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The IFRS Interpretations Committee’s process
Why we support consistent application

Objective in supporting IFRS Accounting Standards

We…
help stakeholders obtain a **common understanding** of the requirements - ie what they are aiming for

In order to…
support **consistent application** of IFRS Accounting Standards

Because it…
protects IFRS Accounting Standards as a single set of **global Standards** for the benefit of investors
The Interpretations Committee’s process

Committee receives a question

Is the matter widespread/expected to have a material effect?
- Yes

Is it necessary to change IFRS Accounting Standards?
- Yes

Can the matter be resolved efficiently and is it sufficiently narrow in scope?
- Yes

Narrow scope standard-setting
*(ie narrow scope amendment or IFRIC Interpretation)*

Discussed and approved by the IASB

Agenda decision
Reports decision and often includes explanatory material*

* The publication of an agenda decision is subject to the IASB not objecting to its publication
The Committee receives a question

The Committee’s process is open to all
Any stakeholder can submit questions to the Committee, at any time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for new submissions</th>
<th>Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Application of new IFRS Accounting Standards  
  *eg Transfer of Insurance Coverage (IFRS 17)* | • Explain why the Committee should address the matter |
| • Interaction between new and existing IFRS Accounting Standards  
  *eg Multi-currency Groups of Insurance Contracts (IFRS 17 and IAS 21)* | • Are available on the Committee’s pipeline projects page |
| • Application of existing IFRS Accounting Standards to new transactions  
  *eg Negative Low Emission Vehicle Credits (IAS 37)* | |
| • Submissions by regulators  
  *eg TLTRO III Transactions (IFRS 9 and IAS 20)* | |
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Is the matter widespread/material?

Prevalence of the matter

Initial outreach with stakeholders is often performed to determine whether the matter is:

- **Widespread**: Fact pattern is relevant to many entities across industries/jurisdictions
- **Material**: Matter is expected to have a material effect on those affected

Committee’s assessment:

- If this criterion is not satisfied, **no further work is performed**
- Stakeholders can comment on prevalence when responding to a tentative agenda decision

Recent Example: **Non-refundable Value Added Tax on Lease Payments (IFRS 16)**
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Is it necessary to change IFRS Accounting Standards?

The Committee assesses the following:

Do the principles and requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards provide an adequate basis for an entity to determine the required accounting?

(Paragraph 5.16(b) of the Due Process Handbook)

The Committee does not assess

• whether existing requirements result in useful information
• the costs of applying these requirements

If IFRS Accounting Standards provide an adequate basis to determine the accounting:

Committee publishes a tentative agenda decision including explanatory material

Explanatory material in agenda decisions aim to improve consistent application

Explanatory material cannot add or change requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards

Recent Example

Sample of agenda decisions are discussed later in this presentation
The Interpretations Committee’s process

1. Committee receives a question

2. Is the matter widespread/expected to have a material effect?
   - Yes

3. Is it necessary to change IFRS Accounting Standards?
   - Yes

4. Can the matter be resolved efficiently and is it sufficiently narrow in scope?
   - Yes

   Narrow scope standard-setting
   (ie narrow scope amendment or IFRIC Interpretation)

   Discussed and approved by the IASB

5. Agenda decision
   - Reports decision and often includes explanatory material*

   * The publication of an agenda decision is subject to the IASB not objecting to its publication
Is the matter sufficiently narrow in scope?

How the Committee assesses whether a matter is narrow in scope?

• Topics discussed by the Committee should be **narrow in scope**

• The Committee may add a standard-setting project to the work plan if both:
  • the matter **can be resolved efficiently** within the confines of existing IFRS Accounting Standards and the *Conceptual Framework*
  • the matter is **sufficiently narrow** in scope to be addressed in an efficient manner

• If the Committee concludes IFRS Accounting Standards do not provide an adequate basis but the matter is not sufficiently narrow in scope, a **project is not added to the work plan**

Recent Example: *Accounting for Warrants that are Classified as Financial Liabilities on Initial Recognition (IAS 32)*

The Committee may report the matter to the IASB.
The Interpretations Committee’s process
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Discussed and approved by the IASB
Status and implementation of agenda decisions
Polling question 1

