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## INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

## Board Meeting: 25 January 2007, London

Project: Insurance contracts (phase II)
Subject: Policyholder participation rights - example (Agenda paper 10B)

## Purpose of this paper

1. The Board has tentatively concluded that an insurer should classify the participating component of a participating contract as a liability only to the extent that the insurer has an enforceable obligation. This paper illustrates how that conclusion affects the presentation of an insurer's financial statements. This example was previously in Agenda paper 12F for the IASB meeting in September 2006. This paper does not include recommendations.

## Fact pattern for the example

2. As always, we have simplified facts to restrict the example to the most relevant features for the question we are considering. As a result, the example is artificial. Insurer A issues participating insurance contracts, with the following features:
(a) Each policyholder pays a single premium of CU 1,000 on 1 January.
(b) If the policyholder dies in the next two years, the contract pays a death benefit of CU 20,000.
(c) The contract ends after two years. If the policyholder survives to the end of the second year, there is no fixed maturity benefit, but the policyholder is eligible to receive a dividend if the insurer declares one. The insurer has typically paid policyholder dividends of around $90 \%$ of the surplus attributable to maturing contracts and, at the same time paid a dividend of around $10 \%$ of that surplus to shareholders. The insurer expects this practice to continue for the foreseeable future. However, the insurer believes it has no enforceable legal or constructive obligation to pay any benefit whatsoever to policyholders or shareholders.
(d) The insurer issues 1,000 contracts on each of 1 January x2, 1 January $x 3$ and 1 January x5. On 1 January x4, the insurer issues 1,800 contracts.
(e) $1 \%$ of the original number of policyholders die each year. For example, for contracts that started on 1 January x2, 10 policyholders die in x 2 and 10 die in x3. For contracts that started on 1 January $\mathrm{x} 4,18$ policyholders die in x 4 and 18 die in $x 5$.
(f) There are no lapses, acquisition costs, running costs, taxes, or differences between actual experience and previous estimates. Investment returns and risk margins are ignored.
3. The following tables summarise the insurer's balance sheet, income statement and cash flows, applying the Board's tentative decisions (all figures in CU'000):

Balance sheet

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 800 | 1,440 | 800 |
| Policyholder liabilities | $(200)$ | $(360)$ | $(200)$ |
| Equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

Income statement

|  | $x$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,000 |
| Policyholder benefits | $(400)$ | $(720)$ | $(400)$ |
| Policyholder dividends | $(540)$ | $(540)$ | $(972)$ |
| Profit | 60 | 540 | $(372)$ |

## Changes in equity

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opening equity | 600 | 600 | 1,080 |
| Profit | 60 | 540 | $(372)$ |
| Shareholder dividends | $(60)$ | $(60)$ | $(108)$ |
| Closing equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

## Cash flow statement

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Premiums | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,000 |
| Death benefits | $(400)$ | $(560)$ | $(560)$ |
| Policyholder dividends | $(540)$ | $(540)$ | $(972)$ |
| Shareholder dividends | $(60)$ | $(60)$ | $(108)$ |
| Net cash inflow (outflow) | 0 | 640 | $(640)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Opening cash | 800 | 800 | 1,440 |
| Closing cash | 800 | 1,440 | 800 |

4. There are two striking things about this example:
(a) Insurer A collects premiums in the first year of the contract but expects to pay some of the premiums back to policyholders in the second year. Nevertheless, insurer A does not recognise as a liability the dividends it expects to pay to policyholders.
(b) Insurer A recognises profits in one period, followed by losses in another period. These effects would balance out in aggregate if the insurer is in a
steady state. However, if the insurer is contracting, or expanding, or fluctuating in size, the effects will not balance out.
5. We can see these effects most clearly by looking at the contracts issued in x4. For these contracts:
(a) Insurer A collects CU 1,800 at the start of x 4 , pays benefits of CU 360 in each of $x 4$ and $x 5$ and repays CU 972 to policyholders at the end of $x 4$. This leaves CU 108 available as a dividend for shareholders. At the end of $x 4$, although insurer A expects to pay policyholders CU 1,332 (death benefits of CU 360 plus dividends of CU 972) it recognises a liability of only CU 360.
(b) In $x 4$, insurer A recognises revenue of CU 1,800 and expenses of CU 720 (actual death benefits of CU 360 for x 4 and expected death benefits of CU 360 for x 5 ), leading to a profit of CU 1,080 from these contracts. In x 5 , insurer A recognises a loss of CU 972 on these contracts. The profit of CU 1,080 in $x 4$ comprises the CU 972 that insurer A expects to return to policyholders in x 5 and CU 108 profit for the shareholders.
6. The appendix to this paper illustrates how this example would look using three alternative approaches that the Board rejected in March 2006:
(a) Highlight, on the face of the balance sheet and income statement, the portion of equity and profit that is expected to be returned ultimately to policyholders.
(b) Use split accounting, similar to IAS 32's treatment of compound instruments, such as convertible debt.
(c) Include in the measurement of the liability all cash flows that are expected to go ultimately to current or future policyholders.

