

30 Cannon Street, London EC4M 6XH, England Phone: +44 (0)20 7246 6410, Fax: +44 (0)20 7246 6411

Email: iasb@iasb.org Website: http://www.iasb.org

This document is provided as a convenience to observers at Standards Advisory Council meetings, to assist them in following the Council's discussion. It does not represent an official position of the IASB. Board positions are set out in Standards.

Note: These notes are based on the staff paper prepared for the Council. Paragraph numbers correspond to paragraph numbers used in the Council paper.

INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

SAC Meeting: February 2007, London

Project: International Financial Reporting Standard for Small and

Medium-sized Entities (SMEs): Discussion of the IASB

Exposure Draft (Agenda Paper 7B)



An International Financial Reporting Standard for SMEs

Paul Pacter

IASB Director of Standards for Small and Medium-sized Entities Standards Advisory Council London

27 February 2007

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

1

₩

IASB Exposure Draft

- IASB has issued an Exposure Draft of an IFRS for SMEs.
 - ■ED organised by topic.
 - Plus illustrative financial statements, disclosure checklist, and basis for conclusions.
 - Comment deadline 1 October 2007.
- February SAC agenda:
 - Summarise the ED.
 - Discussion of key questions in the invitation to comment.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



IASB Definition of SME

- IASB view:
 - •IFRS for SMEs is appropriate for an entity with no public accountability:
 - not publicly traded; and
 - not a financial institution.
 - •Entity whose securities are publicly traded has <u>public accountability</u>.
 - Need full IFRSs for investor protection.
 - Even if small in size.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

3



IASB Definition of SME

- No quantified size test:
 - Each jurisdiction should develop guidelines on which entities will use the IFRS for SMEs.
 - Could include quantified size test.
- Focus on typical SME with about 50 employees in deciding the content of the IFRS for SMEs.



IASB Approach

- General purpose financial statements for external users, including:
 - Non-manager owners.
 - Existing and potential lenders and creditors.
 - Credit rating agencies.
- Auditor could give opinion on fair presentation (true/fair view) of financial position, results, cash flows.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved

5



IASB Approach

- Stand-alone document:
 - SME must try to find answers in the IFRS for SMEs:
 - by analogy, and
 - by using pervasive principles in first section of IFRS for SMEs.
 - SME <u>may</u> look to full IFRSs as a "safety net" – if answer cannot be found otherwise.
 - But, <u>no mandatory fallback</u> to full IFRSs.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



IASB Approach

- Simplifications based on user needs and cost-benefit:
 - Some topics in IFRSs not included if irrelevant to SME.
 - Where IFRS has options, include only simpler option.
 - Recognition and measurement simplifications.
 - Simplified drafting.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

7



IASB Approach

Material not relevant to typical SME omitted, with cross-references to full IFRSs if needed:

- Equity-settled share-based payment.
- Determining FV of agricultural assets.
- Extractive industries.
- Interim reporting.
- Lessor finance leases.
- Hyperinflation.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



IASB Approach

- Only simpler of options in full IFRS are included. Other(s) cross-referenced. So, only:
 - Cost for investment property.
 - Cost for PP&E and intangibles.
 - Expense all borrowing costs.
 - Indirect operating cash flows.
- One method for all grants.
 Jurisdictions could eliminate options.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

g



IASB Approach Recognition and measurement simplifications, page 1 of 2:

- Financial instruments:
 - Two classifications, not four.
 - Drop "continuing involvement approach" for derecognition.
 - Much simplified hedge accounting.
- Goodwill impairment indicator approach.
- Expense all R&D.
- Cost method for associates and JVs.
- Income taxes simplified method.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



I ASB Approach Recognition and measurement simplifications, page 2 of 2

- Less fair value for agriculture only if "readily determinable without undue cost or effort".
- Defined benefit plans principle approach, no corridor tests.
- Share-based payment intrinsic value.
- First-time adoption less prior data.
- Leases simplified calculations.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

11



Other Issues

Suitability for micro-SMEs (tiny)