Are agenda decisions approved by the IASB before they are finalised?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Involvement of the IASB

The IASB’s involvement in an agenda decision

Before it is finalised, the IASB is asked whether it objects to an agenda decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective of the IASB’s involvement</th>
<th>The objective is not for the IASB to redo the Committee’s work, but to confirm that:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) The agenda decision does <strong>not add or change requirements</strong> in IFRS Accounting Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) A <strong>standard-setting project should not be added</strong> to the work plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Objecting to an agenda decision     | • If **four or more** Board members object, the agenda decision is not published |
|                                     | • The IASB then decides how to proceed                                                |
Polling question 2

What is the status of agenda decisions?

A. Non-mandatory

B. Non-mandatory, but highly encouraged

C. Mandatory
Status of agenda decisions

What is the status of agenda decisions?

Agenda decisions with explanatory material:

• explain how **applicable requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards** apply to the transaction or fact pattern described—they **cannot add to or change** these requirements
• derive their authority from **IFRS Accounting Standards** and the IASB is involved in their finalisation

Conclusion

Accounting practices not aligned with agenda decisions are **not compliant with IFRS Accounting Standards**
Polling question 3

How much time do entities have to implement any change that results from an agenda decision after it is published?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>By the end of the current interim reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>By the end of the current annual reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>By the end of the next annual reporting period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>It depends on facts and circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementing agenda decisions

Sufficient time to implement an agenda decision

• Agenda Decisions may provide **additional insights** that might change an entity’s understanding of the principles and requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards
• An entity might therefore determine that it needs to **change an accounting policy** as a result of an agenda decision
• Determining how much time is sufficient to make an accounting policy change is a **matter of judgement** that depends on **particular facts and circumstances**

Paragraph 8.6 of the *Due Process Handbook*

‘It is expected that an **entity would be entitled to sufficient time** to make that determination and implement any necessary accounting policy change (for example, an entity may need to **obtain new information** or **adapt its systems** to implement a change)’
Q&A

- The IFRS Interpretations Committee’s process
- Status and implementation of agenda decisions
Recent agenda decisions and other Committee work
Recent agenda decisions and other Committee work

We will cover the following topics:

|   | Agenda Decision: Configuration or Customisation Costs in a Cloud Computing Arrangement  
March 2021 |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Agenda Decision: Demand Deposits with Restrictions on Use arising from a Third Party Contract  
April 2022 |
| 3 | Agenda Decision: Negative Low Emission Vehicle Credits  
July 2022 |
| 4 | Cash Received via Electronic Transfer as Settlement for a Financial Asset |
| 5 | Lessor forgiveness of lease payments (IFRS 16 and IFRS 9)* |

* The IASB will be asked whether it objects to this agenda decision at a future meeting.
How a customer accounts for costs of configuring or customising a supplier’s application software in a Software as a Service (SaaS) arrangement?

Access to the software is a service the customer receives over the contract term.

Customer incurs costs of configuring or customising the supplier’s application software:

- **Configuration**: Setting of ‘flags’ or ‘switches’ or defining values or parameters.
- **Customisation**: Modifying the software code or writing additional code.
Question 1: Does the customer recognise an intangible asset in relation to configuration or customisation?

**Requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards**

‘An entity controls an asset if the entity has the power to obtain the future economic benefits flowing from the underlying resource and to restrict the access of others to those benefits’

*Paragraph 13 of IAS 38*

**Conclusion**

Depends on the **nature** and **output** of the configuration or customisation performed

Often **not** result in an **intangible asset**
Cloud Computing (IAS 38)

Question 2: If an intangible asset is not recognised, how does the customer account for the configuration or customisation costs?

Requirements in IFRS Accounting Standards

‘...the entity recognises the expenditure as an expense when it receives the service’

‘services are received when they are performed by a supplier in accordance with a contract to deliver them to the entity...’

Paragraph 69 of IAS 38

Paragraph 69A of IAS 38

Conclusion

When does the entity recognise the expense

Distinct service

When software is configured and customised

Not distinct

When access to the software is provided (over the contract term)
Can a demand deposit subject to restrictions on use be classified as cash and cash equivalents?

