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Introduction

In its separate Exposure Draft, *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*, published at the same time as this Exposure Draft, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) sets out the proposals for a revised *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting* (the ‘Conceptual Framework’).

Some existing International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) contain references to, and quotes from, the existing version of the *Conceptual Framework* or the version that was replaced in 2010. This separate Exposure Draft proposes to update those references and quotes so that they refer to the revised *Conceptual Framework*. In addition, the IASB proposes to remove a number of footnotes that refer to the changes made to the *Conceptual Framework* in 2010 (see Appendix A).

The proposed amendments are most likely to have an effect when entities use the *Conceptual Framework*:

(a) to develop and apply accounting policies when no Standard specifically applies to a transaction, other event or condition.¹

(b) to select or change an accounting policy when a Standard permits a choice of accounting policies. Entities are subject to an overall requirement that the financial statements must provide a fair presentation of the entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows.²

The IASB proposes a transition period of approximately 18 months for each of these amendments. Early application would be permitted.

The IASB proposes that the amendments should be applied retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, except for the proposed amendments to IFRS 3 *Business Combinations*. The IASB proposes that entities should apply the amendments to IFRS 3 prospectively, thereby avoiding the need to restate previous business combinations.

---

¹ See paragraph 11 of IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*.

² See paragraph 15 of IAS 1 *Presentation of Financial Statements*. 
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**Invitation to comment**

The IASB invites comments on the proposals in this Exposure Draft, particularly on the questions set out below. Comments are most helpful if they:

(a) comment on the questions as stated;
(b) indicate the specific paragraph or group of paragraphs to which they relate;
(c) contain a clear rationale; and
(d) include any alternative that the IASB should consider, if applicable.

The IASB is requesting comments only on matters that are addressed in this Exposure Draft. It is not requesting comments on other aspects of the Standards mentioned here.

Comments should be submitted in writing so as to be received no later than **26 October 2015**.

The comment period is the same as for the Exposure Draft *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*. The IASB welcomes all comments, regardless of whether respondents submit comments on both Exposure Drafts or on only one of them.

### Questions for respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1—Replacing references to the Conceptual Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The IASB proposes to amend IFRS 2, IFRS 3, IFRS 4, IFRS 6, IAS 1, IAS 8, IAS 34, SIC-27 and SIC-32 so that they will refer to the revised <em>Conceptual Framework</em> once it becomes effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed amendments? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 2—Effective date and transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The IASB proposes that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) a transition period of approximately 18 months should be set for the proposed amendments. Early application should be permitted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) the amendments should be applied retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8, except for the amendments to IFRS 3. Entities should apply the amendments to IFRS 3 prospectively, thereby avoiding the need to restate previous business combinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you agree with the proposed transition provisions and effective date? Why or why not?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 3—Other comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you have any other comments on the proposals?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How to comment

Comments should be submitted using one of the following methods.

**Electronically**

(our preferred method)

Visit the ‘Comment on a proposal’ page, which can be found at:

go.ifrs.org/comment

**Email**

Email comments can be sent to: commentletters@ifrs.org

**Postal**

IFRS Foundation
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH
United Kingdom

All comments will be on the public record and posted on our website unless confidentiality is requested. Such requests will not normally be granted unless supported by good reason, for example, commercial confidence. Please see our website for details on this and how we use your personal data.
Paragraph 63D is added. New text is underlined.

Effective date

...  

63D  

As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in [date], the footnote to the definition of an equity instrument in Appendix A was amended. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual periods beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply that amendment retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

In Appendix A, the footnote to the definition of an equity instrument is amended. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

* The Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting defines a liability as a present obligation of the entity arising from to transfer an economic resource as a result of past events, the settlement of which is expected to result in an outflow from the entity of resources embodying economic benefits (ie an outflow of cash or other assets of the entity).
Paragraph 11 is amended and paragraph 64M is added. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Recognition conditions

11 To qualify for recognition as part of applying the acquisition method, the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed must meet the definitions of assets and liabilities in the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting at the acquisition date. For example, costs the acquirer expects but is not obliged to incur in the future to effect its plan to exit an activity of an acquiree or to terminate the employment of or relocate an acquiree’s employees are not liabilities at the acquisition date. Therefore, the acquirer does not recognise those costs as part of applying the acquisition method. Instead, the acquirer recognises those costs in its post-combination financial statements in accordance with other IFRSs.

