

Staff paper

Agenda reference: 30

IASB® meeting

Date March 2024

Project Second Comprehensive Review of the *IFRS for SMEs*® Accounting

Standard

Topic Cover paper

Contacts Michelle Fisher (mfisher@ifrs.org)

This paper has been prepared for discussion at a public meeting of the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). This paper does not represent the views of the IASB or any individual IASB member. Any comments in the paper do not purport to set out what would be an acceptable or unacceptable application of IFRS® Accounting Standards or the *IFRS for SMEs*® Accounting Standard. The IASB's technical decisions are made in public and are reported in the IASB® *Update*.

Purpose of this meeting

- 1. The purpose of this meeting is for the IASB to continue its redeliberations of the proposals in the Exposure Draft *Third edition of the* IFRS for SMEs *Accounting Standard* (the Exposure Draft).
- 2. In this paper, the term SMEs refers to entities that are eligible to apply the *IFRS for SMEs* Accounting Standard (the Standard).

Overview of agenda papers for this meeting

- 3. The agenda papers for this meeting are:
 - (a) Agenda Paper 30A Proposed revised Section 23 Revenue from Contracts with Customers—Redeliberation topics
 - (b) Agenda Paper 30B Proposed revised Section 23 Revenue from Contracts with Customers—Additional and alternative simplifications
 - (c) Agenda Paper 30C Other issues raised by respondents to the Exposure Draft
 - (d) Agenda Paper 30D Proposed revised Section 2 Concepts and Pervasive Principles





(e) Agenda Paper 30E Updating the paragraph numbers of the IFRS for SMEs Accounting Standard

For the topics in these papers, the IASB is asked to consider how to address the feedback on the Exposure Draft and whether to amend the proposals.

Applying the alignment approach to problems addressed in IFRS Accounting Standards

- 4. In September 2022, the IASB published the Exposure Draft using the alignment approach. The alignment approach treats alignment with full IFRS Accounting Standards as the starting point for developing the Standard, and applies the principles of relevance to SMEs, simplicity and faithful representation, including the assessment of costs and benefits, in determining whether and how that alignment should take place. The approach applies these principles as follows:
 - (a) relevance to SMEs is determined by assessing whether the problem addressed by an IFRS Accounting Standard or amendment to an IFRS Accounting Standard would make a difference in the decisions of users of financial statements prepared applying the *IFRS for SMEs* Accounting Standard.
 - (b) applying the principle of simplicity involves looking at the IFRS accounting requirements that have satisfied the relevance condition and then assessing what simplifications are appropriate. For any simplifications made, the IASB would then consider the principle of faithful representation.
 - the principle of faithful representation is intended to help the IASB assess whether financial statements prepared applying the *IFRS for SMEs* Accounting Standard would faithfully represent the substance of economic phenomena in words and numbers. Simplifications that would result in financial statements that do not meet this criterion could damage the quality of information reported to users.





Possible factors to determine when guidance should be in the Standard

- 5. At its September 2023 meeting, the IASB discussed factors that would help determine when guidance belongs in the Standard versus in educational material. At that meeting, the staff observed that if the Standard includes guidance for all possible transactions that an SME might encounter, then it would likely end up nearly the same size as full IFRS Accounting Standards. Consequently, based on the advice from the SME Implementation Group, the staff suggested guidance should only be in the Standard (that is, it would form part of the mandatory requirements) if it meets both of the following factors:
 - (a) the guidance is necessary to operationalise principles in the Standard; and
 - (b) applying the IASB's alignment approach, the guidance is relevant to many SMEs.
- 6. The IASB did not opine on these suggested factors. However, the staff think they are helpful factors to consider in deciding whether additional guidance should be added to Standard. Nevertheless, the staff observe in rare cases the IASB may need to make an exception to these factors. For example, when excluding guidance for a topic could have a significant effect on some SMEs or users of their financial statements, yet the guidance would not add complexity for most SMEs.
- 7. The *IFRS for SMEs* Accounting Standard is a standalone Standard. There is no requirement for SMEs to look to the requirements in full IFRS Accounting Standards. In the absence of specific guidance on a topic in the Standard (for example, for topics omitted because they are not relevant to most SMEs), in the rare cases an SME encounters those topics it would apply paragraphs 10.4–10.6 of the Standard.
- 8. We further note that the educational modules supporting the Standard will provide guidance on how to apply paragraphs 10.4–10.6 of the Standard if an SME encounters a complex transaction that is not specifically addressed by the Standard. The educational material for individual sections of the Standard could also provide





guidance on how the principles in the Standard could be applied to less common transactions encountered by SMEs and explain when an SME may consider the guidance in full IFRS Accounting Standards dealing with similar issues.