An entity holds a demand deposit whose terms and conditions do not prevent the entity from accessing it on demand.

The entity has a contractual obligation with a third party to use the cash in the demand deposit only for specified purposes.

Analysis

- IAS 7 defines cash by stating that it ‘comprises cash on hand and demand deposits’ and includes no other requirements on whether an item qualifies as cash.
- Requirements in IAS 7 and IAS 1 indicate cash and cash equivalents may be subject to restrictions.

Conclusion

The restrictions do not change the nature of the deposit. The entity can access the cash in the deposit on demand. The deposit is therefore classified as cash. Disclosure and presentation requirements in IAS 1, IAS 7 and IFRS 7 apply.
Do measures to encourage reductions in emissions give rise to obligations that meet the definition of a liability in IAS 37?

**Government measures** require entities to produce or import vehicles with average fuel emissions lower than a government target. Entities receive **positive** or **negative** credits depending on whether they meet the targets.

An entity that receives **negative credits must eliminate them** by purchasing or generating positive credits. The government may **impose sanctions** on entities that fail to do so.

**Analysis**

Paragraph 10 of IAS 37 defines:

- a **liability** as ‘a present obligation of the entity arising from past events, the settlement of which is expected to result in an outflow from the entity of resources embodying economic benefits’
- obligating event as ‘an event that creates a legal or constructive obligation that results in an entity having no realistic alternative to settling that obligation’
Conclusion

The Committee concluded:

• the settlement of an obligation to eliminate negative credits would result in an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits

• the activity that gives rise to a present obligation is the production or import of vehicles whose average fuel emissions are higher than the government target

• an entity would have a legal obligation that is enforceable by law unless accepting the possible sanctions for non-settlement is a realistic alternative for that entity
Can an entity recognise cash received on the date an electronic transfer is initiated (ie before the transfer is settled)?

An entity receives cash as settlement for a trade receivable via electronic transfer

The electronic transfer system has an automated settlement process that takes three working days to settle a cash transfer

Analysis

- Paragraph 3.1.2 of IFRS 9—which specifies requirements for regular way purchase or sale of a financial asset—is not applicable
- The general recognition and derecognition requirements in paragraph 3.1.1 and 3.2.3(a) of IFRS 9 apply

Conclusion

The entity determines when to derecognise the trade receivable and recognise cash applying the general recognition and derecognition requirements in IFRS 9
Forgiveness of Lease Payments (IFRS 9 and IFRS 16)

How does a lessor account for forgiven lease payments in a rent concession?

Lessor agrees to provide a rent concession on an operating lease.

Lessor legally releases the lessee from its obligation to make specified lease payments. No other changes are made.

Questions:
1. How the lessor applies the expected credit loss model to the lease receivable before the rent concession?
2. Does the lessor apply the derecognition requirements in IFRS 9 or the lease modification requirements in IFRS 16?
Forgiveness of Lease Payments (IFRS 9 and IFRS 16)

Question 1—Applying the ECL model

Analysis

• Operating lease receivables recognised by a lessor are subject to the impairment requirements in IFRS 9 (paragraph 2.1(b) of IFRS 9).
• IFRS 9 defines credit loss as ‘the difference between all contractual cash flows that are due to an entity in accordance with the contract and all the cash flows that the entity expects to receive (ie all cash shortfalls)…’
• ECL reflects (a) an unbiased and probability-weighted amount that is determined by evaluating a range of possible outcomes and (b) reasonable and supportable information about past events, current conditions and forecasts of future economic conditions (paragraph 5.5.17 of IFRS 9).