Effective date

64M As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in [date], paragraph 11 was amended. An entity shall apply that amendment to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after effective date. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply that amendment prospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.
[Draft] Amendments to IFRS 4 Insurance Contracts

In the Introduction, paragraph IN4 is amended. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Main features of the IFRS

...  

IN4 The IFRS exempts an insurer temporarily (ie during phase 1 of this project) from some requirements of other IFRSs, including the requirement to consider the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in selecting accounting policies for insurance contracts. However, the IFRS:

(a) ...

In the Guidance on implementing IFRS 4, paragraph IG16 is amended. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Materiality

...  

IG16 IAS 1 also explains the following:

Assessing whether an omission or misstatement could influence economic decisions of users, and so be material, requires consideration of the characteristics of those users. The Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting states in paragraph 25 2.35 that ‘Financial reports are prepared for users who have a reasonable knowledge of business and economic activities and accounting and a willingness to study who review and analyse the information with reasonable diligence diligently.’ Therefore, the assessment needs to take into account how users with such attributes could reasonably be expected to be influenced in making economic decisions.

3 Note for the readers of this Exposure Draft: the corresponding reference in the existing Conceptual Framework is paragraph QC32.
[Draft] Amendments to IFRS 6 Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources

Paragraph 10 is amended and paragraph 26A is added. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Elements of cost of exploration and evaluation assets

10 Expenditures related to the development of mineral resources shall not be recognised as exploration and evaluation assets. The Framework Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting and IAS 38 Intangible Assets provide guidance on the recognition of assets arising from development.

Effective date

26A As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in [date], paragraph 10 was amended. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual periods beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply that amendment retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.
[Draft] Amendments to IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements

Paragraphs 7, 15, 19–20, 23–24, 28 and 89 are amended and paragraph 139Q is added. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Definitions

7 The following terms are used in this Standard with the meanings specified:

Material

Omissions or misstatements of items are material if they could, individually or collectively, influence the economic decisions that users make on the basis of the financial statements. Materiality depends on the size and nature of the omission or misstatement judged in the surrounding circumstances. The size or nature of the item, or a combination of both, could be the determining factor.

Assessing whether an omission or misstatement could influence economic decisions of users, and so be material, requires consideration of the characteristics of those users. The Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (the ‘Conceptual Framework’) states in paragraph 25.35 that ‘Financial reports are prepared for users who have a reasonable knowledge of business and economic activities and accounting and a willingness to study and analyse the information with reasonable diligence.’ Therefore, the assessment needs to take into account how users with such attributes could reasonably be expected to be influenced in making economic decisions.

Fair presentation and compliance with IFRSs

15 Financial statements shall present fairly the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of an entity. Fair presentation requires the faithful representation of the effects of transactions, other events and conditions in accordance with the definitions and recognition criteria for assets, liabilities, income and expenses set out in the Conceptual Framework. The application of IFRSs, with additional disclosure when necessary, is presumed to result in financial statements that achieve a fair presentation.

19 In the extremely rare circumstances in which management concludes that compliance with a requirement in an IFRS would be so misleading that it would conflict with the objective of financial statements set out in

---

4 Note for the readers of this Exposure Draft: the corresponding reference in the existing Conceptual Framework is paragraph QC32.
the Conceptual Framework, the entity shall depart from that requirement in the manner set out in paragraph 20 if the relevant regulatory framework requires, or otherwise does not prohibit, such a departure.

