

30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, England Phone: +44 (0)20 7246 6410, Fax: +44 (0)20 7246 6411 Email: iasb@iasb.org Website: http://www.iasb.org

This document is provided as a convenience to observers at the Analyst Representative Group meeting, to assist them in following the discussions. It does not represent an official position of the IASB. Board positions are set out in Standards.

Note: These notes are based on the staff papers prepared for the ARG meeting. Paragraph numbers correspond to paragraph numbers used in the ARG agenda paper.

INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

ARG Meeting: June 2008, London

Project: Selected questions from the Discussion Paper Preliminary Views on

Amendments to IAS 19 Employee Benefits

(Agenda Paper 5)

Ouestion 1

Given the objective of the IASB project to address specific issues in a limited time frame, are there additional issues which you think should be addressed by the Board as part of this project? If so, why do you regard these issues as a matter of priority?

Ouestion 3

- (a) Which approach to the presentation of changes in defined benefit costs provides the most useful information to users of financial statements? Why?
- (b) In assessing the usefulness of information to users, what importance do you attach to each of the following factors, and why:
- (i) presentation of some components of defined benefit cost in other comprehensive income; and
- (ii) disaggregation of information about fair value?
- (c) What would be the difficulties in applying each of the presentation approaches?

Ouestion 4

(a) How could the Board improve the approaches discussed in this paper to provide more useful information to users of financial statements?

(b) Please explain any alternative approach to presentation that provides more useful information to users of financial statements. In what way does your approach provide more useful information to users of financial statements?

Question 5

Do you agree that the Board has identified the appropriate promises to be addressed in the scope of this project? If not, which promises should be included or excluded from the scope of the project, and why?

Question 9

- (a) Are there alternative measurement approaches that better meet the measurement objectives described in this paper? Please describe the approaches and explain how they better meet the measurement objectives.
- (b) To what extent should the effect of risk be included as a component of the measurement approach at this stage of the Board's post-employment benefit promises project? How should this be done?

Question 11

- (a) What level of disaggregation of information about changes in the liability for contribution-based promises is useful to users of financial statements? Why?
- (b) Do you agree that it is difficult to disaggregate changes in the contribution-based promise liability into components similar to those required for defined benefit promises? If not, why not?

Question 12

Should changes in the liability for contribution-based promises:

- (a) be presented in profit or loss, along with all changes in the value of any plan assets; or
- (b) mirror the presentation of changes in the liability for defined benefit promises (see Chapter 3)? Why?