

IASB Research Forum

Goodwill Impairment and Acquisition Performance: Evidence from SFAS 142

Liu and Hsu 2023

Discussion:

Ionela Andreicovici

Frankfurt School of Finance and Management

This paper



 Examines the effect of changes in external financial reporting (SFAS 142) on market assessment of M&As

Primary findings:

- Improvement in M&A quality for acquiring firms affected by SFAS 142 (with goodwill balances)
- Improvement in M&A quality for acquiring firms with overconfident CEOs and without goodwill impairments

Important topic

Goodwill accounting treatment is not without controversy

Comments



Motivation

Mechanism

Empirical strategy (control sample)

Alternative stories

Motivation



Information hypothesis

- Shroff (2017) changes in GAAP that are more likely to require firms to collect new information affect firms' investment decisions
- Cheng et al. (2018) firms affected by SFAS 142 experience an improvement in management forecast accuracy

This paper

A different outcome, M&A decisions

But,

 Bartov et al. 2021 – a significant increase in overbidding after SFAS 142

Mechanism



Information hypothesis

 What type of information do managers acquire that allows for a better valuation of the target?

Better identify the information hypothesis mechanism

- Target's financial reporting quality
- Target's organizational complexity
- (Re-specify the current test on private targets)
- Triple interaction or subsample analyses

Mechanism



Alternative mechanisms

- Market discipline
- Changes in investors' information sets

Empirical strategy



More information about the control sample

- Are the firms first-time acquirers?
- Did they never pay a purchase price higher than fair value of the net identifiable assets?
- Had goodwill but was impaired? (however, Impaired=0.29)
- Control sample size

Alternatively

- Use European companies a control sample
- Keep only acquirers with acquisitions in both the pre- and postperiod
- Within firm estimation

Potential alternative stories



- The results could be driven by concurrent regulation e.g., SOX requires majority of the board of directors independent
- Variations in macroeconomic performances between the pre- and the post- SFAS 142 periods impact the quality of M&As
- Decrease in the overall number of acquisitions
- Composition of acquirers and thus firms' characteristics might have changed between the two subperiods



Good luck with the paper.