20 When an entity departs from a requirement of an IFRS in accordance with paragraph 19, it shall disclose:

(a) that management has concluded that the financial statements present fairly the entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows;

(b) that it has complied with applicable IFRSs, except that it has departed from a particular requirement to achieve a fair presentation;

(c) the title of the IFRS from which the entity has departed, the nature of the departure, including the treatment that the IFRS would require, the reason why that treatment would be so misleading in the circumstances that it would conflict with the objective of financial statements set out in the Conceptual Framework, and the treatment adopted; and

(d) for each period presented, the financial effect of the departure on each item in the financial statements that would have been reported in complying with the requirement.

...

23 In the extremely rare circumstances in which management concludes that compliance with a requirement in an IFRS would be so misleading that it would conflict with the objective of financial statements set out in the Conceptual Framework, but the relevant regulatory framework prohibits departure from the requirement, the entity shall, to the maximum extent possible, reduce the perceived misleading aspects of compliance by disclosing:

(a) the title of the IFRS in question, the nature of the requirement, and the reason why management has concluded that complying with that requirement is so misleading in the circumstances that it conflicts with the objective of financial statements set out in the Conceptual Framework; and

(b) for each period presented, the adjustments to each item in the financial statements that management has concluded would be necessary to achieve a fair presentation.

24 For the purpose of paragraphs 19–23, an item of information would conflict with the objective of financial statements when it does not represent faithfully the transactions, other events and conditions that it either purports to represent or could reasonably be expected to represent and, consequently, it would be likely to influence economic decisions made by users of financial statements. When assessing whether complying with a specific requirement in an IFRS would be so misleading that it would conflict with the objective of financial statements set out in the Conceptual Framework, management considers:
(a) why the objective of financial statements is not achieved in the particular circumstances; and

(b) how the entity’s circumstances differ from those of other entities that comply with the requirement. If other entities in similar circumstances comply with the requirement, there is a rebuttable presumption that the entity’s compliance with the requirement would not be so misleading that it would conflict with the objective of financial statements set out in the *Conceptual Framework*.

...  

**Accrual basis of accounting**

...  

28 When the accrual basis of accounting is used, an entity recognises items as assets, liabilities, equity, income and expenses (the elements of financial statements) when they satisfy the definitions and recognition criteria for those elements in the *Conceptual Framework*.

...  

**Profit or loss for the period**

...  

89 Some IFRSs specify circumstances when an entity recognises particular items outside profit or loss in the current period. IAS 8 specifies two such circumstances: the correction of errors and the effect of changes in accounting policies. Other IFRSs require or permit components of other comprehensive income that meet the *Conceptual Framework*’s definition of income or expense to be excluded from profit or loss (see paragraph 7).

...  

**Transition and effective date**

...  

138Q As a result of the revision of the [draft] *Conceptual Framework* in [date], paragraphs 7, 15, 19–20, 23–24, 28 and 89 were amended. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual periods beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply those amendments retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*. 
Paragraphs 6 and 11 are amended and paragraph 54F is added. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Definitions

6 Assessing whether an omission or misstatement could influence economic decisions of users, and so be material, requires consideration of the characteristics of those users. The Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (the 'Conceptual Framework') states in paragraph 25.2.35 that 'Financial reports are prepared for users who have a reasonable knowledge of business and economic activities and accounting and a willingness to study who review and analyse the information with reasonable diligence diligently.' Therefore, the assessment needs to take into account how users with such attributes could reasonably be expected to be influenced in making economic decisions.

Selection and application of accounting policies

11 In making the judgement described in paragraph 10, management shall refer to, and consider the applicability of, the following sources in descending order:

(a) the requirements in IFRSs dealing with similar and related issues; and

(b) the definitions, recognition criteria, and measurement concepts for assets, liabilities, income and expenses in the Conceptual Framework.

Effective date

54F As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework in [date], paragraphs 6 and 11 were amended. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual periods beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply those amendments retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

Note for the readers of this Exposure Draft: the corresponding reference in the existing Conceptual Framework is paragraph QC32.
[Draft] Amendments to IAS 34 Interim Financial Reporting

Paragraphs 31 and 33 are amended and paragraph 58 is added. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Same accounting policies as annual

31 Under the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements (the Framework), Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (the 'Conceptual Framework'), recognition is the ‘process of incorporating in the balance sheet or income statement, for inclusion in the statement of financial position or the statement(s) of financial performance, an item that meets the definition of an element and satisfies the criteria for recognition’. The definitions of assets, liabilities, income, and expenses are fundamental to recognition, at the end of both annual and interim financial reporting periods.