Background to this comprehensive review

- 9. In January 2020, the IASB published Request for Information *Comprehensive Review of the* IFRS for SMEs *Standard* (Request for Information) as a first step in its second comprehensive review. The objective of the Request for Information was to seek views on whether and how the IASB should amend the Standard, including views on the IASB's proposed alignment approach (see paragraph 4 of this paper).
- 10. Overall, stakeholders who provided feedback on the alignment approach agreed with continuing to base the Standard on full IFRS Accounting Standards. Some respondents queried whether the alignment principles appropriately assessed the costs and benefits of any possible amendment to the Standard, considering the limited resources and capabilities of SMEs, and the IASB used this feedback to refine the alignment approach.
- 11. In September 2022, the IASB published the Exposure Draft using the alignment approach. The Exposure Draft was open for comment for 180 days, which ended on 7 March 2023. The IASB received comprehensive feedback on the IASB's approach to this review and how to align the Standard with individual IFRS Accounting Standards through extensive outreach during the comment period on the Request for Information. This enabled a more targeted approach to obtaining feedback on the Exposure Draft. In particular, the Exposure Draft asked specific questions about the issues that had been more contentious in developing the Exposure Draft.
- 12. At its June 2023 meeting, the IASB discussed the feedback on the Exposure Draft and redeliberated the proposed clarification to the definition of public accountability, which would be used to determine which entities are in the scope of the Standard.





- 13. The SME Implementation Group (SMEIG) met on 13 July 2023 to discuss the feedback on the Exposure Draft and provide advice to the IASB. The minutes from the SMEIG meeting are available on the IFRS Foundation website. The staff present the advice from the SMEIG when the IASB redeliberates the related topics in the Exposure Draft.
- 14. At its September 2023 meeting, the IASB discussed, among other topics, its project plan, staff research on the characteristics of SMEs and its approach to updating the *IFRS for SMEs* educational modules. At its October 2023 to February 2024 meetings, the IASB continued to redeliberate the proposals in the Exposure Draft. The IASB's tentative decisions to date on the proposals in the Exposure Draft are set out in the appendix to this paper.
- 15. At its October 2023 meeting, the IASB tentatively decided to publish an addendum to the Exposure Draft *Third Edition of the* IFRS for SMEs *Accounting Standard*. The addendum will propose amendments to the Standard to align with the requirements in full IFRS Accounting Standards on supplier finance arrangements and lack of exchangeability. The IASB aims to complete the redeliberations on the proposals in the addendum before it issues the third edition of the Standard. The <u>Addendum to the Exposure Draft Third edition of the IFRS for SMEs Accounting Standard</u> is a separate project on the IASB's workplan.

Next steps

- 16. The IASB will continue to redeliberate the proposals in the Exposure Draft as set out in its project plan. Furthermore, following its tentative decision in January 2024 to require SMEs that provide financing to customers as one of their primary businesses to apply an expected credit loss model, the IASB will consider the possible implications of incorporating this model in the Standard.
- 17. The third edition of the Standard is expected to be issued in H2 2024.



Appendix—IASB tentative decisions to date on redeliberating the proposals in the Exposure Draft

Month	Decision:
June 2023	Definition of public accountability The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to confirm the proposed amendment to paragraph 1.3(b) of the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard (the Standard) to list banks, credit unions, insurance companies, securities brokers/dealers, mutual funds and investment banks as examples of entities that often meet the second criterion of public accountability.
	(b) to withdraw paragraph 1.3A of the Exposure Draft from the Standard, and not include it in the educational modules for the Standard and Basis for Conclusions on the Standard.
	(c) to explain in the Basis for Conclusions on the Standard its reasoning for deciding against further clarifying the role of local legislative and regulatory authorities in jurisdictions in the Preface to the Standard.
	(d) to consider whether other suggestions for guidance on the definition of public accountability should be covered in the educational modules supporting the Standard.
September	Project plan
2023	The IASB discussed the project plan for the third phase of the second comprehensive review in the light of feedback on the Exposure Draft. The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to confirm the scope of the review and alignment approach as set out in the Exposure Draft. This approach treats alignment with IFRS Accounting Standards as the starting point, and applies the principles of relevance to SMEs, simplicity and faithful representation, including the assessment of costs and benefits, in determining whether and how that alignment should take place.
	(b) to continue to develop amendments to the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard by applying the alignment approach to IFRS Accounting Standards.