Conclusion

The lessor considers its expectations of forgiving lease payments recognised as part of a lease receivable when measuring the ECL for that receivable.
# Forgiveness of Lease Payments (IFRS 9 and IFRS 16)

## Question 2—Accounting for the rent concession

### Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IFRS 9</th>
<th>IFRS 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Operating lease receivables recognised by a lessor are subject to the **derecognition requirements** in IFRS 9 *(p.2.1(b))*  
• An entity derecognises a financial asset when ‘the **contractual rights to the cash flows** from the financial asset expire’ *(p. 3.2.3(a))*  | • The rent concession meets the definition of a **lease modification** in IFRS 16—lessor accounts for the modified lease as a new lease *(p. 87)*  
• Lease payments recognised as lease receivable are **not accrued lease payments**, and therefore not considered as payments for the new lease. |

### Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • IFRS 9 applies to forgiven lease payments included in the lease receivable.  
• IFRS 16 applies to forgiven lease payments not included in the lease receivable. |
Narrow-scope standard-setting
The Interpretations Committee’s process
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Is the matter widespread/expected to have a material effect?  
Yes

Is it necessary to change IFRS Accounting Standards?  
No

Can the matter be resolved efficiently and is it sufficiently narrow in scope?  
Yes

Narrow scope standard-setting  
(*ie narrow scope amendment or IFRIC Interpretation*)

Discussed and approved by the IASB

Agenda decision  
Reports decision and often includes explanatory material*

* The publication of an agenda decision is subject to the IASB not objecting to its publication
The following is a list of recent proposed and finalised narrow-scope amendments that started as application questions to the Committee:

- **ED Supplier Finance Arrangements (IAS 7 and IFRS 7)**
  - November 2021

- **ED Lack of Exchangeability (IAS 21)**
  - April 2021

- **Definition of Accounting Estimates (IAS 8)**
  - February 2021

- **Onerous Contracts—Cost of Fulfilling a Contract (IAS 37)**
  - May 2020

- **ED Non-current Liabilities with Covenants (IAS 1)**
  - November 2021

- **Lease Liability in a Sale and Leaseback (IFRS 16)**
  - September 2022

- **Deferred Tax related to Assets and Liabilities arising from a Single Transaction (IAS 12)**
  - May 2021

- **Property, Plant and Equipment: Proceeds before Intended Use (IAS 16)**
  - May 2020
Q&A

• Recent agenda decisions and other Committee work
• Narrow-scope standard-setting
Appendix

Resources
Agenda Decisions – where to find them

1. The Annotated IFRS® Standards

Include extensive cross-references that guide readers through each Standard and:

- other related Standards;
- accompanying Illustrative Examples and Implementation Guidance; and
- its Basis for Conclusions.

In addition, agenda decisions published up to 31 December 2021 have been included as annotations.

Available at https://shop.ifrs.org
Agenda decisions – where to find them

2. IFRS foundation website (ifrs.org)

A. Agenda decisions by IFRS Accounting Standard

1. Access the ‘Supporting materials for IFRS Accounting Standards’.

2. Click on ‘IFRS Accounting Standards’ and then choose the Standard (e.g. IFRS 9).
Agenda decisions – where to find them

2. IFRS foundation website (ifrso.org)

A. Agenda decisions by Standard

3. Scroll down the screen and click on ‘IFRS Interpretations Committee agenda decisions’. Agenda decisions will be listed.
Agenda decisions – where to find them

2. IFRS foundation website (ifr.org)

B. List of all agenda decisions

1. Access ‘Supporting consistent application’.

2. Click on the ‘Agenda Decisions’ tab, and then on ‘All agenda decisions’. Agenda decisions will be listed.
**Agenda decisions – where to find them**

2. IFRS foundation website (ifrso.org)

C. IFRIC Update

1. Access the ‘IFRS Foundation Updates’.

2. Click on ‘IFRIC Update’. All IFRIC updates will be listed.
Agenda decisions – where to find them

2. IFRS foundation website (ifrs.org)

D. Compilations of agenda decisions

1. Access ‘Supporting consistent application’.

2. Click on the ‘Agenda Decisions’ tab, and then on ‘Compilations of agenda decisions’. The Compilations will be listed.
Committee Podcast

Listen to the Committee podcast

• Every quarter, the IFRS Foundation produces a podcast that reports on discussions at meetings of the Committee and provide an overview of other relevant activities in the period.

• They feature Bruce Mackenzie, IASB member and chair of the Interpretations Committee, in discussion with a technical staff member.
Committee’s work – get involved

Get involved in the Committee’s work

• All tentative agenda decisions are open for comment for 60 days.
Follow us online

ifr.org

@IFRSFoundation

IFRS Foundation

International Accounting Standards Board