- Yes, definitely.
- Full IFRSs are required for micros in about 25 countries and permitted in another 50 countries.
- Issue is whether micro prepares general purpose financial statements that present fairly position, performance, and cash flows.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



Rejected Simplifications

- Drop the cash flow statement.
- All leases operating.
- All pension plans defined contribution.
- Completed contract only.
- Fewer provisions.
- Non-recognition of share-based payment.
- Non-recognition of deferred taxes.
- Cost model for all agriculture.
- No consolidation.
- Derivatives at cost.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

13



The Effort: Due Process

- Comprehensive due process, including:
 - Discussion paper (June 2004).
 - Recognition and measurement (R&M) questionnaire (April 2005).
 - Public roundtables on R&M (Oct. 2005).
 - Working Group (35 members).
 - Deliberations at over 30 Board meetings.
 - Feb. 2007 is the 6th SAC discussion.

14



Maintenance

- Maintaining the IFRS for SMEs:
 - Update the IFRS for SMEs every 2 years.
 - Omnibus Exposure Draft.

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

15



Exposure Draft

- Board vote to issue the ED: 13 to 1.
 - Comment deadline 1 October 2007.
 - French, Spanish, German translations.
- Field tests and/or visits to SMEs?
- Final Standard 1H 2008.
- Effective whenever adopted locally.

 $\ ^{\odot}$ 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



Questions for SAC

- Invitation to comment raises 11 questions
- SAC: 3 breakout groups
 - Discuss questions
 - Report back

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

17



Question 1

- ED is intended to be fully stand-alone document for typical SME with 50 employees.
- 1. Are there transactions not addressed in the ED that should be?

Are there transactions addressed in the ED that can be omitted?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



- BC70-93 identify the recognition and measurement simplifications that were made.
 - Summarised in Slides 10 & 11.
- 2. Are there other simplifications that should be considered?
 - Which ones?
 - Why?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

19



Question 3

- BC94-107 identify some recognition and measurement simplifications that were considered but rejected.
 - Summarised in Slide 13.
- 3. Should the Board reconsider any of those?
 - Which ones?
 - Why?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



- ED would make all options in full IFRSs available to SMEs.
 - Simpler option in the ED.
 - Other option allowed via cross reference.
 - Summarised on Slide 9.
- 4. Do you agree with which ones Board defined as simpler?
 Should any/all cross references to other options be eliminated?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

2



Question 5

- ED proposes to retain the immediate expensing option for borrowing costs even if that option is eliminated from IAS 23.
- 5. Do you agree that SMEs should have an option for immediate expensing?

22



- BC 57-65 explain why some topics in full IFRSs are omitted from IFRS for SMEs, but cross referenced to full IFRS.
 - Summarised in Slide 8.
- 6. Should any additional topics be omitted and replaced by a cross reference?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

23



Question 7

- ED contains guidance on how an SME should deal with an accounting issue not specifically covered.
 - Analogise, and look to concepts and pervasive principles in Section 2.
 - But no mandatory fallback to full IFRSs.
- 7. Does this approach provide sufficient guidance?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



- ED is accompanied by illustrative financial statements and disclosure checklist. But much detailed guidance in full IFRSs is omitted.
- 8. Is more guidance needed? In which areas? How to provide?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

25



Question 9

- Many disclosures in full IFRSs are omitted from the ED.
- 9. Are there disclosures not proposed in the ED that should be required? Which ones? Are there disclosures that are proposed in the ED that could be omitted? Which ones?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.



- Section 38 provides guidance for transition:
 - From national GAAP to IFRS for SMEs.
 - From full IFRSs to IFRS for SMEs.
- 10. Is that guidance adequate? How can it be improved?

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

27



Question 11

- IFRS for SMEs will be updated approximately once every two years via an omnibus ED.
- 11. Is this an appropriate approach for SMEs? If not, how to modify?

28



Time for breakout sessions:

Group A: Ques. 1, 4, and 7*

■ Group B: Ques. 2, 5, and 8*

Group C: Ques. 3, 6, and 11*

*if time permits

Please return at 14:45

© 2007 IASC Foundation, all rights reserved.

29



IFRS for SMEs: Breakout groups report back and general discussion



30