

This document is provided as a convenience to observers at Standards Advisory Council meetings, to assist them in following the Council's discussion. It does not represent an official position of the IASB. Board positions are set out in Standards.

Note: These notes are based on the staff paper prepared for the Council. Paragraph numbers correspond to paragraph numbers used in the Council paper.

INFORMATION FOR OBSERVERS

SAC Meeting: November 2006, London Project: Fair Value Measurements

(Agenda Paper 6A)



Standards Advisory Council 10 November 2006 Fair Value Measurements

Jon Nelson - Practice Fellow

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenters, not those of the IASB



Background

- Fair Value Measurements project added to IASB's agenda in September 2005
- Part of the Memorandum of Understanding with the FASB
- Project intended to codify and simplify guidance for fair value measurements in IFRS
- Will not require any new fair value measurements
 - However, the FVM project may result in changes to current practice for some entities

Why have a project on Fair Value Measurements?



- Guidance on measuring fair value has evolved over time
 - > Dispersed throughout many standards
 - > Not always consistent from standard to standard
- Dispersed and inconsistent guidance causes unnecessary complexity and diversity in practice
- Improved disclosure of fair value measurements



Project Timeline

- Issue the FASB's final FVM statement as an IASB Discussion Paper (Late 2006)
- Develop and issue an IASB Exposure Draft considering the responses to the Discussion Paper (Late 2007/Early 2008)
- Issue a final IFRS (Late 2008/Early 2009)



Project Plan

- The FASB recently issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157)
- The IASB plans to issue SFAS 157 as a Discussion Paper
 - > The Invitation to Comment in the Discussion Paper will articulate the Board's preliminary views on SFAS 157
- The Board will consider comments on the discussion paper in developing an Exposure Draft of an IFRS on Fair Value Measurements



Overview of SFAS 157

- Revised definition of Fair Value
 - 'Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.'
- Fair value considers attributes specific to the asset or liability, such as:
 - > Condition
 - > Location
 - > Restrictions on the sale or use



Overview of SFAS 157

A fair value measurement assumes that an asset or liability is exchanged in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date



Overview of SFAS 157

- Assumes the transaction to sell occurs in the principal market
- In the absence of a principal market, use the most advantageous market



Overview of SFAS 157

- Market participants are:
 - > Independent of the reporting entity;
 - > Knowledgeable;
 - > Able to transact for the asset or liability;
 - > Willing to transact for the asset or liability.
- Market participants are similar to the definition of "knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction" as defined in IAS 40.42-44.

Key provisions of the FVM Statement



- Three-level hierarchy:
 - Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
 - Level 2 observable market inputs other than those in Level 1
 - > Level 3 unobservable inputs
 - Reflects the reporting entity's assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use.
 - While the reporting entity need not undertake all possible efforts to obtain information about market participant assumptions, they shall not ignore information available without undue cost and effort.

Differences to IFRSs



- Primary differences between current IFRSs and SFAS 157
 - > Definition of fair value
 - > Day-one gains
 - Measuring fair value when inputs are based on bid and asked prices
 - Determining on which market a fair value measurement should be based (principal or most advantageous market)



Summary

- Deliberations on the IASB's Fair Value Measurements project are ongoing.
- The IASB might reach different conclusions that the FASB.

Questions for SAC members

- If the IASB were to reach different conclusions than the FASB, what does the SAC believe are the implications of the divergence?
- Specifically, what are the implications of divergence for:
 - > The usability of financial statements?
 - The cost of compliance (ie, for companies that must reconcile or for companies that report under both IFRS and US GAAP)?
- What factors would SAC members consider in balancing convergence with differing views on how fair value should be defined and measured?