33 An essential characteristic of income (revenue) and expenses is that the related inflows and outflows of assets and liabilities have already taken place. If those inflows or outflows have taken place, the related revenue and expense are recognised; otherwise they are not recognised. The Framework says that expenses are recognised in the income statement when a decrease in future economic benefits related to a decrease in an asset or an increase of a liability has arisen that can be measured reliably. [The] Conceptual Framework does not allow the recognition of items in the balance sheet which do not meet the definition of assets or liabilities.

Effective date

58 As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework in [date], paragraphs 31 and 33 were amended. An entity shall apply those amendments for annual periods beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply those amendments retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.
[Draft] Amendments to
SIC-27 Evaluating the Substance of Transactions Involving the
Legal Form of a Lease

Paragraph 6 and the ‘Effective date’ section are amended. Deleted text is struck through
and new text is underlined.

Consensus

... 

6 The definitions and guidance in paragraphs 49–64 Chapter 4 of the Framework
Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting shall be applied in determining
whether, in substance, a separate investment account and lease payment
obligations represent assets and liabilities of the Entity. Indicators that
collectively demonstrate that, in substance, a separate investment account and
lease payment obligations do not meet the definitions of an asset and a liability
and shall not be recognised by the Entity include:

(a) ... 

Effective date

... 

As a result of the revision of the [draft] Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in [date],
paragraph 6 was amended. An entity shall apply that amendment for annual periods
beginning on or after [effective date]. Earlier application is permitted. An entity shall apply
that amendment retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in
Accounting Estimates and Errors.
[Draft] Amendments to SIC-32 Intangible Assets—Web Site Costs

Paragraph 5 is amended. Deleted text is struck through and new text is underlined.

Issue

... 

5 This Interpretation does not apply to expenditure on purchasing, developing, and operating hardware (eg web servers, staging servers, production servers and Internet connections) of a web site. Such expenditure is accounted for under IAS 16. Additionally, when an entity incurs expenditure on an Internet service provider hosting the entity’s web site, the expenditure is recognised as an expense under IAS 1.88 and the Framework Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting when the services are received.
Appendix A
Removal of clarifying footnotes

After the revisions to the Conceptual Framework in 2010, a number of footnotes were added to various Standards, or to their Introductions or the Guidance on implementing them. Once the revisions proposed in this Exposure Draft become effective, these clarifying footnotes will no longer be needed. Hence, this appendix proposes their removal.

Footnotes deleted from:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Position in text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFRS 3</td>
<td>paragraph 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRS 4</td>
<td>paragraph IN4 of the Introduction, paragraph IG16 of the Guidance on implementing IFRS 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFRS 6</td>
<td>paragraph 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS 1</td>
<td>second part of the definition of ‘material’ in paragraph 7, paragraphs 15, 28 and 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS 8</td>
<td>paragraphs 6 and 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAS 34</td>
<td>paragraph 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC-27</td>
<td>paragraph 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIC-32</td>
<td>paragraph 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Basis for Conclusions on the Exposure Draft

_Updating References to the Conceptual Framework_

(Proposed amendments to IFRS 2, IFRS 3, IFRS 4, IFRS 6, IAS 1, IAS 8, IAS 34, SIC-27 and SIC-32)

This Basis for Conclusions accompanies, but is not part of, this Exposure Draft.

References to the _Conceptual Framework_ in existing Standards

**BC1** In 2010 the IASB replaced the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements (the ‘Framework’) with the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (the ‘Conceptual Framework’). The main text of the existing Standards was not amended to reflect this change in the title of the Conceptual Framework; instead, clarifying footnotes were included after some, but not all, references to the Framework.

