4,300
Buy-back of ordinary shares		(106,000)	(106,000)	2,000
Ending balance	256,000	256,000	256,000	-

Balance Sheet 31 January, 20X3	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Assets			
Forward asset	-	-	-
Other assets	256,000	256,000	256,000
Total Assets	256,000	256,000	256,000
Total Liabilities		-	-
Equity			
Issued capital and reserves Forward contract	256,000	256,000	256,000
Total Liabilities & Equity	256,000	256,000	256,000

Total Equity CU256,000

Example 2: Written put option on shares ('gross physical settlement')

A6. This example illustrates the journal entries for a written put option on the entity's own shares that will be settled by delivering cash in exchange for shares.

Assumptions:	
Contract date	1 February 20X2
Exercise date	31 January 20X3
	(European terms, ie it can be exercised only at maturity)
Exercise right holder	Counterparty (Entity B)
Market price per share on 1 February 20X2	CU100
Market price per share on 31 December 20X2	CU95
Market price per share on 31 January 20X3	CU95
Fixed exercise price to be paid on 31 January 20X3	CU98
Present value of exercise price on 1 February 20X2	CU95
Number of shares under option contract	1,000
Fair value of option on 1 February 20X2	CU5,000
Fair value of option on 31 December 20X2	CU4,000
Fair value of option on 31 January 20X3	CU3,000
Issued capital and reserves on 1 February 20X2	CU300,000
Other assets on 1 February 20X2	CU300,000
Total liabilities on 1 February 20X2	CU0
Profit/loss for year ending 31 December 20X2 (before	derivative activity) CU50,000
Profit/loss for the month ending 31 January 20X3 (bef	Fore derivative activity) CU10,000

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	Equity presentation	
1 February 20X2	1 February 20X2	1 February 20X2	
Dr Cash CU5,000	Dr Cash CU5,000	Dr Cash CU5,000	
Cr Equity CU5,000	Cr Put option liability CU5,000	Cr Equity - option CU5,000	
To recognise the option premium received of CU5,000 in equity.	To recognise the option premium received of CU5,000.	To recognise the option premium received of CU5,000.	
Dr Equity CU95,000			
Cr Liability CU95,000			
To recognise the present value of the obligation to deliver CU98,000 in one year, ie CU95,000, as a liability.			

Gross presentation approach Net presentation approach		Equity presentation	
31 December 20X2	31 December 20X2	31 December 20X2	
Dr Interest expense CU2,750	Dr Put option liability CU1,000	Dr Equity - option CU1,000	
Cr Liability CU2,750	Cr Gain CU1,000	Cr Other equity CU1,000	
To accrue interest in accordance with the effective interest method on the liability for the share redemption amount.	To record the decrease in the fair value of the put option.	To record the decrease in the fair value of the put option.	

A7. The financial statements as of 31 December 20X2 year end. The following financial statements have been simplified to highlight the differences between the different methods.

Income Statement 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation	
Profit/loss for year	50,000	50,000	50,000	
Interest expense	(2,750)	-	-	
Gain on put option		1,000	-	
Net Profit/Loss	47,250	51,000	50,000	

Statement of Changes in Equity 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation	
	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Issued capital and reserves	Put option
Beginning balance	300,000	300,000	300,000	-
Net profit/loss for year	47,250	51,000	50,000	-
Put option (transfer)	-	-	1,000	4,000
Buy-back of ordinary shares	(95,000)	-	-	_
Ending Balance	257,250	351,000	351,000	4,000

Total Equity CU355,000

Balance Sheet 31 December, 20X2	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Other assets	355,000	355,000	355,000
Total assets	355,000	355,000	355,000
Total liabilities Equity	97,750	4,000	-
Issued capital and reserves	257,250	351,000	351,000
Put option		-	4,000
Total liabilities & equity	355,000	355,000	355,000

A8. On the same day, Entity B exercises the put option and the contract is settled gross. Entity A has an obligation to deliver CU98,000 in cash to Entity B in exchange for CU95,000 worth of shares (CU95 \times 1,000).

Gross presentation approach	Net presentation approach	Equity presentation	
31 January 20X3 31 January 20X3		31 January 20X3	
Dr Interest expense CU250	Dr Put option liability CU1,000	Dr Equity - option CU1,000	
Cr Liability CU250	Cr Gain CU1,000	Cr Other Equity CU1,000	
To accrue interest in accordance with the effective interest method on the liability for the share redemption amount. To record the decrease in the fair value of the put option.		To record the decrease in the fair value of the put option.	

Gross presentation approach Net presenta		Net presentation approach	Net presentation approach		
31 January 20X3	31 January 20X3 31 January 20X3		31 January 20X3		
Dr Liability CU98,0	000	Dr Equity	CU95,000	Dr Other Equity	CU95,000
Cr Cash	CU98,000	Dr Put option liability CU3,000		Dr Equity - option	CU3,000
		Cr Cash	CU98,000	Cr Cash	CU98,000
To record the settlement of the option contract. To record the settlement of the option contract.		To record the settlement of	of the option contract.		

A9. The financial statements as of 31 January 20X3 after settlement. The following financial statements have been simplified to highlight the differences between the different methods.

Income Statement 31 January, 20X3	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation	
Profit/loss for year	10,000	10,000	10,000	
Interest expense	(250)	-	-	
Gain on put option		1,000	-	
Net Profit/Loss	9,750	11,000	10,000	

Balance Sheet 31 January, 20X3	Gross Presentation	Net Presentation	Equity presentation
Other assets	267,000	267,000	267,000
Total assets	267,000	267,000	267,000
Total liabilities Equity	-	-	-
Issued capital and reserves	267,000	267,000	267,000
Put option		-	-
Total liabilities & equity	267,000	267,000	267,000

Statement of Changes in Equity 31 January, 20X3	Gross Presentation Issued capital and reserves	Net Presentation Issued capital and reserves	Equity pres	sentation Put option
Beginning balance	257,250	351,000	351,000	4,000
Net profit/loss for year	9,750	11,000	10,000	-
Transfer from put option	-	-	1,000	(1,000)
Put option sale	-	-	-	-
Buy-back of ordinary shares	-	(95,000)	(95,000)	(3,000)
Ending Balance	267,000	267,000	267,000	-

Total Equity CU267,000