

International Financial Reporting Standards



IASB Research Forum

Hong Kong, October 2015

Darrel Scott

IASB member

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the presenter, not necessarily those of the IASB or IFRS Foundation.

Drawing on my past experience as preparer

- I was the subject to big 4 processes rather than the instigator
- None-the-less find the outcome confirmatory of my perceptions
- Results at a high level largely conform with my expectations

Consistent with what I would have expected

- Seem fully justified in the year of transition
 - Learning curve (both auditor and client)
 - Parallel runs,
 - Comparatives etc
- Equally expected for the years after transition
 - additional judgment,
 - complexity,
 - changes in IFRSs and
 - internal referrals

Again consistent with my experiences with different firms

- Technology differences are clearly apparent from a preparer perspective
- Also significant difference in product offerings, and
- Speed to market differences in the individual product designs

Persistently higher audit fees for early adopters

- Surprised by this finding
- Would like to think this is in part the consequence of focus on financial statement quality
- Concerned however that it may instead indicate rushed adoption, and consequently less robust systems?

Questions or comments?