## Appendix

Example - other methods

## Alternative method 1: Same as the Board's tentative conclusions, but highlight policyholder equity and policyholder profit

## Balance sheet

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 800 | 1,440 | 800 |
| Policyholder liabilities | $(200)$ | $(360)$ | $(200)$ |
| Equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

## Analysis of equity on the face

| Policyholder equity | 540 | 972 | 540 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shareholder equity | 60 | 108 | 60 |
|  | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

## Income statement

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,000 |
| Policyholder benefits | $(400)$ | $(720)$ | $(400)$ |
| Policyholder dividends | $(540)$ | $(540)$ | $(972)$ |
| Profit | 60 | 540 | $(372)$ |

Analysis of profit on the face
Policyholder profit

| - | 432 | $(432)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | 108 | 60 |
| 60 | 540 | $(372)$ |

Notes:

1. This approach is an extension of the approach that the Board has tentatively adopted. It provides analysis, on the face of the balance sheet and income statement, of the equity and profit. In all other respects, the Board's tentative conclusions are consistent with this approach.
2. Policyholder profit in $x 4$ is the policyholder equity at the end of $x 4$ (CU 972), less the policyholder dividend of CU 540.
3. Shareholder profit is higher in x 4 than in x 3 and x 5 because more contracts were issued. In this example, risk margins were excluded for simplicity, and the time value of money was ignored. As a result, in this artificial example, all shareholder profit is recognised in the first year.

## Changes in equity

Opening equity

| $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 600 | 600 | 1,080 |


| Profit | 60 | 540 | $(372)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shareholder dividends | $(60)$ | $(60)$ | $(108)$ |
| Closing equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

## Alternative method 2: classify expected policyholders dividends as a liability

| Balance sheet |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| Cash | 800 | 1,440 | 800 |
| Policyholder liabilities: |  |  |  |
| guaranteed benefits | $(200)$ | $(360)$ | $(200)$ |
| Policyholder liabilities: |  |  |  |
| participation benefits | $(540)$ | $(972)$ | $(540)$ |
|  | 60 | 108 | 60 |

## Income statement

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue | 1,000 | 1,800 | 1,000 |
| Policyholder benefits | $(400)$ | $(720)$ | $(400)$ |
| Policyholder participation | $(540)$ | $(972)$ | $(540)$ |
| Profit | 60 | 108 | 60 |

## Changes in equity

Opening equity

| $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60 | 60 | 108 |
| 60 | 108 | 60 |
| $(60)$ | $(60)$ | $(108)$ |
| 60 | 108 | 60 |

## Alternative method 3: split accounting

Balance sheet

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 800 | 1,440 | 800 |
| Policyholder liabilities | $(200)$ | $(360)$ | $(200)$ |
| Equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |


| Analysis of equity |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Policyholder equity | 540 | 972 | 540 |
| Shareholder equity | 60 | 108 | 60 |
| Total equity | 600 | 1,080 | 600 |

## Income statement

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenue | 460 | 828 | 460 |
| Policyholder benefits | $(400)$ | $(720)$ | $(400)$ |
| Profit | 60 | 108 | 60 |

## Changes in policyholder equity

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opening | 540 | 540 | 972 |
| Proceeds of new contracts | 540 | 972 | 540 |
| Policyholder dividends | $(60)$ | $(540)$ | $(972)$ |
| Closing | 540 | 972 | 540 |

## Changes in shareholder equity

|  | $x 3$ | $x 4$ | $x 5$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opening | 60 | 60 | 108 |
| Profit | 60 | 108 | 60 |
| Shareholder dividends | $(60)$ | $(60)$ | $(108)$ |
| Closing | 60 | 108 | 60 |