Month	Decision:
September 2023	Approach to providing educational material on the Standard The IASB decided to either update the IFRS for SMEs educational modules that support the second edition of the Standard, or provide similar comprehensive educational material on the third edition.
	Impairment of financial assets The IASB tentatively decided that the problem it addressed in introducing the expected credit loss model in IFRS 9 does not meet its principle of relevance to SMEs because the population of entities eligible to apply the IFRS for SMEs Accounting Standard that have significant exposure to credit risk is expected to be small. IASB members acknowledged that a small sub-group of SMEs, such as non-bank lenders, might have significant exposure to credit risk. The IASB asked the staff to research alternatives that would seek to recognise expected credit losses for this sub-group of entities.
October 2023	Revenue from Contracts with Customers The IASB tentatively decided to revise Section 23 of the Standard to reflect the principles in IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. Simplification of the control model in Section 9 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements The IASB tentatively decided to clarify how an entity applies the rebuttable presumption in paragraph 9.5 of the Standard. Recognition of development costs The IASB tentatively decided to retain the requirements in the Standard for recognising development costs. Recognition of borrowing costs The IASB tentatively decided to retain the requirements in the Standard for
	recognising borrowing costs.





Month	Decision:
October	Recent amendments to full IFRS Accounting Standards
2023	The IASB tentatively decided to expose for public comment a proposal to align the Standard with:
	(a) Supplier Finance Arrangements, which amended IAS 7 Statement of Cash Flows and IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures; and
	(b) Lack of Exchangeability, which amended IAS 21 The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates.
November 2023	Investments in Joint Arrangements The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to align the definition of 'joint control' in Section 15 of the Standard with the definition in IFRS 11 <i>Joint Arrangements</i> .
	(b) to retain the classification and measurement requirements for jointly controlled assets, jointly controlled operations and jointly controlled entities in Section 15.
	(c) to align Section 15 with the requirements of paragraph 23 of IFRS 11, so that a party to a jointly controlled operation or a jointly controlled asset that does not have joint control of those arrangements would account for its interest according to the classification of that jointly controlled operation or jointly controlled asset.
	Simplification in paragraph 28.19
	The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to retain paragraph 28.19 of the Standard.
	(b) to clarify that an entity applying paragraph 28.19 measures its obligation from the defined benefit plan at the current termination amount, assuming all the entity's employees terminate their employment at the reporting date.
	(c) to specify that an entity applying paragraph 28.19 measures the current termination amount of its obligation from the defined benefit plan on an undiscounted basis.
	(d) to require that an entity applying paragraph 28.19 discloses its basis for determining the current termination amount of its obligation from the defined benefit plan.





Month	Decision:
December 2023	Fair value measurement The IASB tentatively decided: (a) to finalise the proposals in the Exposure Draft to introduce a new
	Section 12 Fair Value Measurement without significant changes to the overall content proposed for that section;
	(b) to consider respondents' drafting suggestions and whether plainer language can be used to express requirements in Section 12;
	(c) to omit the proposed appendix to Section 12, and instead to include its examples in separate educational material; and
	(d) to consider respondents' suggestions for additional guidance and illustrative examples when updating the separate educational material.
	Investment entities
	The IASB tentatively decided not to add requirements for investment entities to Section 9 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements of the Standard.
	Requirement to offset equity instruments
	Paragraph 22.7(a) of the Standard requires that if equity instruments are issued
	before an entity receives the cash or other resources, the entity shall present the amount receivable as an offset to equity in its statement of financial position, not as
	an asset. The IASB tentatively decided to retain this paragraph in the Standard but to provide relief from applying this requirement if it conflicts with legislation in an entity's jurisdiction.
January	Reconciliation for liabilities arising from financing activities
2024	The IASB tentatively decided to finalise the proposal in the Exposure Draft to require SMEs to disclose a reconciliation between the opening and closing balances in the statement of financial position for liabilities arising from financing activities.





