

-----Original Message-----

From: Barrie Van Der Merwe [mailto:bvdmerwe@ampnet.co.za]

Sent: 01 September 2004 12:44 PM

To: Sharon Ryan

Subject: ED 6 redeliberations: Anglo Platinum Response

Dear Sharon,

The distinction we presently draw is as follow:

- Exploration cost relating to existing business units are considered for impairment as part of the business unit (our cash generating unit) which may include more than one shafts & plants.
- Exploration cost relating to projects for which cash flow models exist (ie. board approval has been obtained for the project) are tested by comparing the NPV of the cash flow models (as per IAS 36) to the carrying amount of the exploration asset.
- Early exploration cost, ie. no cash flow models exist, will be tested for impairment by reference to the possible net selling price that could be obtained for the mineral resources based on past transactions in platinum group metal resources. This takes cognisance of the anticipated ounces in the ground and the geological confidence established through exploration and evaluation work.

Thus, in my view, there should be a three-way split test as above since it makes logical sense based on the availability of information.

Regards.

Barrie.