**BC2** In its separate Exposure Draft, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (the ‘Conceptual Framework’ Exposure Draft’), published at the same time as this Exposure Draft, the IASB sets out the proposals for revisions to the Conceptual Framework. The IASB thinks that once it completes the revisions resulting from that Exposure Draft, its Standards should contain references only to the revised version of the Conceptual Framework, not to any previous version.

**BC3** Consequently, the IASB is issuing this Exposure Draft, which contains proposals:

(a) to replace references in IFRS to the Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements with references to the Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting and to remove related footnotes. The IASB proposes to replace these references in all existing Standards, except those that would have been superseded when the revisions come into effect.

(b) to update some direct quotes from the Framework in the text of existing Standards to make them consistent with the references mentioned in paragraph BC3(a).

**BC4** The IASB believes that these changes will not have a significant effect on the requirements of these Standards.

Quotes from the existing _Conceptual Framework_ in existing Standards

**BC5** Some existing Standards directly quote definitions in the existing Conceptual Framework without cross-referring to that document:

(a) IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets quotes the existing definition of a liability; and

(b) IAS 38 Intangible Assets quotes the existing definition of an asset.

**BC6** The IASB is not proposing to update these quotes at this time. It has considered the possible implications of the proposed changes in the definitions of assets and liabilities in the Conceptual Framework Exposure Draft within these Standards.
and considers that the changes would not cause any practical problems in applying the Standards. The IASB’s aim in revising the definitions in the Conceptual Framework was to provide more clarity, not to fundamentally change how the definitions are applied in any existing Standard. Accordingly, the IASB concluded that there would be little benefit in updating these quotes now, and updating them could run the risk of unintended consequences.

BC7 The wording in the definition of materiality in IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements and IAS 8 Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors is slightly different from that in the Conceptual Framework Exposure Draft. The IASB is not proposing to update the materiality definitions in IAS 1 and IAS 8 as part of the Conceptual Framework project. It is considering whether to align the definitions in these Standards with the definition in the Conceptual Framework as part of its Disclosure Initiative.6

Transition and effective date

BC8 The updating of references to the Conceptual Framework is most likely to have an effect when entities use the Conceptual Framework:

(a) in developing and applying accounting policies, in accordance with IAS 8, when no Standard specifically applies to a transaction, other event or condition.

(b) in selecting or changing an accounting policy when a Standard permits a choice of accounting policies. IAS 1 requires entities to produce financial statements that provide a fair presentation of the entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows.

BC9 The IASB considered how entities should account for changes in accounting policies resulting from the proposed amendments. In particular, the IASB discussed:

(a) whether to allow entities to retain their existing accounting policy in some or all such cases. It rejected this approach because that could result in financial statements being prepared on the basis of concepts that could be inconsistent with those included in the revised Conceptual Framework.

(b) whether to require prospective application of the revised Conceptual Framework. The IASB rejected this approach for most amendments because it would compromise the comparability of financial statements. However, the IASB proposes that entities should apply the amendments to IFRS 3 Business Combinations prospectively, thereby avoiding the need to restate previous business combinations.

BC10 Consequently, the IASB proposes that entities should account for any changes in accounting policies arising from the proposed amendments (except for those to IFRS 3) retrospectively in accordance with IAS 8, subject to the impracticability

6 The Disclosure Initiative is a collection of implementation and research projects aimed at improving disclosure in IFRS financial reporting.
provisions set in that Standard. If material, information about such changes in accounting policies would require disclosure in accordance with IAS 8.

BC11 The IASB acknowledges that some entities will need time to identify and understand the effects of the amendments on their accounting policies and prepare for the retrospective application. Consequently, the IASB proposes to set a transition period of approximately 18 months for the proposed amendments. However, the IASB proposes to permit early application because some entities may not find it difficult to start applying the amendments immediately, and the IASB sees no reason to delay the adoption of improvements by such entities.

BC12 The IASB proposes to add an effective date paragraph to each amended Standard except for:

(a) IFRS 4, because the amendments affect only the Introduction to the Standard and the Guidance on implementing it; they do not affect the Standard itself; and

(b) SIC-32, because an amendment is proposed to the paragraph describing the background to an issue and does not affect the consensus reached.