Month	Decision:
January 2024	Agriculture Bearer Plants
	The IASB tentatively decided to finalise the proposals for bearer plants in the Exposure Draft. However, the IASB tentatively decided to clarify that Section 34 <i>Specialised Activities</i> of the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard does not apply to bearer plants that can be measured separately, on initial recognition and on an ongoing basis, from the produce on them without undue cost or effort.
	Impairment of financial assets
	The IASB tentatively decided that, for a small population of SMEs with significant exposure to credit risk:
	(a) the relevance principle of the IASB's alignment approach is satisfied.
	(b) the population be defined as SMEs that provide financing to customers as one
	of their primary businesses.
	(c) the population be required to apply an expected credit loss model.
	Given these three tentative decisions, the IASB also tentatively decided:
	(a) to require SMEs that do not provide financing to customers as one of their primary businesses to continue to use the incurred loss model to measure the impairment of their financial assets.
	(b) to require SMEs that provide financing to customers as one of their primary businesses to apply an expected credit loss model, aligned with the simplified approach in IFRS 9 <i>Financial Instruments</i> , to measure the impairment of their financial assets.
	Leases
	The IASB tentatively decided to consider aligning the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard with IFRS 16 <i>Leases</i> at the next comprehensive review of the <i>IFRS for SMEs</i> Accounting Standard.





Month	Decision:
February	Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements
2024	The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to delete paragraph 9.23(b) of the Standard, which requires an SME to disclose the basis for concluding that control exists when the parent does not own more than half of the voting power in the other entity; and
	(b) to add to paragraph 8.6 of the Standard examples of the types of judgements that management might make in the process of applying the SME's accounting policies and that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognised in the financial statements.
	Business Combinations and Goodwill
	The IASB tentatively decided to proceed with the amendments to Section 19 of the Standard proposed in the Exposure Draft, including:
	(a) introducing requirements for an acquisition achieved in stages (step acquisition) as set out in IFRS 3 <i>Business Combinations</i> ;
	(b) not introducing the fair value option for measuring non-controlling interests in the acquiree; and
	(c) not including the application guidance in paragraphs B36 and B53 of IFRS 3 on reacquired rights arising from pre-existing relationships.
	The IASB also tentatively decided:
	(a) to relocate the Illustrative Examples in Appendix B of Section 19 proposed in the Exposure Draft to separate educational modules; and
	(b) to consider suggestions for additional guidance and illustrative examples when updating the separate educational modules.





Month	Decision:
February 2024	Revenue from Contracts with Customers—Redeliberation topics
2024	The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to withdraw the proposed option for an SME to account for a contract modification as a separate contract if:
	 the modification increases the scope of the existing contract because of additional goods or services promised that are distinct from those in the existing contract; and
	 (ii) the modification increases the price of the existing contract by an amount of consideration that reflects the entity's stand-alone selling price of the additional goods or services and any appropriate adjustments to that price to reflect the circumstances of that contract;
	(b) to withdraw the proposal to require an SME to account for an option as a separate promise if it provides a material right to the customer and the effect of doing so is significant to the individual contract; and
	(c) to withdraw the proposal to require an SME to recognise as an asset the incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer if the SME expects to recover those costs.
	Instead, the IASB tentatively decided to require an SME:
	(a) to account for a contract modification as a separate contract if:
	 the modification increases the scope of the existing contract because of additional goods or services promised that are distinct from those in the existing contract; and
	(ii) the modification increases the price of the existing contract by an amount of consideration that reflects the entity's stand-alone selling price of the additional goods or services and any appropriate adjustments to that price to reflect the circumstances of that contract;
	(b) to account for an option that provides a material right to the customer as a separate performance obligation if the SME can do so without undue cost or effort; and
	(c) to recognise the costs of obtaining a contract with a customer as an expense when incurred.





Month	Decision:
February	The IASB tentatively decided to confirm its proposals to require an SME:
2024	(a) to identify each promise to transfer a distinct good or service, or bundle of goods or services; and
	(b) to include an amount of variable consideration in the transaction price only to the extent that it is highly probable that this amount will become due when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is resolved.
	The IASB tentatively decided:
	(a) to include the term 'barter' in the description of non-cash consideration in the Standard;
	(b) to include separately in the Standard:
	(i) the requirement for an SME to measure the fair value of non-cash consideration; and
	(ii) the exemption from the requirement to measure the fair value of non-cash consideration;
	(c) not to include guidance on methods for estimating stand-alone selling prices in the Standard, but to include this guidance in educational material on the Standard; and
	(d) to combine the requirement for an SME to allocate variable consideration with the requirement for an SME to allocate discounts in the Standard.